

| Audit Organisation: | Metromix Pty Ltd |
|--------------------------------|------------------|
| Auditors: | James Hart |
| Date of Audit: | 6 February 2023 |
| Draft Report Submitted: | 1/03/2023 |
| Final Report Submitted: | 21/03/2023 |
| Final Report Rev-01 Submitted: | 12/07/2023 |

Version Control and Distribution

| Revision No. | Date | Reasons for Revision | Issued to |
|--------------|---------------|--|-----------|
| Draft | 1/03/2023 | Draft issued to Metromix for Review | Mo Yunusa |
| Final | 21 March 2023 | Final for Issue | Mo Yunusa |
| Draft Rev-01 | 19/06/2023 | Updated to address Department of Planning and Environment comments. | Mo Yunusa |
| Final Rev-01 | 12/07/2023 | Final for Issue | Mo Yunusa |

Independent Audit Declaration Form

| Project Name: | Teralba Quarry |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Consent Number: | Project Approval PA10-0183 |
| Description of Project: | Hard Rock Quarry |
| Project Address: | Rhondda Road Teralba |
| Proponent: | Rhondda Road Teralba |
| Date: | 12 of July 2023 |

I declare that:

- i. I am not related to any proponent, owner, operator or other entity involved in the delivery of the project. Such a relationship includes that of employer/employee, a business partnership, sharing a common employer, a contractual arrangement outside an Independent Audit, or that of a spouse, partner, sibling, parent, or child;
- ii. I do not have any pecuniary interest in the project, proponent or related entities. Such an interest includes where there is a reasonable likelihood or expectation of financial gain (other than being reimbursed for performing the audit) or loss to the auditor, or their spouse, partner, sibling, parent, or child;
- iii. I have not provided services (not including independent reviews or auditing) to the project with the result that the audit work performed by themselves or their company, except as otherwise declared to the Department prior to the audit;
- iv. I am not an Environmental Representative for the project; and
- v. I will not accept any inducement, commission, gift or any other benefit from auditee organisations, their employees or any interested party, or knowingly allow colleagues to do so.

Notes:

Signature:

a) Under section 10.6 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 a person must not include false or misleading information (or provide information for inclusion in) in a report of monitoring data or an audit report produced to the Minister in connection with an audit if the person knows that the information is false or misleading in a material respect. The proponent of an approved project must not fail to include information in (or provide information for inclusion in) a report of monitoring data or an audit report produced to the Minister in connection with an audit if the person knows that the information in (or provide information for inclusion in) a report of monitoring data or an audit report produced to the Minister in connection with an audit if the person knows that the information is materially relevant to the monitoring or audit. The maximum penalty is, in the case of a corporation, \$1 million and for an individual, \$250,000; and

b) The Crimes Act 1900 contains other offences relating to false and misleading information: section 307B (giving false or misleading information – maximum penalty 2 years imprisonment or 200 penalty units, or both).

Name of Auditor: James Hart

James Mant

Qualification:Lead Environmental Auditor – Exemplar Global Certificate No. 12105Company:James Hart Consulting

| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 7 |
|------|--|----|
| 1.1 | Overview | 7 |
| Pro | ject Details | 7 |
| 1.2 | Audit Team | 8 |
| 1.3 | Audit Objectives | 8 |
| 1.4 | Audit Scope | 8 |
| 1.5 | Audit Period | 9 |
| 2 | Methodology | 9 |
| 2.1 | Approval of Auditors | 9 |
| 2.2 | Audit scope development | 9 |
| 2.3 | Audit Process | 9 |
| 2.4 | Interviewed Persons | 10 |
| 2.5 | Details of Site Inspection | 10 |
| 2.6 | Agency and Community Consultation | 10 |
| 2.7 | Audit Compliance Status Descriptors | 11 |
| 2.8 | Approvals and Documents Audited | 11 |
| 2.9 | Issues to be considered in reviewing this report | 13 |
| 3 | AUDIT FINDINGS | 13 |
| 3.1 | Overview | 13 |
| 3.2 | Assessment of Compliance | 14 |
| 3.2. | 1 Air Quality | 15 |
| 3.2. | 2 Noise Management | 15 |
| 3.2. | 3 Traffic Management | 15 |
| 3.2. | 4 Water Management | 16 |
| 3.2. | | 16 |
| 3.2. | 5 | 16 |
| 3.2. | , | 17 |
| 3.2. | | 17 |
| 3.3 | 5 | 18 |
| 3.4 | Previous Audit Findings | 18 |
| 3.5 | Summary of Agency Notices, Orders, Penalty Notices or Prosecutions | 18 |
| 3.6 | Complaints | 18 |
| 3.7 | Additional Matters Raised During Consultation | 19 |
| 3.8 | • | 20 |
| 3.9 | , , , | 20 |
| 3.1 | · · · | 21 |
| 3.1 | 1 Key Strengths | 21 |
| 4 | Non-compliances and Recommendations | 21 |
| 4.1 | Areas of Non-compliance | 22 |

| 4.2 | 2 Opportunities for Improvement | 26 |
|--------------------------------|--|-----|
| 4.3 | 3 Areas of compliance | 26 |
| 5 | CONCLUSIONS | 27 |
| a. Substantive Changes. | | 28 |
| | | |
| A | ppendices | |
| Ap | pendix A Previous Audit Findings | 29 |
| Appendix B. – Auditor Approval | | 35 |
| Ap | pendix C. – Audit Tables | 37 |
| b. | Audit Checklist – Development Consent PA 10-0183 | 38 |
| a. | Environmental Protection Licence No 536 | 100 |
| b. | Water Access Licence 40303 | 126 |
| c. | Statement of Commitments | 129 |
| Ap | pendix D. Consultation Records | 150 |
| Ар | pendix E. Site Photographs | 155 |

Abbreviations

| AS Australian Standard BCD Biodiversity and Conservation Division (formerly OEH) BRMP Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan BMP Blast Management Plan CCC Community Consultative Committee DA Development Approval DDG Deposition Dust Gauge DPE Department of Planning and Environment DPI Department of Primary Industries DRG Division of Resources and Geoscience EIS Environmental Impact Statement EMS Environment Protection Authority EPA Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 EPL Environment Protection Licence HMP Heritage Management Plan LLEMP Lower Level Extraction Management Plan LLEMP Lower Level Extraction Management Plan LMCC Lake Macquarie City Council NMP Noise Management Plan PIRMP Pollution Incident Response Management Plan POEO Act Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 SoC Statement of Commitments TMP Transport Management Plan TSP < | AQMP | Air Quality Management Plan |
|---|----------|---|
| BRMP Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan BMP Blast Management Plan CCC Community Consultative Committee DA Development Approval DDG Deposition Dust Gauge DPE Department of Planning and Environment DPI Department of Primary Industries DRG Division of Resources and Geoscience EIS Environmental Impact Statement EMS Environment Protection Authority EPAA Act Environment Protection Licence HMP Heritage Management Plan LLEMP Lower Level Extraction Management Plan LLEMP Lower Level Extraction Management Plan LLEMP Noise Management Plan LLEMP Pollution Incident Response Management Plan PIRMP Pollution Incident Response Management Plan POEO Act Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 SoC Statement of Commitments TMP Transport Management Plan TSP Total Suspended Particulates TSS Total Suspended Solids WAL Water Access Licence | AS | Australian Standard |
| BMP Blast Management Plan CCC Community Consultative Committee DA Development Approval DDG Deposition Dust Gauge DPE Department of Planning and Environment DPI Department of Primary Industries DRG Division of Resources and Geoscience EIS Environmental Impact Statement EMS Environmental Management Strategy EPA Environment Protection Authority EPAA Environment Protection Licence HMP Heritage Management Plan LLEMP Lower Level Extraction Management Plan LMCC Lake Macquarie City Council NMP Noise Management Plan PIRMP Pollution Incident Response Management Plan POEO Act Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 Soc Statement of Commitments TMP Transport Management Plan TSP Total Suspended Particulates TSS Total Suspended Solids WAL Water Access Licence | BCD | Biodiversity and Conservation Division (formerly OEH) |
| CCCCommunity Consultative CommitteeDADevelopment ApprovalDDGDeposition Dust GaugeDPEDepartment of Planning and EnvironmentDPIDepartment of Primary IndustriesDRGDivision of Resources and GeoscienceEISEnvironmental Impact StatementEMSEnvironmental Management StrategyEPAEnvironmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979EPLEnvironmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979EPLEnvironment Protection LicenceHMPHeritage Management PlanLLEMPLower Level Extraction Management PlanLMCCLake Macquarie City CouncilNMPNoise Management PlanPIRMPPollution Incident Response Management PlanPOEO ActProtection of the Environment Operations Act 1997SocStatement of CommitmentsTMPTransport Management PlanTSPTotal Suspended ParticulatesTSSTotal Suspended SolidsWALWater Access Licence | BRMP | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan |
| DADevelopment ApprovalDDGDeposition Dust GaugeDPEDepartment of Planning and EnvironmentDPIDepartment of Primary IndustriesDRGDivision of Resources and GeoscienceEISEnvironmental Impact StatementEMSEnvironmental Management StrategyEPAEnvironmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979EPLEnvironmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979EPLEnvironment Protection LicenceHMPHeritage Management PlanLLEMPLower Level Extraction Management PlanLMCCLake Macquarie City CouncilNMPNoise Management PlanPIRMPPollution Incident Response Management PlanPOEO ActProtection of the Environment Operations Act 1997SoCStatement of CommitmentsTMPTransport Management PlanTSPTotal Suspended ParticulatesTSSTotal Suspended SolidsWALWater Access Licence | BMP | Blast Management Plan |
| DDGDeposition Dust GaugeDPEDepartment of Planning and EnvironmentDPIDepartment of Primary IndustriesDRGDivision of Resources and GeoscienceEISEnvironmental Impact StatementEMSEnvironmental Management StrategyEPAEnvironment Protection AuthorityEP&A ActEnvironmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979EPLEnvironment Protection LicenceHMPHeritage Management PlanLLEMPLower Level Extraction Management PlanLMCCLake Macquarie City CouncilNMPNoise Management PlanPIRMPPollution Incident Response Management PlanPOEO ActProtection of the Environment Operations Act 1997SoCStatement of CommitmentsTMPTransport Management PlanTSPTotal Suspended ParticulatesTSSTotal Suspended SolidsWALWater Access Licence | CCC | Community Consultative Committee |
| DPEDepartment of Planning and EnvironmentDPIDepartment of Primary IndustriesDRGDivision of Resources and GeoscienceEISEnvironmental Impact StatementEMSEnvironmental Management StrategyEPAEnvironment Protection AuthorityEP&A ActEnvironmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979EPLEnvironment Protection LicenceHMPHeritage Management PlanLLEMPLower Level Extraction Management PlanLMCCLake Macquarie City CouncilNMPNoise Management PlanPIRMPPollution Incident Response Management PlanPOEO ActProtection of the Environment Operations Act 1997SoCStatement of CommitmentsTMPTransport Management PlanTSPTotal Suspended SolidsWALWater Access Licence | DA | Development Approval |
| DPIDepartment of Primary IndustriesDRGDivision of Resources and GeoscienceEISEnvironmental Impact StatementEMSEnvironmental Management StrategyEPAEnvironment Protection AuthorityEP&A ActEnvironment Protection LicenceHMPHeritage Management PlanLLEMPLower Level Extraction Management PlanLMCCLake Macquarie City CouncilNMPNoise Management PlanPIRMPPollution Incident Response Management PlanPOEO ActProtection of the Environment Operations Act 1997SoCStatement of CommitmentsTMPTransport Management PlanTSPTotal Suspended ParticulatesTSSTotal Suspended SolidsWALWater Access Licence | DDG | Deposition Dust Gauge |
| DRGDivision of Resources and GeoscienceEISEnvironmental Impact StatementEMSEnvironmental Management StrategyEPAEnvironment Protection AuthorityEP&A ActEnvironment Protection LicenceHMPHeritage Management PlanLLEMPLower Level Extraction Management PlanLMCCLake Macquarie City CouncilNMPNoise Management PlanPIRMPPollution Incident Response Management PlanPOEO ActProtection of the Environment Operations Act 1997SoCStatement of CommitmentsTMPTransport Management PlanTSPTotal Suspended ParticulatesTSSTotal Suspended SolidsWALWater Access Licence | DPE | Department of Planning and Environment |
| EISEnvironmental Impact StatementEMSEnvironmental Management StrategyEPAEnvironment Protection AuthorityEP&A ActEnvironment Protection LicenceHMPHeritage Management PlanLLEMPLower Level Extraction Management PlanLMCCLake Macquarie City CouncilNMPNoise Management PlanPIRMPPollution Incident Response Management PlanPOEO ActProtection of the Environment Operations Act 1997SoCStatement of CommitmentsTMPTransport Management PlanTSPTotal Suspended ParticulatesTSSTotal Suspended SolidsWALWater Access Licence | DPI | Department of Primary Industries |
| EMSEnvironmental Management StrategyEPAEnvironment Protection AuthorityEP&A ActEnvironmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979EPLEnvironment Protection LicenceHMPHeritage Management PlanLLEMPLower Level Extraction Management PlanLMCCLake Macquarie City CouncilNMPNoise Management PlanPIRMPPollution Incident Response Management PlanPOEO ActProtection of the Environment Operations Act 1997SocStatement of CommitmentsTMPTransport Management PlanTSPTotal Suspended ParticulatesTSSTotal Suspended SolidsWALWater Access Licence | DRG | Division of Resources and Geoscience |
| EPAEnvironment Protection AuthorityEP&A ActEnvironmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979EPLEnvironment Protection LicenceHMPHeritage Management PlanLLEMPLower Level Extraction Management PlanLMCCLake Macquarie City CouncilNMPNoise Management PlanPIRMPPollution Incident Response Management PlanPOEO ActProtection of the Environment Operations Act 1997SoCStatement of CommitmentsTMPTransport Management PlanTSPTotal Suspended ParticulatesTSSTotal Suspended SolidsWALWater Access Licence | EIS | Environmental Impact Statement |
| EP&A ActEnvironmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979EPLEnvironment Protection LicenceHMPHeritage Management PlanLLEMPLower Level Extraction Management PlanLMCCLake Macquarie City CouncilNMPNoise Management PlanPIRMPPollution Incident Response Management PlanPOEO ActProtection of the Environment Operations Act 1997SoCStatement of CommitmentsTMPTransport Management PlanTSPTotal Suspended ParticulatesTSSTotal Suspended SolidsWALWater Access Licence | EMS | Environmental Management Strategy |
| EPLEnvironment Protection LicenceHMPHeritage Management PlanLLEMPLower Level Extraction Management PlanLMCCLake Macquarie City CouncilNMPNoise Management PlanPIRMPPollution Incident Response Management PlanPOEO ActProtection of the Environment Operations Act 1997SoCStatement of CommitmentsTMPTransport Management PlanTSPTotal Suspended ParticulatesTSSTotal Suspended SolidsWALWater Access Licence | EPA | Environment Protection Authority |
| HMPHeritage Management PlanLLEMPLower Level Extraction Management PlanLMCCLake Macquarie City CouncilNMPNoise Management PlanPIRMPPollution Incident Response Management PlanPOEO ActProtection of the Environment Operations Act 1997SoCStatement of CommitmentsTMPTransport Management PlanTSPTotal Suspended ParticulatesTSSTotal Suspended SolidsWALWater Access Licence | EP&A Act | Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 |
| LLEMP Lower Level Extraction Management Plan LMCC Lake Macquarie City Council NMP Noise Management Plan PIRMP Pollution Incident Response Management Plan POEO Act Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 SoC Statement of Commitments TMP Transport Management Plan TSP Total Suspended Particulates TSS Total Suspended Solids WAL Water Access Licence | EPL | Environment Protection Licence |
| LMCCLake Macquarie City CouncilNMPNoise Management PlanPIRMPPollution Incident Response Management PlanPOEO ActProtection of the Environment Operations Act 1997SoCStatement of CommitmentsTMPTransport Management PlanTSPTotal Suspended ParticulatesTSSTotal Suspended SolidsWALWater Access Licence | HMP | Heritage Management Plan |
| NMP Noise Management Plan PIRMP Pollution Incident Response Management Plan POEO Act Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 SoC Statement of Commitments TMP Transport Management Plan TSP Total Suspended Particulates TSS Total Suspended Solids WAL Water Access Licence | LLEMP | Lower Level Extraction Management Plan |
| PIRMPPollution Incident Response Management PlanPOEO ActProtection of the Environment Operations Act 1997SoCStatement of CommitmentsTMPTransport Management PlanTSPTotal Suspended ParticulatesTSSTotal Suspended SolidsWALWater Access Licence | LMCC | Lake Macquarie City Council |
| POEO Act Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 SoC Statement of Commitments TMP Transport Management Plan TSP Total Suspended Particulates TSS Total Suspended Solids WAL Water Access Licence | NMP | Noise Management Plan |
| SoC Statement of Commitments TMP Transport Management Plan TSP Total Suspended Particulates TSS Total Suspended Solids WAL Water Access Licence | PIRMP | Pollution Incident Response Management Plan |
| TMPTransport Management PlanTSPTotal Suspended ParticulatesTSSTotal Suspended SolidsWALWater Access Licence | POEO Act | Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 |
| TSPTotal Suspended ParticulatesTSSTotal Suspended SolidsWALWater Access Licence | SoC | Statement of Commitments |
| TSS Total Suspended Solids WAL Water Access Licence | TMP | Transport Management Plan |
| WAL Water Access Licence | TSP | Total Suspended Particulates |
| | TSS | Total Suspended Solids |
| WMP Water Management Plan | WAL | Water Access Licence |
| | WMP | Water Management Plan |

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Metromix Pty Ltd (Metromix) operate the Teralba Quarry, an existing hard rock quarry located in Teralba NSW.

The Quarry is situated upon Lots 1 and 2 DP 224037 and was initially established in 1964, with the operation purchased by Metromix in 1986. The Teralba Quarry Extension Project was approved in February 2013 under PA10_0183. A modification (MOD 1) to PA 10_0183 was approved on 16 April 2018.

The currently approved Teralba Quarry Extension project (MOD 1) provides for the continuation and expansion of the Teralba Quarry for the production of sand, aggregates and road base:

- extracting up to 1.2 Mtpa of mainly conglomerate rock;
- trucking by road of up to 1.0 Mtpa of quarry products;
- establishing a tunnel beneath Rhondda Road for a conveyor (if required to be constructed for the Northern Extension); and
- progressive rehabilitation of the disturbed areas of the quarry site.

The total Teralba Quarry Extension site area is 130ha, including worked out extraction areas and infrastructure (process plant and stockpile areas, administration building, weighbridge and workshop area) and 73.3 ha consisting of:

- 47.5 ha of current extraction areas;
- Northern Extension area 16.5 ha;
- Southern Extension area 9.3 ha.

The conditions of approval require Teralba Quarry to appoint an independent auditor to assess compliance with the Minister's Conditions of Approval obtained for the quarry operations.

| Project Details | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Project Name | Teralba Quarry |
| Project Application Number | Development Consent PA 10-0183 |
| Project Address | Rhondda Road Teralba New South Wales. |
| Project Phase | Operational. |
| Project Description | The approved activities at the Quarry comprise the following. Development and use of an extraction area to mainly conglomerate rock using standard drill, blast, load and haul techniques. Crushing and processing of hard rock material to produce quarry products. Transportation of up to 1,000 000t per year of quarry products. Progressive rehabilitation and construction of the final landform. |

Project Details

1.2 Audit Team

The audit was conducted by the following:

| Auditor | Role | Qualifications |
|------------|--------------|--|
| James Hart | Lead Auditor | Lead Environmental Auditor Exemplar Global No 12105 Newcastle University, Graduate Diploma in Environmental Science, 1997 |

The independent audit declaration form is attached as Appendix C.

1.3 Audit Objectives

The objective of this audit was to undertake the independent environmental audit of the project in compliance with the Development Consent PA 10-0183 (Mod 1) to assess compliance with the conditions of consent, environmental protection licence, water access licence, Statement of Commitments and relevant management plans. The audit was conducted with consideration of the Department of Planning Industry and Environment Independent Audit Post Approval Requirements June 2020 (IAPAR 2020).

1.4 Audit Scope

The scope of this audit comprised of the following:

- Consultation with stakeholders to obtain their input into the scope of the audit;
- Review of compliance against Development Consent PA 10-0183 (Mod 1):
- Review of requirements of EPL 536;
- Review of requirements of Water Access licence 40303;
- Review of implementation of the following management plans:
 - Environmental Management Strategy Teralba Quarry, Rev 03, 20 December 2018;
 - Air Quality Management Plan Teralba Quarry, Rev 6, 9 December 2019;
 - Water Management Plan- Teralba Quarry, Rev 05, 21 September 2020;
 - Noise Management Plan Teralba Quarry, Rev 03, 10 December 2021;
 - Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan Teralba Quarry, Approved 18 June 2019;
 - Transport Management Plan Teralba Quarry, Rev 5, 9 December 2019.
 - o Blast Management Plan Teralba Quarry, Rev 6, 30 June 2020.
 - o Lower Level Extraction Management Plan Teralba Quarry, September 2016;
 - o Bushfire Management Plan Teralba Quarry, Final, February 2014;
 - Waste Management Plan Teralba Quarry, Rev 03, 9 December 2019;
- the performance of the operation;
- results from previous audits;
- any incidents or community complaints;

• Site inspection of the development area.

The audit criteria were developed by the Lead Auditor, and are included as a checklist at the end of this report.

1.5 Audit Period

This was the third independent environmental audit carried out on the project, which covered the period January 2020 to January 2023.

2 Methodology

2.1 Approval of Auditors

Metromix Pty Ltd engaged James Hart as the independent environmental auditor to conduct this audit. The auditor details and certification were discussed in Section 1.2 of this report. Auditor's approval letter from DPE is attached as Appendix C.

2.2 Audit scope development

The audit scope and a checklist was developed based on the Development Consent PA 10-0183 (Mod 1), statement of commitments, requirements of Environment Protection License (EPL) 536, requirements of Water License 40303 and feedback from agencies consulted.

2.3 Audit Process

The audit commenced with an Opening Meeting to confirm the scope, purpose, and timeline of the audit. The Opening Meeting was held at 08.30am on 6 February 2023.

Key operational documents were reviewed, and evidence of compliance was sought through the interview process. Key documents where the various management plans required under the approval. Documentation included a combination of hard copy records and electronic records maintained by Metromix.

The audit activities included the following:

- A site walk to review implementation of mitigation measures and environmental controls on 6 February 2023 accompanied by the Mo Yunusa, Darryn Bosch, Nicholas Warren and Sophia Amini (R.W. Corkery);
- Desktop review of the project documentation (EMP and its sub-plans) to verify compliance with the Project Approval, EPL and WAL requirements;
- Review of available records on the project website and records provided during the site audit and records provided subsequently as evidence of compliance; and
- Submission of draft report to Metromix for review prior to finalisation of report and submission to DPE.

A closing meeting was held at 5.00pm on 6 February 2023 where the preliminary audit findings were presented. Where aspects of the audit remained unresolved, Metromix was requested to provide additional information. This information was provided on between the 1/02/2023 and 17/02/2023.

2.4 Interviewed Persons

Name and position of persons interviewed:

| Name | Organisation | Position |
|--------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Mo Yunusa | Metromix Pty Ltd | Manager of Quarries |
| Darryn Bosch | Metromix Pty Ltd | Quarry Manager |

2.5 Details of Site Inspection

A site inspection of the quarry was conducted with focus on the following controls:

- Erosion and sedimentation controls;
- Water storage;
- access/egress;
- Roads surrounding the site for dust/mud tracking;
- Dust management;
- Waste management;
- Site fence and vegetation screening;
- Boundary markers;
- Chemical storage;
- Site signage; and
- General housekeeping.

2.6 Agency and Community Consultation

Consultation with the following was undertaken prior to the audit to obtain feedback and to focus the audit criteria on key issues.

Refer to Appendix C for consultation records provided.

| Contact | Agency | Comments |
|--|--|--|
| Lisa Andrews Independent Chairperson | Community Consultative Committee | The Metromix Teralba Quarry CCC is a very small committee. We only meet annually and receive a comprehensive presentation from the proponent. There are no real issues or concerns raised. |
| James Epstein Senior Compliance Officer Development Assessment | Department of Planning and Environment | The department requests particular attention is made to the following items during the upcoming Independent Environmental Audit: Implementation of the Noise Management Plan; and Implementation of the Blast Management Plan |
| Jenny Ehmsen Principal Compliance Auditor MAI - Enforcement Resources Regulator | Resources Regulator | Records held by the NSW Resources Regulator (the Regulator) indicate that there are no mining leases under the Mining Act 1992 currently associated with the quarry. As such, the Regulator does not have any requirements for the independent audit. |

| Lisa Potter Operations Officer Regulatory Operations | NSW Environment Protection Authority | I conducted an inspection of the Metromix premises on 18/11/2020. At that time no concerns were identified with the operations at Teralba. One issue raised during the inspection was the accuracy of dust and noise monitoring at point A (monitoring point 11) on Myrtle St, as this is an industrial area and there is potential for the monitoring results to be impacted by industrial area operations. No further action was taken at that time. |
|--|---|---|
| Glen. Mathews Development Planner | Lake Macquarie City Council | No response |

Issues raised during the agency consultation process were considered in development of the audit checklist and checked and reviewed during the site inspection and review of records.

2.7 Audit Compliance Status Descriptors

| Compliant | The auditor has collected sufficient verifiable evidence to demonstrate that all elements of the requirement have been complied with within the scope of the audit. |
|---------------|---|
| Not Compliant | The auditor has determined that one or more specific elements of the conditions or requirements have not been complied with within the scope of the audit. |
| Not triggered | A requirement has an activation or timing trigger that has not been met during the temporal scope of the audit being undertaken (may be a retrospective or future requirement), therefore an assessment of compliance is not relevant. |

2.8 Approvals and Documents Audited

The following documents and approvals were reviewed and included within the audit:

- Development Consent PA 10-0183 (Mod 1).
- Environment Protection Licence 536.
- Water Access Licence 40303.
- Environmental Management Strategy Teralba Quarry, Rev 03, 20 December 2018;
- Air Quality Management Plan Teralba Quarry, Rev 6, 9 December 2019;
- Water Management Plan- Teralba Quarry, Rev 05, 21 September 2020;
- Noise Management Plan Teralba Quarry, Rev 03, 10 December 2021;
- Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan Teralba Quarry, Approved 18 June 2019;
- Transport Management Plan Teralba Quarry, Rev 5, 9 December 2019.
- Blast Management Plan Teralba Quarry, Rev 6, 30 June 2020.
- Lower Level Extraction Management Plan Teralba Quarry, September 2016;
- Bushfire Management Plan Teralba Quarry, Final, February 2014;
- Waste Management Plan Teralba Quarry, Rev 03, 9 December 2019.
- Independent Environmental Audit, Teralba Quarry, AQUAS, February 2020.
- 55960_ IEA 2020_Metromix Response 20200313.

- Teralba Quarry Project 2020 Annual Review for the period 1 January 2020 to 31 December 2020, March 2021.
- Teralba Quarry Project Annual Review 2021 for the period 1 January 2021 to 31 December 2022.
- Community Complaints Register 2020
- Community Complaints Register 2021
- Community Complaints Register 2022
- Bank Guarantee DG971263418.
- Letter Revised Rehabilitation Bond Calculation, 2/04/2020
- Lake Macquarie Haulage Levy Tax Invoice, 8 July 2020.
- Lake Macquarie Haulage Levy Tax Invoice, 14 July 2021.
- Lake Macquarie Haulage Levy Tax Invoice, 3 March 2021.
- Lake Macquarie Haulage Levy Tax Invoice, 19 July 2022.
- 2022 Nest Box Monitoring Report, ECHO Ecology and Surveying.
- 2021 Nest Box Monitoring Report, ECHO Ecology and Surveying.
- 2020 Nest Box Monitoring Report, ECHO Ecology and Surveying.
- Report Hollow- Bearing Tree Survey of Stages 1C and 2BA Stripping, Echo Ecology, 10/08/2020
- REF (Project SC-11765: Relation of 33kV and 11kV Power Lines, Teralba, December 2019).
- Letter: Re Proposed Relocation of Ausgrid 33kv & 11kv Assets At 158 Rhondda Road Teralba; Lots 1 & 2 DP 224037; TBA19-06605, Mine Subsidence Advisory NSW 3/12/2019.
- Ausgrid Asset Relocation Offer , Project No AN-11765, 16/01/2020.
- Metromix Quarry Employee/Contractor Teralba Site Induction
- Teralba Quarry Rapid Induct and Rapid Access Records
- February 2021 Propeller survey.
- Monthly truck Movement summaries January 2020 to January 2023.
- Summary of Production data 2022.
- August 2020 Noise Monitoring Report Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics
- August 2021 Noise Monitoring Report Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics
- November 2021 Noise Monitoring Report Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics
- September 2022 Noise Monitoring Report Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics
- Teralba Quarry Plant Maintenance records
- Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2020
- Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2021
- Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2022
- EPL 536 Monthly Monitoring Summary December 2020
- EPL 536 Monthly Monitoring Summary December 2021
- EPL 536 Monthly Monitoring Summary December 2022
- Quarterly Maintenance Report 31/01/2023.
- Minutes of Meeting Teralba Quarry Community Consultative Committee (CCC) Via Teleconference*, 6 May 2020
- Minutes of Meeting Teralba Quarry Community Consultative Committee (CCC), 5 May 2021
- Minutes of Meeting Teralba Quarry Community Consultative Committee (CCC), 17 May 2021
- Email response from DPE 14/02/2020 RE: Blast Overpressure Exceedance
- Email to DPE 3/08/2020 EPL: 536 Condition L2.4 Exceedance reporting Water Quality Exceedance between 25 & 28/07/2020
- Email to EPA 19/02/2020 Re dust monitoring Exceedance Jan 2020

- Email 17/11/2021 Re Noise Monitoring November 2021 MP10 0183-PA-15
- Email to DPE 3/08/2020 re Water Quality Exceedance.
- Email to DPE/ EPA 16/03/2020 Teralba Quarry Blasting Exceedance.
- Email to DPE 13/03/2020
- Email to DPE 26/03/2020 Blasting Exceedance Notification.

2.9 Issues to be considered in reviewing this report

This audit was based on a review of compliance with the approval conditions for the operation of the Teralba Quarry.

In particular, the audit focused on the implementation of measures described in the various Environmental Management Plans to manage the impacts of the activities on the surrounding environment. The checklist appended to this report identifies those compliance issues that could be assessed given the stage of the project.

By its very nature an audit does not guarantee full compliance of all aspects of the project with the undertakings of the Management Plans and associated documentation. However; in the opinion of the auditor, the extent and scope of the field inspection together with the records maintained by Metromix were sufficient evidence to verify general compliance of the activities with the requirements of the conditions of approval.

3 AUDIT FINDINGS

3.1 Overview

Specific activities being undertaken at the time of audit were:

- Extraction and crushing of material;
- Screening and stockpiling of material; and
- Loading of trucks for dispatch.

The attached checklists record the outcomes of the audit process.

| | Requirements | Findings |
|--|--------------|--------------------|
| Schedule 2 – Administrative Controls | 23 | Compliant – 19 |
| | | Non-Compliant – 1 |
| | | Not Triggered – 3 |
| | | |
| Schedule 3 – Environmental Performance Conditions | 32 | Compliant – 48 |
| Conditions | | Non-Compliant – 5 |
| | | Not Triggered – 10 |
| | | |
| Schedule 4 – Additional Procedures | 3 | Compliant – 0 |
| | | Non-Compliant – 1 |
| | | Not Triggered – 2 |
| Schedule 5 – Additional Procedures | 13 | Compliant – 10 |
| | 15 | Non-Compliant – 3 |
| | | Not Triggered – 0 |
| | | Not mggered – o |
| EPL 536 | 77 | Compliant – 57 |
| | 11 | Non-Compliant – 7 |
| | | |
| | | Not Triggered – 13 |
| Statement of Commitments | 119 | Compliant – 112 |
| | 119 | |
| | | Non-Compliant – 0 |
| | | Not Triggered – 7 |
| WAL 40303 | 5 | Compliant 5 |
| | σ | Compliant – 5 |
| | | Non-Compliant – 0 |
| | | Not Triggered – 1 |

3.2 Assessment of Compliance

Overall, the project had implemented processes to generally manage compliance with Development Consent PA 10-0183 (Mod 1), EPL 536, WAL 40303 and the Statement of Commitments.

Quarrying activities have been undertaken within the approved boundaries, which have been clearly identified on site. The conditions of consent permit quarrying operation to a depth of 20m AHD. It was noted that the site survey showed areas of the quarry floor was below 20m AHD (down to 18m AHD). It was reported that the areas below 20m AHD were the result of collapse of underground workings, and not the result of quarrying activities. In accordance with the approved Lower Level Extraction Management Plan, areas where previous mine workings have resulted in depths greater than 20m AHD are filled to ensure a final depth of 20m AHD.

The attached checklists record the outcomes of the audit process. Ten non-compliances have been raised where compliance with the conditions of consent, EPL, WAL, Statement of Commitments or management plans could not be verified.

3.2.1 Air Quality

An Air Quality Management Plan, which included controls to minimise air quality impacts and monitoring requirements, had been developed, approved and implemented for the project.

Controls had been implemented to minimise the impact of the project on air quality. The site was progressively cleared and rehabilitated. Irrigation sprays had been installed on the conveyors to minimise dust generation, and a water cart used for dust suppression within the quarry.

Deposited dust monitoring had been conducted, with no exceedances of the site annual average deposited dust criteria occurring.

In accordance with the approved Air Quality Management Plan, PM_{10} dust monitoring is conducted. Exceedances of the 24 hour average criteria were recorded on the 21 and 22 January 2020. These exceedances were attributed to bushfires in the region, and not the result of quarry activities. It was noted that PM_{10} monitoring was not conducted on 3/01/2020 or 9/01/2020 as a result of equipment malfunction. This issue was raised as non-compliance NC-05.

During the audit, evidence was sighted to verify that controls identified in the AQMP had been implemented. Monitoring results verify that controls implemented were effective in managing air quality impacts of the project.

3.2.2 Noise Management

A Noise Management Plan had been developed, approved and implemented in accordance with Schedule 3 Condition 18, which identified noise monitoring requirements.

Noise monitoring was conducted on an annual basis in accordance with the requirements of the Noise Management Plan and EPL. Noise monitoring conducted in August 2021 identified exceedances of the noise criteria at two monitoring locations. Investigations identified that the exceedance was the result of changes to onsite activities. Activities on site were subsequently modified to reduce noise emissions, including how work was scheduled and executed. No further noise exceedances had been recorded.

The Noise Management Plan had been reviewed and subsequently approved following the noise criteria exceedance. No further exceedances or noise related complaints had been received, indicating that the measures implemented were successful in managing noise generation.

One complaint had been received during the period covered by this audit in relation to noise from trucks. The complaint was subsequently investigated, including and the results of the investigation provided to the complainant. No further noise complaints had been received.

As a result of the exceedance identified in August 2021, Non-compliance NC-02 was raised.

3.2.3 Traffic Management

A Transport Management Plan (TMP) had been developed and approved for the management of site traffic.

The TMP incorporated approved transport routes for vehicles travelling to and from the site and parking areas. Light and heavy vehicles had been segregated, with a dedicated light vehicle parking areas provided.

Records of driver inductions, including the driver code of conduct, were available, and vehicle inspection checks had been conducted to verify compliance with site requirements.

The audit found compliance with the requirements of the TMP. Records of truck movements were maintained from weighbridge information, which showed truck movements were compliant with site requirements.

One complaint had been received in relation to truck movements. Records verified that the complaint had been investigated, including and the results of the investigation provided to the complainant.

No non-compliances in relation to transport management were identified.

3.2.4 Water Management

A Water Management Plan (Rev 05, dated 21/09/2020) had been developed and approved for the project. The WMP had been verified as compliant with the conditions of approval.

Records were available to demonstrate that water monitoring had been conducted in compliance with the requirements of the consent conditions and EPL.

Exceedance of EPL total suspended solids criteria for water discharged was reported on three consecutive days in July 2020 following a period of significant rainfall (129.4mm, exceeding the design rainfall level for the Quarry). Records were available to verify that the exceedances had been reported to DPE and the EPA. No changes to the WMP or additional measurement measures were required to be implemented, given that the exceedance resulted from rainfall exceeding the design rainfall level for the quarry, and was not the result of a control failure or deficiency in the water management system.

Regular inspections of the quarry, including infrastructure and water management measures were conducted.

Non-compliance NC-05 was raised as a result of the exceedance of EPL water quality criteria.

3.2.5 Blast Management

A Blast Management Plan was prepared to satisfy Project Approval Schedule 3 condition 16 and submitted to DPE on 6 September 2013. The Blast Management Plan has been updated on multiple occasions, with the latest review occurring in 2020 following exceedances of the blast overpressure criteria.

One non-compliance (NC-03) was identified in relation to blast management as the result of 3 exceedances of the blast overpressure in the Period February - March 2020. Records were available to verify that the blast criteria exceedances had been reported to DPE and the EPA, and that a thorough investigation into the exceedances had been completed. As a result of the investigations, the blast design parameters had been modified, with a robust system implemented for managing blasts, as described in Section 8.4 of the BMP.

An Official Caution was issued by DPE as a result of the blast overpressure exceedances.

Following implementation of the reviewed blast design parameters, no exceedances of the blast criteria have occurred, indicated that the measures implemented have been successful in managing blast impacts.

3.2.6 Waste Management

Facilities had been provided for the storage and disposal of waste on site. Records were available to verify that, where applicable, waste had been collected by an approved waste contractor and

disposed of at an appropriate facility. Septic waste was disposed of onsite through an onsite aerated wastewater treatment system. Records were sighted to verify regular maintenance of the aerated wastewater treatment system.

3.2.7 Biodiversity and Rehabilitation

The Quarry has been operating under a Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan (BRMP) which had been updated (Rev 04, 19 June 2018). No changes to the BRMP had occurred during the period covered by the current audit. The BRMP included requirements for of clearing, threatened species, weeds and feral pests, and monitoring requirements.

All extraction activities had been undertaken within Stage 1A, 1B, 1C, and Stage 2 of the southern extension.

T.E.N.T.A.C.L.E Inc had been engaged to conduct rehabilitation and weed management for the site. Rehabilitation of extraction benches, disturbed areas not required for operational purposes, and silt cells has been undertaken.

Weeding of active areas of the quarry and undisturbed non-operational areas had been conducted, as well as weeding and maintenance of previously rehabilitated areas, including the location of the translocated Tetratheca Juncea.

No non-compliances had been identified in relation to biodiversity and rehabilitation management.

3.2.8 Heritage Management

A Heritage Management Plan (HMP) was prepared in June 2013 to satisfy the requirements of Project Approval 10_0183 Schedule 3 condition 49 and submitted to DPE and approved on 19 September 2014.

The Heritage Management Plan was updated (Version 3, 11/09/2019) and approved by DPE on 6/12/2019.

The audit found that the site was in compliance with requirements of the Heritage Management Plan.

Two Aboriginal artefacts which had been identified during a field survey prior to stage 2 clearing works had been collected and stored awaiting agreement from Aboriginal representatives on a suitable location for reburial. Records showed the quarry had been in regular contact with archaeologist representatives.

No unexpected heritage finds had been identified during the period covered by the current audit.

Records were available to verify that a cultural heritage training package had been developed and implemented.

3.3 Lower Level Extraction Management Plan

A Lower Level Extraction Plan was prepared by Mining Operation Services (MOS) and G E Holt & Associates (GHA) for the Teralba Quarry and submitted to DP&I in February 2014. The Lower Level Extraction Plan was approved by DP&E on 23 November 2016.

The audit found that the site was in compliance with requirements of the Lower Level Extraction Management Plan.

3.4 Previous Audit Findings

Previous audit findings were reviewed as part of the current audit to assess implementation of actions identified to address issues. Actions to address previous audit findings had been identified and previous non-compliances had generally been closed out. Where non-conformances had not been closed out, a new non-compliance was raised in the current audit. A summary of previous findings and status is provided in Appendix A.

3.5 Summary of Agency Notices, Orders, Penalty Notices or Prosecutions

No reportable environmental incidents that constitute material harm to the environment occurred during the period covered by this audit.

One Official Warning had been issued by DPE following 3 exceedances of the blast overpressure criteria in 2020. No further exceedances had occurred.

A Prohibition Notice was issued by the Resources Regulator in relation to works near exposed underground workings at the base of Bench 5 in the vicinity of Stage 1B. No further works have been undertaken in this area.

3.6 Complaints

A Complaints Register is available where information regarding complaints was recorded, including the relevant resolution. A summary of complaints was published on the company website. One complaint had been received Via DPE during the period covered by the current audit. Records were sighted to verify that complaints had been actioned and closed out.

| Date | Complaint Details | Quarry Response |
|--------------------|---|---|
| Date 12/11/2020 | Complaint Details Local resident called to complain about the amount of truck movements going through the intersection of Rhondda Road and Railway Street. The complainant advised that in recent months he has observed an increase in truck traffic and the associated noise levels have made it so he can no longer sit on his porch and speak with his partner. The complainant also advised that he can hear truck movements from as early as 5:30am and requested if the traffic can be diverted around Rhondda Road. The complainant then advised that the intersection has become a safety hazard | Quarry Response Metromix entered details of this complaint into their online reporting system. The Quarry Manager conducted an investigation into the complaint and responded to the complainant in writing the following day. The findings from the investigation showed that truck movements for the preceding two months were within the approved limits and the complainant was given copies of the quarry's project approval, 2020 noise monitoring report, October truck movement |
| | due to the trucks driving wide of their lane. | report and September truck movement report. The complainant |

| | | responded on 16/11/2020 with: 'Thank you and I will review, regards |
|-----------|---|--|
| 1/10/2021 | At approximately 8:05am a Metromix truck passed too close to local resident Jim Heaton as he rode his horse along Wakefield Road. Not long after Jim called the quarry to advise that this was not the first time that Metromix trucks have passed too close to him while he rides his horse along Wakefield and Rhondda Roads. Jim did not wish to provide the truck number in question at this stage however, if the issue happens again he will ride his horse along the middle of the road from Wakefield Road to Rhondda Road and past the quarry entrance. Jim advised that this is approx 5km's and would take him 30mins to complete and he does not care how many people he inconvenience's in process. I apologised to Jim regarding his experience and advised that we will draft a company memo that will be communicated to the transport team and Downer Asphalt. Jim was happy to be contacted via his mobile to be advised when this is done. | Contacted Jim on 14th October to advise the memo has been drafted and communicated to the transport department, Downer Asphalt and the Quarry. The memo is on display at the quarry weighbridge and sign in station so all drivers and visitors can view it. Jim did not wish to receive a copy of the memo and advised that he has already noticed a positive change in driver behaviour with trucks slowing down and providing more room when passing him. Jim thanked Metromix for their effort in resolving his complaint. |
| 8/06/2022 | A member of the public called the Resources Regulator to report a near miss between themselves and a White Prado that had entered Rhondda Road from the Teralba Quarry exit. The Resources Regulator called the Quarry Manager to discuss this report. The Resources Regulator advised that they do not control safety on public roads and therefore advised the complainant to contact the local council. The Resources Regulator requested for the Quarry Manager to toolbox the quarry staff regarding the complaint. | The site staff have been tool-boxed of the reported incident and reminded that to exit and enter the site in a safe manner. |

3.7 Additional Matters Raised During Consultation

| Agency | Issue | Finding |
|--------|-------|--|
| DPE | | The audit found that there was general compliance with the Noise and the Blast Management Plans. |

| during the upcoming | A non-compliance was raised in 2020 following |
|-----------------------|--|
| Independent | exceedance of noise criteria during annual monitoring. |
| Environmental Audit: | Actions were identified and implemented to reduce noise |
| Implementation of the | emissions from the site. No further noise exceedances |
| Noise Management | or complaints have been recorded. |
| Plan; and | Non-compliances had been identified in relation to |
| Implementation of the | exceedances of blast criteria in 2020, which resulted in |
| Blast Management | an Official Caution being issued by DPE. No further |
| Plan | exceedances had occurred in 2021 or 2022. Refer to Section 3.2 for further information on noise and blast management performance |

3.8 Audit Site Inspection

The site inspection was conducted on 6 February by the Lead Auditor James Hart accompanied by Darryn Bosch and Nicholas Warren and Sophia Amini (R.W. Corkery). Specific activities being undertaken at the time of audit were:

- Loading and haulage of material from the quarry to the processing plant;
- Operation of quarry earthmoving equipment, including front end loader;
- Screening and stockpiling of material; and
- Loading of trucks for dispatch.

The site environmental controls and mitigation measures were verified including:

- Sealed road had been provided for access/ egress to the site,
- Water cart was available for dust control. Noted that no significant dust was being generated during the site inspection,
- Dedicated storage areas had been provided for oils and chemicals on site,
- Receptacles were provided for segregation and storage of wastes,
- Spill kits were available on site,
- Erosion and sediment controls had been implemented,
- Areas containing Tetratheca juncea had been isolated using barrier tape;
- Water storage ponds were in good condition, and
- site was generally clean and tidy.

It was noted that barrier tape around some areas containing Tetratheca juncea had been damaged. Consideration should be given to repairing/ replacing damaged tape.

3.9 Suitability and Adequacy of Plans and the EMS

An assessment of the general adequacy and compliance against Project Approval conditions of key management plans was undertaken and is provided in Appendix A. It should be noted, however, that a detailed or technical assessment of these management plans was not undertaken.

Overall, the EMS, sub-plans and compliance were found to be adequate, had been provided by appropriately qualified and experienced personnel, received the appropriate approval and had been satisfactorily implemented. Commentary on individual management plans is provided in Section 3.2.

Environmental Management System (EMS) was robust with strengths in communication processes, documentation and record keeping, induction, training and competence, environmental controls and non-conformance/corrective action processes.

Management plans have been reviewed and revised where required. Management plans have

been updated and submitted to DPE for approval within the timeframe as per the approval conditions, with the exception of following the 2021-2022 Annual Review. While a letter providing evidence to show the management plans had been reviewed (no changes required) was sighted, evidence that the letter had been submitted to the Department was not available. Refer Non-compliance NC-09.

Where compliance with requirements of the requirements of management plans could not be demonstrated, non-compliances were raised. Details of non-compliances are provided in Section 4.

3.10 Actual verses predicted environment impacts

Review of monitoring data identified that the impacts on air, water and noise quality was consistent with predicted impacts. While air quality exceedances had occurred, these were the result of bushfires in the region, not site activities. Water quality exceedances recorded results from a very high rainfall event and was not considered to adversely affect downstream water quality.

Noise impacts from Quarrying operations were generally inaudible at monitoring locations, with the exception of monitoring conducted in August 2021, where noise impacts exceeded site criteria and predicted impacts. Additional control measures had been implemented to minimise the risk of further exceedances, with subsequent monitoring showing noise impacts consistent with predicted levels.

Impacts on biodiversity were consistent with predicted impacts. Clearing had been conducted within the approved project limits, and controls had been implemented to minimise the impact on fauna and protect endangered flora.

3.11 Key Strengths

Overall, the project environmental performance in compliance with Development Consent SSD 10_0183 was satisfactorily met with the following key strengths noted:

- The process for managing complaints and non-conformances has been implemented and recorded. Prompt response to complaints was noted.
 - Implementation of environmental control, including:
 - Rehabilitation of disturbed areas;
 - water management;
 - Dust management; and
 - the site was maintained in a clean and tidy condition.

4 Non-compliances and Recommendations

The table following outlines the identified non-compliances as well the auditor's recommendations. Auditor's notes are detailed in the attached **Appendix A – Audit Table**.

4.1 Areas of Non-compliance

| Issue No. | Condition | Requirement | Issue sighted | Recommendation |
|--------------|--|---|--|---|
| NC-01 | Schedule 2 Condition 2 | The Proponent, in acting on this approval, must carry out the project in accordance with: (a) the conditions of this approval; and (b) all written directions of the Secretary | Non-compliances has been recorded against Conditions of Approval Schedule 3 (Conditions 4,26,30), Schedule 5 (Condition 1,10,11). | It is recommended that all non-compliances identified are addressed and closed out. Consider implementing a process to track compliance requirements and status. |
| NC-02 | Schedule 3 Condition 5 EPL L5.1, L5.2, L5.3 | Noise CriteriaThe Proponent must ensure that the noise generated by the project does not exceed the criteria in Table 2 at any residence on privately-owned land.Table 2: Noise criteria dB(A)Image: training tr | Noise exceedances at two locations (D, E) on 16 August 2021. | Where significant changes to quarry operations are planned, the potential noise impact of the planned changes should be reviewed to ensure that the changes do not result in noise level exceedances. |
| NC-03 | Schedule 3 Condition 9 EPL L6.3 | Blasting Criteria The Proponent must ensure that the blasting on the site does not cause exceedances of the criteria in Table 4. Table 4: Blasting criteria <u>infast overpressure Ground vibration Allowable (dB(Lin Peak)) (mm/s) exceedance (dB(Lin Peak)) in the maximum of the total of the terms of the proponent has a written agreement with the relevant owner or infrastructure provider/owner, and the Proponent has advised the Department in writing of the terms of this agreement. </u> | The air blast overpressure criteria was exceeded on three occasions in the period January and March 2020. | Subsequent to the blast exceedances, Metromix have implemented processes to manage blasts and ensure compliance with blast criteria. No further exceedances have occurred. No further action required. |

| Issue No. | Operating Conditions The Proponent must: | | Issue sighted | Recommendation |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|
| NC-04 | | | PM10 monitoring not conducted on 3/01/2020 and 9/01/2020 due to equipment failure. | Metromix should ensure that monitoring is undertaken in accordance with the approved AQMP. |
| NC-05 | Schedule 3 Condition 23 | approval, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. Surface Water Discharges The Proponent must ensure that all surface water discharges from the site comply with the discharge limits in any EPL which regulates water discharges from the site, or with section 120 of the POEO Act. | 3 exceedances of the TSS criterion were recorded at EPL Point 5 during discharge on 27, 28 and 29/07/2020. The exceedances were identified as resulting from significant rainfall. | Exceedances were the result of high rainfall exceeding the design capacity of the water management system and not the failure of water management controls or deficiency in the water management system. No further action required. |
| NC-06 | Schedule 4 Condition 1 | As soon as practicable and no longer than 7 days after obtaining monitoring results showing an: (a) exceedance of any relevant criteria in Schedule 3, the Proponent must notify affected landowners in writing of the exceedance, and provide regular monitoring results to each affected landowner until the project is again complying with the relevant criteria; and an exceedance of the relevant air quality criteria in Schedule 3, the proponent must send a copy of the NSW Health fact sheet entitled <i>"Mine Dust and You"</i> (as may be updated from time to time) to the affected landowners and/or existing tenants of the land. | While the EPA and DPE were notified of the exceedance of noise criteria in August 2021, residents had not been notified. | Where an exceedance of any relevant criteria in Schedule 3 is identified, Metromix should notify affected landowners in writing of the exceedance, and provide regular monitoring results. |
| NC-07 | Schedule 5 Condition 2 | Adaptive Management | and/ or performance | Metromix should ensure that adequate controls are identified and implemented to ensure no exceedances of |

| Issue No. | Condition | Requirement | Issue sighted | Recommendation |
|--------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | The Proponent must assess and manage project-related risks to ensure that there are no exceedances of the criteria and/or performance measures in Schedule 3. Any exceedance of these criteria and/or performance measures constitutes a breach of this approval and may be subject to penalty or offence provisions under the EP&A Act or EP&A Regulation. | relation to noise, water quality and blasting. | project criteria or performance measures occur. |
| | | Where any exceedance of these criteria and/or performance measures has occurred, the Proponent must as soon as becoming aware of any exceedance: | | |
| | | (a) take all reasonable and feasible steps to ensure that the exceedance ceases and does not reoccur; (b) consider all reasonable and feasible options for remediation (where relevant); (c) within 14 days of the exceedance occurring, submit a report to the Secretary describing these remediation options and any preferred remediation measures or other course of action; and (d) implement remediation measures as directed by the Secretary, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. | | |
| NC-08 | Schedule 5 Condition 8 | Revision of Strategies, Plans & Programs Within 3 months of the submission of an: (a) annual review under condition 4 above: (b) incident report under condition 7 below; (c) audit report under condition 9 below; and (d) any modifications to this approval, the Proponent must review, and if necessary revise, the strategies, plans, and programs required under this approval to the satisfaction of the Secretary. The Proponent must notify the Department in writing of any such review being undertaken. Where this review leads to revisions in any such document, then within 6 weeks of the review the revised document must be submitted for the approval of the Secretary. Note: This is to ensure the strategies, plans and programs are updated on a regular basis, and incorporate any recommended measures to improve the environmental performance of the project. | While a letter verifying that management plans had been reviewed following submission of the 2021- 2022 Annual Review, evidence that the letter had been submitted to DPE was not available. | Metromix should ensure that, when management plans are reviewed, the Department is notified in writing of the outcome of the reviews. |
| NC-09 | EPL M2.3 | Water and/ or Land Monitoring Requirements Point 4 | No sample was collected from EPA Point 4 in | Metromix should ensure that, where a change in personnel occurs, a thorough handover is conducted to ensure the |

| Issue No. | Condition | dition Requirement | | | | Issue sighted | Recommendation | |
|--------------|-----------|----------------------------|---|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| | | Pollutant | Unit of Measure | Frequenc y | Sampling Method | | November 2020 following a change of personnel. | new worker understands all requirements of the role. |
| | | Electrical conductivity | microsiemens per centimetre | Monthly | Grab sample | | change of personnel. | |
| | | Oil and Grease | milligrams per litre | Monthly Monthly | Grab sample Grab sample | _ | | |
| | | Total suspended solids | milligrams per litre | Monthly | Grab sample | | | |
| NC-10 | G2.2 | contact persons, or | nform the EPA in writing changes to the person's fourteen days of the app | s contact detail | ls as soon as practio | | New Quarry Manager commenced work in April 2022. The EPA had not been notified within 14 days. | Metromix should ensure that, the EPA is informed in writing of the appointment of any new contact persons, or changes to the person's contact details as soon as practicable and in any event within fourteen days of the appointment or change. |

4.2 Opportunities for Improvement

The following opportunities for improvement are presented for consideration by Metromix:

- Metromix may consider updating the project website to enable improve the layout and provide easier access to documentation.
- Metromix may consider updating the Blast Management to clarify that Lake Macquarie City Council require 7 days notice of blasts within 500m of Rhondda Road.
- Although not a compliance matter specified in the Heritage Management Plan, it is recommended that Metromix finalise arrangements for reburial of the identified Aboriginal artefacts as soon as practical.

4.3 Areas of compliance

All other relevant conditions audited were found to be either compliant or not triggered. Refer to the audit checklist provided as an attachment for full details of compliance.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Metromix had developed and generally implemented management plans and associated documentation to address the requirements of the conditions of consent.

While compliance with aspects of the conditions of the project approval and management plans was found, ten non-compliances were raised where compliance with requirements of the conditions of consent, EPL, WAL, Statement of Commitments or management plans prepared for the site was not demonstrated.

Metromix should ensure that actions are identified and implemented to address the findings contained within this audit to enable compliance with all obligations and ensure environmental impacts of the developments are appropriately managed.

ATTACHMENTS

- ✓ Substantive Changes
- ✓ Auditor CV
- Audit Checklists

CIRCULATION

✓ Metromix

a. Substantive Changes.

No substantive changes were made to the draft audit report.

Appendix A. - Previous Audit Findings

| lssue No. | Condition | Requirement | Issue sighted | Metromix Response | 2023 Status |
|--------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| 01 | The Proponent, in acting on this approval, must carry out the project: (a) in compliance with the conditions of this approval; | | Based on the number of non- compliant items the project is non- compliant to the requirement of Schedule 2-2(a). Recommendation : It is recommended that all non- compliances identified are addressed and closed out. Consider implementing a compliance tracing process to ensure compliances with the Conditions of Approval are met. | Noted | Further non-compliances were identified during the current audit. Refer new non-conformance NC-01. |
| 02 | Schedule 3-18 EPL 536 M2.2 SoC 11.18 | Operating Conditions The Proponent must: (a) implement best management practice to minimise the dust emissions of the project; (b) regularly assess air quality monitoring data and relocate, modify, and/or stop operations on site as may be required to ensure compliance with the relevant conditions of this approval, (c) minimise the air quality impacts of the project during adverse meteorological conditions and extraordinary events (see Note d to Tables 5-7 above); (d) minimise surface disturbance of the site and undertake progressive rehabilitation of the site; and monitor and report on compliance with the relevant, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. | Dust deposition monitoring results was not available for the dust gauge at EPL04 in January 2019. Access to the property where dust gauge EPL04 was sited was denied by the resident. As a result, no monitoring data was available for EPL04 for January 2019. Recommendation: The Dust gauge was relocated to an alternative location in January 2019. No further action required. | It is acknowledged that strict compliance with this condition was not possible due to the one-month lapse as Metromix sought permission from an alternate landowner for locating the dust gauge. Metromix considers this issue is resolved and notes continued compliance with the deposited dust criteria. | No further action required. |

| NL 0.2 | C. I I. I. 2. 24 | | Say of the state o | | |
|--------|------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| N-03 | Schedule 3-21 | Meteorological monitoring | Weather monitoring data was not | Metromix considers this issue is | No further action required. |
| | EPL 536 M4.1 | For the life of the project, the Proponent must | available for the period 28/07/18 to | resolved. While every effort is | |
| | | ensure that there is a suitable meteorological | 10/08/18 due to weather station | made to ensure the continuous | |
| | | station operating in the vicinity of the site that: | breakdown. | monitoring of weather | Closed |
| | | complies with the requirements in the | | conditions, it is noted that | |
| | | Approved Methods for Sampling of Air | Recommendation: | there are unfortunately | |
| | | Pollutants in New South Wales guideline; and | The weather station has been | unforeseeable instances where | |
| | | is capable of continuous real-time measurement of | repaired (10/08/18) and monitoring | this will not be possible. | |
| | | temperature lapse rate, in accordance with the | has continued. | | |
| | | NSW Industrial Noise Policy, or as otherwise | No further action required. | | |
| | | approved by EPA. | | | |
| N-04 | Schedule | Rehabilitation Bond | The revised rehabilitation bond had | An estimate of the quantum of | No further action required. |
| | 3-61 | Within 6 months of the approval of the Biodiversity | not been lodged within six months | the Rehabilitation Bond for the | |
| | | and Rehabilitation Management Plan, the | of approval of the Biodiversity and | Quarry was submitted to DPIE | |
| | | Proponent must lodge a Rehabilitation Bond with | Rehabilitation Management Plan. | for approval on 17 February | Closed |
| | | the Department to ensure that the rehabilitation of | | 2020. It is acknowledged that | |
| | | the site is implemented in accordance with the | Recommendation: | there was a period where the | |
| | | performance and completion criteria set out in the | Metromix should ensure that the | Rehabilitation Bond was not | |
| | | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan | calculation of the Rehabilitation | current. | |
| | | and the relevant conditions of approval. The sum of | Bond is completed and submitted to | Metromix currently has a bank | |
| | | the bond must be determined by: | the Department for approval and | guarantee held by DPIE for | |
| | | (a) calculating the cost of rehabilitating all | the bond lodged with the | rehabilitation of the Teralba | |
| | | disturbed areas of the site, taking into | Department. | Quarry. That bond is for a value | |
| | | account the likely surface disturbance over | It has been confirmed that the | of approximately \$1.6 million. | |
| | | the next 3 years of quarrying operations; | Rehabilitation Bond was submitted | Therefore, during the period | |
| | | and | on 17 February 2020. | when the bond was not current | |
| | | (b) employing a suitably qualified quantity | | there was a bond that may | |
| | | surveyor or other expert to verify the | | have been called upon by DPIE. | |
| | | calculated costs to the satisfaction of the | | The current estimate is for \$1.7 | |
| | | Secretary. | | million which takes into | |
| | | The calculation of the Rehabilitation Bond must be | | account the commencement of | |
| | | submitted to the Department for approval at least | | Stage 2 of operations as well as | |
| | | 2 months prior to the lodgement of the bond. | | the successful rehabilitation of | |
| | | | | some former silt cells. | |

| | <u></u> | | AU | | ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|------|---------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| N-05 | Schedule 5-5 | Revision of Strategies, Plans & Programs | All management plans had not | All management plans for the | Evidence was sighted to show |
| | | Within 3 months of the submission of an: | been submitted to the Secretary | Teralba Quarry have been | that management plans had |
| | | (a) annual review under condition 4 above: | within 3 months of modification of | submitted and approved by | been reviewed, and where |
| | | (b) incident report under condition 7 below; | the conditions of approval. | DPIE. | require updated, in accordance |
| | | (c) audit report under condition 9 below; and | The Air Quality Management Plan, | It is noted that lengthy delays | with Schedule 5-5. |
| | | (d) any modifications to this approval, | Blast Management Plan, Traffic | were experienced in receiving | |
| | | the Proponent must review, and if necessary | Management Plan, Water | feedback from Lake Macquarie | Closed |
| | | revise, the strategies, plans, and programs | Management Plan and | City Council due to staff change | |
| | | required under this approval to the satisfaction of | Environmental Management | over during the update of | |
| | | the Secretary. | Strategy had not been submitted | management plans for the | |
| | | The Proponent must notify the Department in | within the required timeframe. | Quarry. | |
| | | writing of any such review being undertaken. | | | |
| | | Where this review leads to revisions in any such | Recommendation: | | |
| | | document, then within 6 weeks of the review the | Metromix should ensure that all | | |
| | | revised document must be submitted for the | management plans are reviewed | | |
| | | approval of the Secretary. | and, where revised, submitted to | | |
| | | Note: This is to ensure the strategies, plans and | the Secretary within 6 weeks of the | | |
| | | programs are updated on a regular basis, and | review. | | |
| | | incorporate any recommended measures to | | | |
| | | improve the environmental performance of the | | | |
| | | project. | | | |
| N-06 | Schedule 5-10 | Within 3 months of commissioning this audit, or as | While the previous audit report had | This response is to be | The 2020 audit report, including |
| | | otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent | been submitted within the required | submitted with the audit report | Metromix response to audit |
| | | must submit a copy of the audit report to the | timeframe, the response to | in compliance with Condition | findings, had been submitted |
| | | Secretary, together with its response to any | recommendations identified in the | 10 of Schedule 5 of PA | within the required timeframe. |
| | | recommendations contained in the audit report. | audit report had not been provided. | 10_0183. | |
| | | | | _ | Closed |
| | | | Recommendation: | | |
| | | | Metromix should ensure that a | | |
| | | | response to recommendations | | |
| | | | contained within this report is | | |
| | | | documented and submitted to the | | |
| | | | Secretary with the audit report. | | |

| N-07 | EPL 536 M5.2 | The record (complaints) must include details of | Records of complaints did not | Metromix use an automated | The complaints register has |
|------|----------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1.07 | EI E 550 WIJ.Z | the following: | always include the information as | system (Cintellate) for | been updated to include facility |
| | | (a) the date and time of the complaint; | required by EPL 536 M5.2. | recording complaints, with this | for recording all required |
| | | (a) the method by which the complaint was | Record for complaint received April | record accompanied by an | information. |
| | | made: | 2018 did not include date and time | electronic record that is placed | |
| | | (c) any personal details of the complainant | of complaint, or details of the | on the Metromix website. A | Closed |
| | | which were provided by the complainant | complainant. | more detailed summary of the | closed |
| | | or, if no such details were provided, a note | complainant. | complaint received, and actions | |
| | | to that effect; | Recommendation: | taken will be placed on the | |
| | | (d) the nature of the complaint; | Metromix should ensure that | Metromix website to ensure | |
| | | (e) the action taken by the licensee in relation | records of complaints include all | compliance with Condition | |
| | | to the complaint, including any follow-up | information required by EPL 536 | M5.2 of EPL 536. | |
| | | contact with the complainant; and | M5.2. | 1015.2 01 E1 E 550. | |
| | | if no action was taken by the licensee, the reasons | It is noted that a complaint form has | | |
| | | why no action was taken. | been documented which includes | | |
| | | | prompts for required information. | | |
| | | | | | |
| N-08 | EPL 536 R4.2 | A noise compliance assessment report must be | Noise assessment reports have not | Metromix have reissued | Monitoring conducted 12- |
| | | submitted to the EPA within 30 days of the | always been submitted within 30 | instructions to its noise | 18/08/2020 – Report to EPA |
| | | completion of the bi-annual noise monitoring. The | days of the completion of the bi- | monitoring consultants to | 15/09/2020 |
| | | assessment must be prepared by a suitably | annual noise monitoring to the EPA. | ensure that compliance with | Noise monitoring 2-3/11/2021. |
| | | qualified and experienced acoustical consultant | The noise compliance assessment | the reporting requirements is | Report to EPA 9/11/2021 |
| | | and include: | reports had not been provided to | satisfied. | |
| | | (a) an assessment of compliance with noise | the EPA for two monitoring rounds | Noise monitoring reports that | Noise monitoring 5-8/09/2022 |
| | | limits presented in the Noise Limits table; | conducted during 2019. | were not provided to the EPA | Report to EPA 15/09/2022. |
| | | and | | have now been provided and | Naise Departs for the period |
| | | an outline of any management actions taken within | Recommendation: | will be reported in the Annual | Noise Reports for the period covered by the current audit |
| | | the monitoring period to address any exceedances | Metromix should ensure that noise | Review. | have been provided to the EPA |
| | | of the limits contained in the Noise Limits table | compliance reports are obtained | It is noted that Metromix has | |
| | | | promptly from the noise consultant | continued to maintain | within the required timeframe. |
| | | | and submitted to the EPA within 30 | compliance with the noise- | closed |
| | | | days of the noise monitoring. | related criteria of PA 10_0183 | Closed |
| | | | | and EPL 536. In fact, most | |
| | | | | monitoring records that the | |
| | | | | Quarry is inaudible from the | |
| | | | | closest privately-owned | |
| | | | | residences. | |

| N-09 | EPL 536 G2.2 | The licensee is to inform the EPA in writing of the | The EPA had not been informed in | Metromix liaise with officers | Metromix had not informed the |
|------|--------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | appointment of any subsequent contact persons, or | writing of the change in contact | from the EPA on a regular basis. | EPA of a change in the site |
| | | changes to the person's contact details as soon as | person for the Quarry after this | The Quarry Manager has also | contact person within 14 days. |
| | | practicable and in any event within fourteen days | change in January 2019. | recently been providing | |
| | | of the appointment or change. | While the Quarry Manager had been | information to the EPA | Refer new Non-compliance NC- |
| | | | in contact with the EPA and the EPA | regarding a proposed variation | 10. |
| | | | was aware of the change to quarry | to EPL 536. | |
| | | | management, no formal notification | It is therefore considered that | |
| | | | to the EPA for the change in contact | the EPA is aware of the change | |
| | | | had been issued. | to the responsible person for | |
| | | | | the Quarry that occurred during | |
| | | | Recommendation: | the reporting period. It is | |
| | | | Metromix have formally notified the | 5 5 | |
| | | | EPA in writing of the change in the | was not notified in writing. | |
| | | | site contact person (5/02/2020). | Correspondence formally | |
| | | | No further action required. | notifying the EPA of the | |
| | | | | responsible person for EPL 536 | |
| | | | | was provided to the EPA on 5 | |
| | | | | February 2020. | |

Appendix B. – Auditor Approval



Department of Planning and Environment

Mr Mo Yunusa Quarry Manager Metromix Teralba 150 RHONDDA ROAD TERALBA New South Wales 2284

10/01/2023

Dear Mo Yunusa

Teralba Quarry - Auditor Endorsement Request (MP 10_0183)

I refer to your request (MP10_0183-PA-19) for the Secretary's approval of suitably qualified persons to prepare the 2023 Independent Environmental Audit for the Metromix Teralba Quarry (the project), as required by Schedule 5 Condition 9 of Project Approval 10_0183 (the approval).

The Department of Planning and Environment (the department) has reviewed the nomination and information you have provided and is satisfied that the expert is suitably qualified and experienced. Consequently, I can advise that the Secretary approves the appointment of:

Mr James Hart – Lead Auditor

Please ensure this correspondence is appended to the Independent Audit Report.

The Independent Audit must be prepared, undertaken and finalised in accordance with the Independent Audit Post Approval Requirements. Failure to meet these requirements will require revision and resubmission.

The department reserves the right to request an alternate auditor or audit team for future audits.

Notwithstanding the agreement for the above listed audit team for this audit, each respective project approval or consent requires a request for the agreement to the auditor or audit team to be submitted to the department, for consideration by the Secretary. Each request is reviewed and depending on the complexity of future projects, the suitability of a proposed auditor or audit team will be considered.

Should you wish to discuss the matter further, please contact James Epstein, Senior Compliance Officer, on (02) 6575 3419 or email compliance@planning.nsw.gov.au

Yours sincerely

1 attus

Heidi Watters Team Leader Northern Compliance

As nominee of the Planning Secretary

⁴ Parramatta Square, 12 Darcy Street, Parramatta NSW 2150 | Locked Bag 5022, Parramatta NSW 2124 | dple.nsw .gov.au | 1

Appendix C. – Audit Tables

b. Audit Checklist – Development Consent PA 10-0183

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|----------|---|----------------------|------------|
| SCHEDU | le 2 – Ai | DMINISTRATIVE CONDITIONS | | | | |
| OBLIGAT | ΓΙΟΝ ΤΟ | MINIMISE HARM TO THE ENVIRONMENT | | | | |
| 1. | 1 | In addition to meeting the specific performance criteria established under this approval, the Proponent must implement all reasonable and feasible measures to prevent and/or minimise any material harm to the environment that may result from the construction, operation, or rehabilitation of the project. | | No material harm to the environment as a result of the operations had been reported. | Compliant | |
| TERMS C | OF APPR | OVAL | | | | |
| 2. | 2 | 2 The Proponent, in acting on this approval, must carry out the project: (a) in compliance with the conditions of this | | Based on the number of non-compliant items the project is non-compliant to the requirement of Schedule 2-2(a). | Not Compliant | NC-01 |
| | | approval; | | Recommendation: | | |
| | | (b) in accordance with the statement of commitments; and; (c) in accordance with all written directions of the | | It is recommended that all non- compliances identified are addressed and closed out. | | |
| | | Secretary. | | Consider implementing a compliance tracing process to ensure compliances with the Conditions of Approval are met. | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|----------|--|----------------------|------------|
| 3. | 2A | The Proponent, in acting on this approval, must carry out the project: (a) generally in accordance with the EA; (b) generally in accordance with the EA (Mod 1); and (c) generally in accordance with the project layout. Notes: The general layout of the project is shown in Appendix 1 and Appendix 2. The statement of commitments is reproduced in Appendix 3. | | Results of this audit show that the development has been carried out in general accordance with requirements. | Compliant | |
| 4. | 3 | If there is any inconsistency between the documents identified in condition 2A, the most recent document shall prevail to the extent of the inconsistency. However, the conditions of this approval shall prevail to the extent of any inconsistency. | | No inconsistences have been identified | Not Triggered | |
| 5. | | Consistent with the requirements of this approval, the Secretary may make written directions to the Proponent in relation to: (a) the content of any strategy, study, system, plan, program, review, audit, notification, report or correspondence submitted under or otherwise made in relation to this approval, including those that are required to be, and have been, approved by the Secretary; and (b) the implementation of any such document referred to in (a) above. | | Management plans have been updated submitted to DPE for comment and approval. Where comments have been received from DPE, these comments have been addressed and documentation resubmitted No written directions have been received. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| 6. | 4A | The Proponent must comply with any reasonable requirement/s of the Secretary arising from the Department's assessment of: | | Management plans have been amended to address DPE comments. | Compliant | |
| | | (a) any strategies, plans, programs, reviews, audits, reports or correspondence submitted in accordance with this approval (including any stages of these documents); (b) any reviews, reports or audits commissioned by the Department regarding compliance with this approval; and (c) the implementation of any actions or measures contained in these documents. | | | | |
| COMPLIA | ANCE | | | | | |
| 7. | 4B | The Proponent must ensure that all employees, contractors and sub-contractors are made aware of, and instructed to comply with, the conditions of this approval relevant to activities they carry out in respect of the project. | Rapid Induct records Metromix Quarry Employee/Contractor Teralba Site Induction | All employees, contractors and sub- contractors undertake the site induction. Rapid Access used for maintaining induction records. | Compliant | |
| LIMITS O | | | | | | |
| 8. | 5 | Quarrying Operations The Proponent may carry out quarrying operations on the site until 31 December 2038. Note: Under this approval, the Proponent is required to rehabilitate the site and carry out additional undertakings to the satisfaction of the Secretary. Consequently, this approval will continue to apply in all other respects other than the right to conduct quarrying operations until the rehabilitation of the site and those undertakings have been carried out to a satisfactory standard. | | Current year 2023. Rehabilitation has been progressively undertaken. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|----------------------|------------|
| 9. | 6 | Extractive Material Limits The Proponent must not carry out quarrying operations below 20 m AHD in the Southern Extension Area or below 24 m AHD in the Mid Pit Extraction and Northern Extension Areas. <i>Note: This condition does not apply to the construction of</i> <i>any bores approved by NOW or pollution and sediment</i> <i>control structures described in the EA.</i> | February 2021 Propeller survey. | Workings in southern area only. Current extraction depth – Propeller aero used to map site. Feb 2021 – 39.4m AHD Noted that survey shows depths of the pit has gone below 19m AHD as a result of collapsing historical underground workings. (Down to 18m AHD), not as a result of quarrying operations. Reported that areas below 20 m AHD will be filled to provide a final depth of 20 m AHD | Compliant | |
| 10. | 7. | The Proponent must not extract more than 1.2 million tonnes of extractive materials from the site in any calendar year. | Teralba Quarry Monthly truck Movement summaries – January 2020 to January 2023 | 2020 – 630 746t 2021 – 881,758t 2022 - 945 173t | Compliant | |
| 11. | 8 | Extractive Material Transport The Proponent must not: (a) transport more than 1 million tonnes of quarry products from the site in any calendar year; or | Monthly Truck Movement summaries – January 2020 to January 2023 Summary of Production data 2020, 2021 and 2022. | 2020 sales – 608 390t 2021 sales – 721 114t 2022 sales – ~869 009t Records show no exceedance of truck movement limits for 2020, 2021 and 2022. | Compliant | |
| | | (b) dispatch more than 326 laden trucks from the site on any day; or | Monthly truck Movement summaries – January 2020 to January 2023 | Maximum trucks dispatched 246 on 22/08/2022 | Compliant | |
| | | (C) dispatch more than 241 laden trucks per day or 20 per hour westwards along Rhondda Road; | Monthly truck Movement summaries – January 2020 to January 2023 | Maximum trucks dispatched westwards 211 on 30/10/2022. Trucks per hour did not exceed 20 (20 trucks recorded on multiple occasions) | Compliant | |
| | | (d) dispatch more than 85 laden trucks per day or 8 per hour eastwards through Teralba; | Monthly truck Movement summaries – January 2020 to January 2023 | Maximum trucks dispatched eastwards 72 on 16/02/2022. Trucks per hour did not exceed 8 (8 trucks recorded on multiple occasions) | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | (e) dispatch laden trucks for travel through Teralba between 6 pm and 6 am; or | Monthly truck Movement summaries – January 2020 to January 2023 | Records show no dispatch laden trucks for travel through Teralba between 6 pm and 6 am. | Compliant | |
| | | (f) receive unladen trucks via the Railway Street entrance between 6 pm and 7 am. | Metromix Teralba Quarry Code of Conduct for Truck Drivers | It was reported that unladen trucks are not accepted at The Railway Street entrance. The Metromix Teralba Quarry Code of Conduct for Truck Drivers states that all unladen trucks must enter the Teralba Quarry via the top gate off Rhondda Road. Travel routs and approved hours are included in the induction | Compliant | |
| 12. | 9. | The Proponent must limit the total hourly truck dispatch rates from the site to the levels shown in Table 1. Table 1 – Truck Dispatch Hours Dispatch Period Maximum Hourly Dispatch Rate 6:00 am - 7:00 am Up to 28 loaded trucks 7:00 am - 6:00 pm Up to 20 loaded trucks 6:00 pm - 5:00 am Up to 6 loaded trucks 5:00 am - 6:00 am Up to 12 loaded trucks Dispatch times and maximum hourly rates westwards along Rhondda Road or eastwards through Teralba are further limited by condition 8 above. | Monthly truck Movement summaries – January 2020 to January 2023 | Records of truck movements from the site maintained. Records identify the time period, maximum hourly truck movement for the period and direction of travel (west, east). Records show no exceedance of truck movement limits for 2020, 2021 and 2022. | Compliant | |
| 13. | 10. | Receival of Concrete, Virgin Excavated Natural Material and Excavated Natural Material The Proponent must not receive on site more than 120 tonnes of recycled concrete per day or stockpile more than 2,500 tonnes of concrete material on the site. | Site interview | No recycled concrete stored on site (Concrete received and stored on pug mill site, which is not part of this consent – separate EPL). No VENM and ENM has been imported to site. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| 14. | 11. | The Proponent must not receive on site more than 100,000 tonnes of virgin excavated natural material or excavated natural material in any calendar year. | Site interview | 2020 – Nil imported 2021 – Nil imported 2022 – Nil imported | Compliant | |
| SURRENI | JER OF C | CONSENTS | | | | |
| 15. | 12. | By the end of December 2013, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must surrender the development consent (DA 130/42) for existing operations on the site in accordance with Section 104A of the EP&A Act. Note: The conditions or other requirements of this project approval do not prevent the continued carrying out of development which may be undertaken pursuant to DA 130/42, prior to the surrender of that consent. | Independent Environmental Audit: Teralba Quarry Development Consent PA 10-0183, AQUAS, February 2020 | Consent DA 130/42 was surrendered on 31 December 2013. Verified previous audit. | Compliant | |
| STRUCTU | IRAL ADI | EQUACY | | | | |
| 16. | 13. | The Proponent must ensure that any new buildings and structures, and any alterations, or additions to existing buildings and structures, are constructed: (a) in accordance with the relevant requirements of the BCA; and (b) to the satisfaction of the Subsidence Advisory NSW. Notes: Under Part 4A of the EP&A Act, the Proponent is required to obtain construction and occupation certificates for the proposed building works. Part 8 of the EP&A Regulation sets out the requirements for the certification of the project. Under Section 15 of the Mine Subsidence Compensation Act 1961 the Proponent is required to obtain approval from the Subsidence Advisory NSW for the construction, erection or alteration of any improvements on the site. | Site Inspection Letter: RE PROPOSED RELOCATION OF AUSGRID 33kV & 11kV ASSETS AT 158 RHONDDA ROAD TERALBA; LOTS 1 & 2 DP 224037; TBA19-06605, Mine Subsidence Advisory NSW 3/12/2019. Ausgrid Asset Relocation Offer , Project No AN-11765, 16/01/2020. | No structures erected which require construction and occupation certificates. Approval for relocation of the power lines was obtained from Subsidence Advisory NSW. Relocated August 2020 by contractor approved by Ausgrid. | Not Triggered | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| DEMOLI | ΓΙΟΝ | | | | | |
| 17. | 14. | The Proponent must ensure that all demolition work on site is carried out in accordance with AS 2601-2001: The Demolition of Structures, or its latest version. | | No demolition has been undertaken since the previous audit. | Not Triggered | |
| PROTECT | TION OF | PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE | | | | |
| 18. | 15. | The Proponent must: (a) repair, or pay the full costs associated with repairing, any public infrastructure that is damaged by the project; and (b) relocate, or pay the full costs associated with relocating, any public infrastructure that needs to be relocated as a result of the project. | Letter: RE PROPOSED RELOCATION OF AUSGRID 33kV & 11kV ASSETS AT 158 RHONDDA ROAD TERALBA; LOTS 1 & 2 DP 224037; TBA19-06605, Mine Subsidence Advisory NSW 3/12/2019. Ausgrid Asset Relocation Offer, Project No AN-11765, 16/01/2020. | Metromix arranged and paid for the relocation of powerlines on site. No repairs to public infrastructure has been required. | Compliant | |
| PLANNIN | IG AGRE | EMENT | | | | |
| 19. | 16. | Within 12 months of the date of this approval, unless otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must enter into a planning agreement with the Council in accordance with Division 6 of Part 4 of the EP&A Act that provides for payment to the Council for road maintenance levies. | LMCC Tax Invoice 258467 8/07/2020 LMCC Tax Invoice 267299 3/03/2021 LMCC Tax Invoice 271828 14/07/2021 LMCC Tax Invoice 283178 19/07/2022 | Planning Agreement with Council for the payment of the 0.066/t/km plus GST was signed on 6 February 2017. Current rate 0.0861c/t/km. | Compliant | |
| | | The agreement must include provision for those matters set out in condition 17 below. If there is any dispute between the Proponent and Council relating to the preparation or implementation of the planning agreement, then either party may refer the matter to the Secretary for resolution. | | Records available to verify payment of levy. | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| ROAD M | AINTEN | ANCE | | | | |
| 20. | 17. | During the life of the project, for each calendar year, the Proponent must pay Council \$0.066 per tonne per kilometre for every tonne of quarry products transported from the site on roads for which Council is liable for road maintenance funding. Each payment must be: (a) based on weighbridge records of the quantity of quarry products transported from the site; | LMCC Tax Invoice 258467 8/07/2020 LMCC Tax Invoice 267299 3/03/2021 LMCC Tax Invoice 271828 14/07/2021 LMCC Tax Invoice 283178 19/07/2022 | Metromix consulted the Council and a Voluntary Planning Agreement was signed on 6 February 2017 in relation to the payment of the 0.066c per tonne per kilometre (/t/km) plus CPI for every tonne of quarry products transported from the Teralba Quarry site on roads where the Council is liable for road maintenance. Council are provided a summary of material transport and routes which is used by Council to calculate the appropriate contribution | Compliant | |
| | | (b) paid by the date required by the invoice issued by Council; and | LMCC Tax Invoice 258467 8/07/2020 LMCC Tax Invoice 267299 3/03/2021 LMCC Tax Invoice 271828 14/07/2021 LMCC Tax Invoice 283178 19/07/2022 | | | |
| | | (C) increased over the life of the project in accordance with the CPI. | LMCC Tax Invoice 258467 8/07/2020 LMCC Tax Invoice 267299 3/03/2021 LMCC Tax Invoice 271828 14/07/2021 LMCC Tax Invoice 283178 19/07/2022 | It was reported that Teralba Quarry provided LMCC with the latest Producer Price Index data from the ABS website to enable calculation of the applicable rate. Records show annual increase in rates (Current rate 0.0861c/t/km). | | |
| OPERATI | ON OF F | PLANT AND EQUIPMENT | | | | |
| 21. | 18. | The Proponent must ensure that all plant and equipment used at the site is: (a) maintained in a proper and efficient condition; and (b) operated in a proper and efficient manner. | Maintenance Records Conveyors CV-01 to CV-15. W/O No 37065 Check and Clean Rollers Weekly Inspection of CV-01 24/10/2022. Crusher Plant CR-01, CR-02. CR-03 and Chutes inspections. Water Cart WC-001 Records. | Records of maintenance of plant and equipment available. Metromix use MEX for managing plant maintenance and servicing. Records reviewed for Front End Loader WL002, Excavator EX001. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | | WC001 CCESS AND Egress Checklist | | | |
| | | | Mobile plant 3 Monthly Inspection Checklist | | | |
| | | | Mechanical Service Sheet WC001 30/12/2022. | | | |
| | | | Komatsu Rigid Truck – RD-003 maintenance records | | | |
| | | | Komatsu WL001 Loader maintenance records. – PM Service Report 24/09/2022. | | | |
| STAGED | SUBMIS | SION OF ANY STRATEGY, PLAN OR PROGRAM | | | | |
| 22. | 19. | With the approval of the Secretary, the Proponent may submit any strategy, plan or program required by this approval on a progressive basis. | | All management plans have been prepared and approved by DPE. | Compliant | |
| | | Notes: | | | | |
| | | • While any strategy, plan or program may be submitted on a progressive basis, the Proponent will need to ensure that the existing operations on site are covered by suitable strategies, plans or programs at all times; and | | | | |
| | | If the submission of any strategy, plan or program is to be staged, then the relevant strategy, plan or program must clearly describe the specific stage to which the strategy, plan or program applies, the relationship of this stage to any future stages, and the trigger for updating the strategy, plan or program. | | | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------|--|---|---|----------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| PRODUC | PRODUCTION DATA | | | | | | | | | |
| 23. | 20. | The Proponent must: (a) provide annual quarry production data to DRG using the standard form for that purpose; and (b) include a copy of this data in the Annual Review (see condition 4 of Schedule 5). | Email to Mineral.Royalty@planning.nsw.gov.au 15/09/2020 and 1/10/2021 Email from Resource Analyst 10/10/2022 advising the Department was in the process of moving the returns to an online portal. It is anticipated this will be available in late October. | Included as appendix 2 of Annual reviews. e.g. 2019-2020 –submitted 15/09/2020. 2020-2021 – submitted 1/10/2021. 2021-2022 – Not submitted. Awaiting advice from the Resources Regulator re online reporting system. | Compliant | | | | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|-----------------|--|----------------------|------------|
| | SCHEDU | LE 3 – ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE CONDITIONS | | | | |
| | IDENTIF | ICATION OF APPROVED LIMITS OF EXTRACTION | | | | |
| 24. | 1. | Prior to carrying out quarrying operations under this approval, the Proponent must: (a) engage a registered surveyor to mark out the boundaries of the approved limits of extraction within the Extraction Areas; and (b) submit a survey plan of these boundaries to the Secretary. | Site Inspection | The boundaries of the approved limits of extraction for the Teralba Quarry lease activities have been marked out by a registered surveyor and the boundaries marked with coloured poles for the various activity areas. Verified previous audit. Boundary markers were verified during the site inspection. | Compliant | |
| 25. | 2. | While ever quarrying operations are being carried out, the Proponent must ensure that these boundaries are clearly marked at all times in a permanent manner that allows operating staff and inspecting officers to clearly identify the limits of extraction within the Southern, Southern Extension, Mid Pit and Northern Extension Extraction Areas. | Site inspection | The boundaries of the approved limits of the Teralba Quarry lease activities have been marked with coloured poles for the various areas: White poles - Stage 1A, Yellow poles – quarry extraction limits Blue poles - Council Pugmill Area Green poles – Downer The posts specifically identify each of the active areas of works within the Teralba Quarry lease boundaries. Verified during site inspection. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--|--|---|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| | EXTRACT | TON MANAGEMENT | | | | | |
| 26. | 3. | The Proponent must ensure that: | Lower Level Extraction Plan Practical Application of Stand-off Distances: | | Lower Level Extraction Plan includes management measures implemented to ensure negligible risk. | Compliant | |
| | | | Area | Stand-off Distance | Noted that an area adjacent to the south western highwall in the lower level of the southern extraction area has been quarantined due to cavity exposed during blasting. Confirmed through drill logs and Propeller | | |
| | release of noxious gases or explosion of flammable gases; and (b) quarrying operations pose not greater than a | | No underground workings | Negligible risk, no stand-off distance | | | |
| | | First workings only | 12 metres | surveys. | | | |
| | | Great Northern coal seam. | Pillar extraction only | 12 metres | is | | |
| | | | Floor stripping and some associated pillar extraction with a higher risk from workings up to 6m high | | | | |
| 27. | 4. | The Proponent must prepare a Lower Level Extraction Plan for all extraction activities within 17.5 vertical metres of historical coal workings within the Great Northern coal seam, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must: | Lower Level Extraction Ma Plan, TER SHE 4.8 – 012, S 2016 Teralba Quarry – Independ Environmental Audit, Trev Assoc., – Feb 2017 | eptember dent | Lower Level Extraction Management Plan TER SHE 4.8 – 012, September 2016 prepared. The plan has been developed in general accordance with the conditions of consent. The Lower Level Extraction Management Plan was approved by DPE on 23 November 2016. Appendix 2 – Spontaneous Combustion Management Plan updated 2021 The updated Lower Level Extraction | Compliant | |
| | | | | | Management Plan and associated Spontaneous Combustion Management Plan were under review by the external Consultant Mining Operation Services. | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | (b) be prepared by suitably qualified persons approved by the Secretary; | Lower Level Extraction Management Plan, TER SHE 4.8 – 012, September 2016 | The Lower Level Extraction Management Plan was prepared by Mining Operation Services (MOS) and G E Holt & Associates (GHA) on the Teralba Quarry, particularly with regard to drill, blast and extraction processes above under-ground workings, and management of spontaneous combustion and gas hazards. | | |
| | | (C) provide for the achievement of the measures set out in condition 3 above; | Lower Level Extraction Management Plan, TER SHE 4.8 – 012, September 2016 | The Lower Level Extraction Management Plan includes the procedures to achieve the measures set out in condition 3. | | |
| | | (d) describe the measures that would be implemented to ensure: best management practice quarrying operations are being employed on site; individual responsibilities of workers, contractors and management are detailed and understood; and compliance with the relevant conditions of this approval; | Lower Level Extraction Management Plan, TER SHE 4.8 – 012, September 2016 | The Lower Level Extraction Management Plan addresses best management practice quarrying operations. Section 6 describes responsibilities of workers, contractors and management are described and compliance with the relevant conditions of this approval outlined in section 4; | | |
| | | (e) include a Spontaneous Combustion Management Plan, which has been prepared in consultation with DRG and Oceanic Coal Pty Ltd, to manage the potential risks and impacts of spontaneous combustion or heating of coal, and which: includes a detailed assessment, of the risks of spontaneous combustion and subsurface heating for each of the existing and proposed Extraction Areas; clearly identifies responsibilities to address management of spontaneous combustion and subsurface heating risks, for both day to day operations and long term management; and includes appropriate short and long term contingency plans. | Underground Workings Collapse and Spontaneous Combustion Management Plan, Ver 02, 4 October 2021. | The Lower Level Extraction Management Plan Appendix One Underground Workings Collapse and Spontaneous Combustion Management Plan, Appendix 1 - Risk Assessment Section 8 – Site Responses/ Responsibilities Section 7 Spontaneous Combustion Control | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | The Proponent must implement the plan as approved by the Secretary. | | Requirements of the LLEMP have been implemented. No no-conformances were identified in relation to implementation of the LLEMP. No spontaneous combustions has occurred during the period covered by the current audit. | | |
| | NOISE | | | | | |
| 28. | 5. | | Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics August 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics November 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum | | Not Compliant | NC-02 |
| 29. | 6. | Hours of Operation The Proponent must comply with the operating hours set out in Table 3. Table 3: Operating Hours | Site interview Metromix Quarry Employee/Contractor Teralba Site Induction | Hours of operation of the Teralba Quarry activities are in accordance with the limits in Project Approval Schedule 3 condition 6. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | | Condition | | | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|---|---|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| | 140. | Day Monday – Friday Saturday Sundays and Public Holidays Note: Maintenance a | Receipt of Concrete or Virgin Excavated Natural Material 7 am to 5 pm 7 am to 2 pm None Ctivities may | Loading and Dispatch of Quarry Trucks 4 am Monday to midnight Friday midnight Friday to 6 pm Saturday None OCCUT at any | Extraction an Processing Operations 7 am to 7 pm 7 am to 2 pm None time | d | Toolbox Talk @ 6.30am daily. Weighbridge closes 4.30pm hence no loads after 4.30pm. | Tuting | " |
| | | provided they are inc residences. | | • | | | | | |
| 30. | 7. | Operating Conditions The Proponent must: (a) implement be minimise the noise of the p | est practice no construction, | • | | Noise Management Plan Rev 03, 10/12/2021. Plant maintenance and servicing records. Site inspection. | U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U | Not Compliant | NC- 02 |
| | | (b) minimise the meteorologic this approval | al conditions | when the nois | | Site interview Daily Compliance Monitoring 13/07/2020 to 17/07/2020 | (b) Section 9.5 addresses operational noise management under adverse weather conditions. It was reported that assessing weather conditions was conducted as part of the quarry's requirement to supervise operations. It was reported that operating conditions are altered during adverse weather conditions but not recorded. No noise complaints have been received. | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | (c) maintain the effectiveness of any noise suppression equipment on plant at all times and ensure defective plant is not used operationally until fully repaired; | Maintenance Records Conveyors CV-01 to CV-15. W/O No 37065 Check and Clean Rollers Weekly Inspection of CV-01 24/10/2022. Crusher Plant CR-01, CR-02. CR-03 and Chutes inspections. Water Cart WC-001 Records. WC001 Access and Egress Checklist Mobile plant 3 Monthly Inspection Checklist Mechanical Service Sheet WC001 30/12/2022. Komatsu Rigid Truck – RD-003 maintenance records Komatsu WL001 Loader maintenance records. – PM Service Report 24/09/2022. | c) Section 9.3 addresses effectiveness of noise suppression equipment on plant and maintenance to ensure defective plant is not operated until it is fully repaired. Records were available to verify plant and equipment had been maintained. | | |
| | | (d) regularly assess noise monitoring data and relocate, modify, and/or stop operations on site to ensure compliance with the relevant conditions of this approval; and to the satisfaction of the Secretary. | August 2020 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics August 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics November 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | (d) Section 10 outlines Evaluation of Compliance. Noise emission exceedances identified 16/08/2021. Activities at the site were modified to ensure compliance with noise levels. Additional monitoring following modification to activities confirmed that actions undertaken were effective. | | |
| 31. | 8. | Noise Management Plan The Proponent must prepare a Noise Management Plan for the project to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must: (a) be submitted for approval to the Secretary within 4 months of the date of this approval; | Noise Management Plan Rev 03, 10/12/2021. August 2020 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics August 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | Noise management plan had been developed in accordance with the conditions of consent. The plan had been updated following modifications to work practices as a result of a noise exceedance in August 2021. Approved by DPI&E 14/01/2022. Contents verified previous audit. | Not Compliant | NC-02 |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--------------------|--|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | | | November 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum | Noise exceedances at two locations (D, E) on 16 August 2021. | | |
| | | (b) • | describe the measures that would be implemented to ensure: best management practice is being employed on site; the noise impacts of the project are minimised during any meteorological conditions when the noise limits in this approval do not apply; and compliance with the relevant conditions of this approval; | Acoustics September 2022 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | Section 9 Control Measures | | |
| | | (c) | describe the proposed noise management system in detail; | | Section 9 Control Measures | | |
| | | (d) • | include a monitoring program that: is capable of regularly evaluating the performance of the project, including noisy individual items of plant, such as haulage trucks and the bulldozer; includes a protocol for determining any exceedances of the relevant conditions in this approval at locations listed in Table 2; and evaluates and reports on the effectiveness of the noise management system on site. | | Section 10 Noise Monitoring Protocol and Evaluation Of Compliance. Noise monitoring has been conducted in accordance with the Noise Management plan. | Compliant | |
| | | The Pro the Sec | ponent must implement the plan as approved by retary. | | Noise mitigation measures have been implemented in accordance with the NMP. However, Noise emission exceedances were identified during monitoring on 16/08/2021. The NMP was updated to include additional controls to minimise noise from site activities. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and | Recomm | endations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------|------------|
| | BLASTIN | G | Criteria Blast Management Plan – Teralba No. of No. of Not Not cause exceedances of the criteria in Table 4. Blast Design Parameters Quarry, Rev 6, 30 June 2020 Blast Monitoring Records January to Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2020 Blast Monitoring Records January to 1 Jan 2020 to 21 3 Maind, 115 5 6% of the total number of blasts over a period of 12 months Blast Monitoring Records January to 1 Jan 2021 to 26 0 1 Jan 2022 to 25 0 1 Jan 2022 to 25 0 31 Dec 2022 Blast monitoring conducted at locations 1 and 2. Airblast overpressure and ground vibration 1 and 2. Airblast overpressure and ground vibration 1 and 2. Airblast overpressure and ground vibration 3 Allowable | | | | | |
| 32. | 9. | Blasting Criteria The Proponent must ensure that the blasting on the site does not cause exceedances of the criteria in Table 4. | Blast Design Parameters Quarry, Rev 6, 30 June 2020 | | No. of Blasts | Exceedances of criteria | | NC-03 |
| | | Table 4: Blasting criteria | | | 21 | 3 | | |
| | | Location (dB(Lin Peak)) (mm/s) exceedance Difference Any residence on 120 10 0% Deference privately owned land, or any public infrastructure 115 5 number of blasts over a period of 12 months BI | December 2021 | | 26 | 0 | | |
| | | | | | 25 | 0 | | |
| | | However, these criteria do not apply if the Proponent has a written agreement with the relevant owner or | | - | | | | |
| | | infrastructure provider/owner, and the Proponent has advised the Department in writing of the terms of this agreement. | | vibration were no as no blasting wa Rhondda Road d | ot measur as underta | ed at Location 3 aken north of | | |
| | | | | Recommendation: | | | | |
| | | | | Subsequent to the Metromix have in manage blasts an with blast criteria have occurred. No further action | nplement nd ensure a. No furth | ed processes to compliance er exceedances | | |
| 33. | 10. | Blasting Hours The Proponent must only carry out blasting on site between 10 am and 4 pm Monday to Friday inclusive. No blasting is allowed on weekends or public holidays, or at any other time without the written approval of Secretary. | Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2020 Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2021 Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2022 | Records of blast the blasting cont Maxam). Records show th between 10 am a Friday. | ractors (C at blasting | prica and g had occurred | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| 34. | 11. | Blasting Frequency The Proponent must not carry out more than 1 blast a day on site, unless an additional blast is required following a blast misfire. <i>Note: A blast may involve a number of explosions within a</i> <i>short period, typically less than two minutes.</i> | Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2020 Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2021 Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2022 | Records show that a maximum of one blast has occurred per day. | Compliant | |
| 35. | 12. | Property Inspections If the Proponent receives a written request from the owner of any privately-owned land within 500 m of proposed blasting for a property inspection to establish the baseline condition of any buildings and/or structures on his/her land, or to have a previous property inspection report updated, then within 2 months of receiving this request the Proponent must: (a) commission a suitably qualified, experienced and independent person, whose appointment has been approved by the Secretary, to: establish the baseline condition of any buildings and/or structures on the land, or update the previous property inspection report; and identify any measures that should be implemented to minimise the potential blasting impacts of the project on these buildings and/or structures; and (b) give the landowner a copy of the new or updated property inspection report. | Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2020 Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2021 Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2022 | No blasting has occurred within 500m of any buildings and/or structures on privately owned land. No written requests have been received from property owners. | Not Triggered | |
| 36. | 13. | Property Investigations If the owner of any privately-owned land claims that the buildings and/or structures on his/her land have been damaged as a result of blasting on site, then within 2 months of receiving this claim in writing from the landowner the Proponent must: (C) commission a suitably qualified, experienced and independent person, whose appointment | Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2020 Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2021 Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2022 | No blasting has occurred within 500m of any buildings and/or structures on privately owned land. No requests have been received from property owners. | Not Triggered | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | is acceptable to both parties, to investigate the claim; and (d) give the landowner a copy of the property investigation report. If this independent property investigation confirms the landowner's claim, and both parties agree with these findings, then the Proponent must repair the damages to the satisfaction of the Secretary. If the Proponent or landowner disagrees with the findings of the independent property investigation, then either party may refer the matter to the Secretary for resolution. | | | | |
| 37. | 14. | Operating Conditions During blasting operations, the Proponent must: (a) implement best management practice to: protect the safety of people and livestock in the surrounding area; protect public or private infrastructure/property in the surrounding area from any damage; and minimise the dust and fume emissions of any blasting; and (b) operate a suitable system to enable the public to get up-to-date information on the proposed blasting schedule on site, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. | Blast Management Plan a) section 7 Surrounding Residences and Potential Blast-Related Impacts and section 8 Control Measures for properties, safety, flyrock / dust / fume management, and air-blast overpressure; b) section 8.2 addresses notification of LMCC, neighbouring resource companies and community members. Email – Blasting Teralba Quarry – Sent 23/02/2022, 5/10/2021, 29/06/2021, 11/02/2022. | Blasting has occurred in accordance with the approved Blast Management Plan. No damage to people, property or livestock has occurred as a result of blasting operations. Email notifications are sent to LMCC, neighbouring resource companies (e.g. Centennial Coal, SNK) and nearby property owners the day prior to blasting, identifying the time of blasting. Content verified previous audit. | Compliant | |
| 38. | 15. | The Proponent must not undertake blasting within 500 metres of: (a) any public road without the approval of Council; or (b) any land outside the site not owned by the Proponent, unless: the Proponent has a written agreement with the relevant landowner to allow blasting to be carried out closer to the land, and the Proponent has | Blast Management Plan DPE letter approving BMP 23/12/2020. | Two blasts has occurred within 500m of Rhondda road during the period 1 January 2020 to 31 December 2022. (approx. 410m from road). Notification to Council provided for each blast. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | advised the Department in writing of the terms of this agreement, or the Proponent has: demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Secretary that the blasting can be carried out closer to the land without compromising the safety of the people or livestock on the land, or damaging the buildings and/or structures on the land; and updated the Blast Management Plan to include the specific measures that would be implemented while blasting is being carried out within 500 metres of the land. | | Email from Council allowing blasting to occur within 500m of road is included in the updated Blast Management Plan (Appendix B). Correspondence has been submitted with the Blast Management Plan for approval. It has been identified that no road closures are required. | | |
| 39. | 16. | Blast Management Plan The Proponent must prepare a Blast Management Plan for the project to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must: (a) be submitted to the Secretary for approval within 4 months from the date of project approval; | Blast Management Plan Rev 06, 30 June 2020. Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2020 Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2021 Blast Monitoring Records January to | Blast Management Plan had been developed in accordance with the conditions of consent. Blast Management Plan updated 10.01.2020 and30/06/2020 submitted to DPE for review. Approved by DPE 23/12/2020. | Compliant | |
| | | (b) be prepared in consultation with the Council and interested members of the local community potentially affected by blasting operations; describe the measures that would be implemented to ensure: best management practice is being employed; and compliance with the relevant conditions of this approval; | December 2022 | BMP Section 3.2 records consultation with the local community. BMP Appendix A records consultation with Lake Macquarie City Council. Management practices identified in Section 8 – Control Measures. | Compliant | |
| | | (C) include a road closure management plan for blasting within 500 metres of a public road, that has been prepared in consultation with Council; | | Section 7 Surrounding Residences and Potential Blast-Related Impacts. No road closures are required. | Compliant | |
| | | (d) include a specific blast fume management protocol to demonstrate how emissions will be minimised | | Section 8.4.2 Flyrock, Dust and Fume Management Measures | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | including risk management strategies if blast fumes are generated; and | | | | |
| | | (e) include a monitoring program for evaluating the performance of the project including: compliance with the applicable criteria; and minimising fume emissions from the site. | | Section 9 Monitoring 9.3 Fume Monitoring 9.4 Blast Monitoring Protocol | Compliant | |
| | | The Proponent must implement the plan as approved by the Secretary. | | Controls identified in the Blast Management Plan had been implemented. However, three exceedances of the blast overpressure criteria were recorded in January- March 2020. | Not Compliant | NC-03 |
| | | | | Blast exceedances were notified to DPE and EPA, and blast practices reviewed and modified to minimise the risk of further exceedances. BMP was updated and submitted to DPE for review. The updated BMP was approved on 23/12/2020. | | |
| | | | | Recommendation : Subsequent to the blast exceedances, Metromix have implemented processes to manage blasts and ensure compliance with blast criteria. No further exceedances have occurred. | | |
| | | | | No further action required. | | |
| | AIR QUA | LITY | | | | |
| 40. | 17. | Air Quality Criteria The Proponent must ensure that all reasonable and feasible avoidance and mitigation measures are employed so that particulate matter emissions generated by the project do not exceed the criteria in Tables 5 to 7 at any residence on privately-owned land, or on more than 25% of any privately-owned land. | Air Quality Management Plan, Rev 06, 9 December 2019. EPL 536 Monthly Monitoring Summary - December 2020 | Air Quality Management Plan prepared and implemented. The following controls wee verified during the site inspection: Use of water cart Use of water sprays on conveyors | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | | Со | ndition | | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | Table 5: Long-Term Impact Assessment Criteria for Particulate Matter | | | | EPL 536 Monthly Monitoring Summary - December 2021 | Progressive rehabilitation of exposed surfaces | | |
| | | Poli | lutant | Averaging period | ^d Criterion | EPL 536 Monthly Monitoring Summary - | Verified during site inspection. | | l l |
| | | Total suspended | particulates (TSP) | Annual | ^a 90 μg/m ³ | December 2022 | No exceedance of the dust deposition | | 1 |
| | | Particulate matte | er < 10 µm (PM ₁₀) | Annual | ^a 30 μg/m ³ | Site Inspection | annual rolling average has been recorded. | | 1 |
| | | Table 6: Sho Particulate N | • | Assessment Crite | eria for | | Two PM ₁₀ levels which exceeded the 24 hour criterion (21/01/2022 and 22/01/2020). However, these | | |
| | | Poli | lutant | Averaging period | ^d Criterion | | exceedances were attributed to smoke | | l |
| | | Particulate matte | ər < 10 µm (PM ₁₀) | 24 hour | ^a 50 µg/m ³ | | form a bushfire in the region., not from | | l |
| | | | | 1 | | | Quarry operations. | | 1 |
| | | Table 7: Long-Term Impact Assessment Criteria for Deposited Dust | | | eria for | | During the site inspection, no significant dust generation was noted. | | |
| | | Pollutant | Averaging period Maximum increase in deposited dust level Maximum total deposited dust level t Annual b 2 g/m2/month a 4 g/m2/month | el | | | | | |
| | | ^c Deposited dust | Annual | ^b 2 g/m ² /month | ^a 4 g/m ² /month | | | | l l |
| | | concer concer b Increa concer Concer Concer Depo as defi 3580.1 of Amb Deposi d Exclu prescri incider | impact (i.e. ind ntrations due to mental impact ntrations due to sited dust is to ined by Standa 10.1:2003: Met pient Air - Deter ited Matter - G des extraordin ibed burning, a nts, illegal activ | cremental increas o the project plus o all other source (i.e. incremental o the project on i be assessed as i rds Australia, AS, hods for Samplin rmination of Parti Gravimetric Metho ary events such o lust storms, sea f vities or any other ponsultation with | background is); increase in ts own); nsoluble solids /NZS g and Analysis culate Matter - od. is bushfires, og, fire activity agreed | | | | |
| 41. | 18. | Greenhouse | Gas Emissions | 5 | | Air Quality Management Plan, Rev 06, 9 December 2019. | The following actions had been implemented for minimising GHG emissions: | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | The Proponent must implement all reasonable and feasible measures to minimise the release of greenhouse gas emissions from the site. | | Minimising diesel consumption Reducing truck idling time Maintaining optimal tyre pressure Optimising haul routes Optimising electricity usage Noted that two new Front End loaders had been purchased which are Tier 4 final emissions certified. | | |
| 42. | 19. | Operating Conditions The Proponent must: (a) implement best management practice to minimise the dust emissions of the project; | Teralba Quarry 2020 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 Site Inspection | Company Directive – Closure of Quarry due to Dust Management issued by Metromix General Manager sets out actions, including ceasing operations in extreme conditions. | Compliant | |
| | | (b) regularly assess air quality monitoring data and relocate, modify, and/or stop operations on site as may be required to ensure compliance with the relevant conditions of this approval, | Daily Compliance Monitoring 13-7.2020 to 24/07/2020 | Quarry manager monitors wind and dust conditions. Company Directive – Closure of Quarry due to Dust Management issued by Metromix General Manager sets out actions, including ceasing operations in extreme conditions. | | |
| | | (c) minimise the air quality impacts of the project during adverse meteorological conditions and extraordinary events (see Note d to Tables 5-7 above); | Daily Compliance Monitoring 13-7.2020 to 24/07/2020. Dust Level Warning Event 12/02/2019 reporting closure of production due to dust warning from BOM. | It was reported that assessing environmental conditions are done continuously as it is part of Metromix's requirement to supervise quarry activities. Altering operations due to environmental conditions happens when required but is not always recorded. | | |
| | | (d) minimise any visible off-site air pollution; | Daily Compliance Monitoring 13-7.2020 to 24/07/2020 | Controls had been implemented to minimise dust emissions. No visible off-site air pollution was noted during the site inspection. | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | (e) minimise surface disturbance of the site and undertake progressive rehabilitation of the site; and | Site Inspection | During the site inspection, it was noted that work areas were progressively cleared. | | |
| | | | | Progressive rehabilitation of former work areas was verified. | Not Compliant | |
| | | monitor and report on compliance with the relevant air quality conditions in this approval, to the satisfaction of | Monthly Monitoring Summary February 2020 – January 2023 | Monitoring conducted in accordance with the AQMP. | | NC-04 |
| | | the Secretary. | | Results included in the Monthly Monitoring Summary and published on the company website. | | |
| | | | | PM ₁₀ exceedance January 2020 as the result of bushfire in the region. | | |
| | | | | PM ₁₀ monitoring not conducted on 3/01/2020 and 9/01/2020 due to equipment failure. | | |
| | | | | Recommendation: | | |
| | | | | Metromix should ensure that monitoring is undertaken in accordance with the approved AQMP. | | |
| 43. | 20. | Air Quality Management Plan The Proponent must prepare an Air Quality Management Plan for the project to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must: | Air Quality Management Plan, Rev 06, 9 December 2019. | The Air Quality Management Plan had been developed in accordance with the conditions of consent and was approved by DP&I on 10 October 2013. | Compliant | |
| | | (a) be prepared in consultation with Council, and submitted for approval to the Secretary within 4 months of the date of this approval; | | The plan was updated (Rev 6, 9 December 2019) and approved by DPI&E 12 December 2019. | | |
| | | | | Evidence of consultation included in Section 3 and Appendix 1. | | |
| | | (b) describes the measures that would be implemented to ensure: | | Section 8 – Control Measures | | |
| | | best management practice is employed; | | | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|----------|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | the air quality impacts of the project are minimised during adverse meteorological conditions and extraordinary events; and compliance with the relevant conditions of this approval; | | | | |
| | | (C) describes the proposed air quality management system; and The Proponent must implement the plan as approved by the Secretary. | | Section 8 – Control Measures | | |
| | | (d) includes an air quality monitoring program that: is capable of evaluating the performance of the project; includes a protocol for determining any exceedances of the relevant conditions of approval; adequately supports the air quality management system; and evaluates and reports on the adequacy of the air quality management system. | | Section 9 – Air Quality Monitoring | | |
| | | The Proponent must implement the plan as approved by the Secretary. | | Metromix has generally implemented the Air quality monitoring program. Controls to minimise air emissions verified during site inspection. Monitoring records do not identify any adverse impact on surrounding air quality. PM ₁₀ monitoring not conducted on 3/01/2020 and 9/01/2020 due to equipment failure. Recommendation : | Not Compliant | NC- 04 |
| | | | | Metromix should ensure that monitoring is undertaken in accordance with the approved AQMP. | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| | METEOR | OLOGICAL MONITORING | | | | |
| 44. | 21. | For the life of the project, the Proponent must ensure that there is a suitable meteorological station operating in the vicinity of the site that: complies with the requirements in the Approved Methods for Sampling of Air Pollutants in New South Wales guideline; and is capable of continuous real-time measurement of temperature lapse rate, in accordance with the NSW Industrial Noise Policy, or as otherwise approved by EPA. | Weather Station Service record 19/08/2022. | Meteorological monitoring station compliant with EPL 536 Condition M5 is located in a satisfactory location on the Northern Extension Area and measures wind speed and direction, temperature, rainfall and relative humidity. The station results are relayed to the computer system in the Teralba Quarry office and is available for on site management of activities. | Compliant | |
| | SOIL & V | VATER | | | | |
| 45. | 22. | Note: The Proponent is required to obtain the necessary water licences for the project under the Water Act 1912 and/or the Water Management Act 2000. Water Supply The Proponent must ensure it has sufficient water during all stages of the project, and if necessary, adjust the scale of quarrying operations on site to match its available supply. | Water Access Licence No. 40303 | Metromix holds a Water Access Licence No. 40303 for 1407ML/annum for water pumped from Dam A (Groundwater dewatering). | Compliant | |
| 46. | 23. | Surface Water Discharges The Proponent must ensure that all surface water discharges from the site comply with the discharge limits in any EPL which regulates water discharges from the site, or with section 120 of the POEO Act. | EPL 536 Monthly Monitoring Summary - December 2020 EPL 536 Monthly Monitoring Summary - December 2021 EPL 536 Monthly Monitoring Summary - December 2022 | Surface water monitoring conducted in accordance with EPL 536. Surface water discharge from Dam B during very high rainfall events. 3 exceedances of the TSS criterion were recorded at EPL Point 5 during discharge on 27, 28 and 29/07/2020. The exceedances were identified as resulting from significant rainfall. Recommendation Exceedances were the result of high rainfall exceeding the design capacity of the water management system and not the failure of water management controls | Not Compliant | NC-05 |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | | | or deficiency in the water management system. No further action required. | | |
| 47. | 24. | On-Site Sewage Management The Proponent must manage on-site sewage to the satisfaction of Council and the EPA. | Quarterly Maintenance Report 31/01/2023. | Aerated wastewater treatment system installed. Serviced regularly. | Compliant | |
| 48. | 25. | Storage of Chemicals & Petroleum Products The Proponent must ensure that all chemicals and/or petroleum products on site are held in appropriately bunded areas with impervious flooring and sufficient capacity to contain 110% of the largest container stored within the bund, and in accordance with Australian Standard AS1940-2004, <i>The Storage and Handling of Flammable and Combustible Liquids</i>. The flooring and bund(s) must be designed in accordance with the requirements of relevant Australian Standards; and DECC's Storing and Handling Liquids: Environmental Protection – Participants Manual. | Site inspection | Diesel and oils are held in appropriately bunded areas with impervious flooring and sufficient capacity to contain 110% of the largest container stored within the bund (in accordance with AS1940-2004 and the DECC Storing and Handling Liquids: Environmental Protection Manual). Waste oil is placed in the covered bunded waste oil tank and the waste oil collected for recycling by Trans-Pacific. | Compliant | |
| 49. | 26. | Water Management Plan The Proponent must prepare a Water Management Plan for the project to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must be prepared in consultation with Council and NOW by suitably qualified and experienced person/s whose appointment has been approved by the Secretary, and be submitted to the Secretary for approval within 6 months of the date of this approval and prior to any extraction activities within the Northern Extension area. In addition to the standard requirements for management plans (see condition 3 of Schedule 5), this plan must include a: Note: The Secretary may require the Proponent to implement upgrades and other changes identified under paragraph (b), in accordance with condition 4 of Schedule 2. | Water Management Plan Rev 05, 21 September 2020. Approval of Plan Strategy or Study, 23/12/2020 | Water Management Plan had been developed in accordance with the conditions of consent. The WMP was updated and resubmitted to DPE and approved on 2 October 2018. Updated WMP approved 23/12/2020. Content verified previous audit. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | (a) Site Water Balance that: includes details of: sources and security of water supply, including contingency planning; water use on site; water management on site; reporting procedures, including comparisons of the site water balance each calendar year; and describes the measures that would be implemented to minimise clean water use on site; | Water Management Plan Rev 05, 21 September 2020. | Section 7.4 site Water Balance | Compliant | |
| | | (b) Surface Water Management Plan, that includes: detailed baseline data on surface water flows and quality in the watercourses that could be affected by the project; a detailed description of the surface water management system on site, including the: clean water diversion systems; erosion and sediment controls; and water storages; design objectives and performance criteria for proposed: erosion and sediment control structures; water storages; and control of water pollution from rehabilitated areas of the site; performance criteria, including trigger levels for investigating any potentially adverse impacts, for surface water quality of local watercourses and Lake Macquarie; a program to monitor: the effectiveness of the water management system; surface water flows and quality in local watercourses and Lake Macquarie; | | 7.1.1 Surface Water Setting 7.1.2 Quarry Surface Water Catchments 7.1.3 Surface Water Collection and Storage 7.1.4 Surface Water Flow Within the Quarry 7.1.5 Surface Water Quality | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | a plan to respond to any exceedances of the performance criteria, and mitigate and/or offset any adverse surface water impacts of the project; and a detailed review the dirty water management system to: determine whether the capacity, integrity, retention time and management of the system are sufficient to ensure that water discharged from the site meets the performance criteria and propose any upgrades necessary to meet these criteria; assess appropriate options to improve storage and retention times in accordance with <i>The Blue Book - Managing Urban Stormwater (MUS): Soils and Construction (Landcom);</i> and | | | | |
| | | (C) Groundwater Management Plan, that includes: detailed baseline data on groundwater yield and quality in the area, that could be affected by the project; groundwater assessment criteria, including trigger levels for investigating any potentially adverse groundwater impacts; a program to monitor: surface water inflows into the groundwater system beneath the site; the impacts of the project on: the local coal seam aquifer; any groundwater dependent ecosystems; and seepage/leachate from water storages or backfilled voids (including historical coal workings) on site; and | Water Management Plan Rev 05, 21 September 2020. | 7.2 GROUNDWATER SETTING 7.2.1 Geology and Hydrogeology 7.2.2 Neighbouring Groundwater Users 7.2.3 Groundwater Quality 9 Water Monitoring Program 9.2. Surface Water Assessment Criteria 11 – Corrective and Preventative Action | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | The Proponent must implement the plan as approved by the Secretary. | | The Quarry has implemented water management controls and monitoring requirements in accordance with the Water Management plan. | Compliant | |
| | VISUAL | | | | | |
| 50. | 27. | Protection of Ridgelines The Proponent must ensure that any clearing of visually prominent ridgeline vegetation is done in a progressive manner, so as to provide for a maximum of 6 months of future quarrying operations. | Annual monitoring | The Teralba Quarry plan for the clearance of ridgeline vegetation is cognisant of the visual impact associated with the ridgelines and progressive minimal clearing is practised in relation to the extension works to reduce potential impact. Annual monitoring conducted to assess visual amenity included in the Annual Review. | Compliant | |
| 51. | 28. | The Proponent must ensure that the: (a) eastern facing quarry benches of the Southern Extension are vegetated with native endemic understory species and trees as soon as practicable following the completion of extraction of those benches; and (b) revegetation of the quarry benches is managed to ensure that a tree canopy is regenerated, as soon as practicable, to be consistent with and visually integrated into the surrounding tree canopy, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. | Teralba Quarry 2020 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 Teralba Quarry 2021 Annual Review | Works on the eastern faces of the Southern Extension Area commenced in December 2013 and extraction of resource in Stage 1A had occurred between February 2014 and February 2017. Stage 1A and 1B completed. Stage 1C awaiting relocation of electricity lines. Current extracting in Stage 2 of southern extension. Regeneration of the silt placement area and benches in Stage 1B has been undertaken. Visual monitoring shows no adverse impact. | Compliant | |
| 52. | 29. | Operating Conditions The Proponent must | Teralba Quarry 2020 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 Teralba Quarry 2021 Annual Review | (a) Visual impacts of the quarry operations have been minimised for the Southern Extension Area works and there are no | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | (a) implement all reasonable and feasible measures to minimise the visual impacts and any off-site lighting impacts of the project; and (b) maintain and improve the effectiveness of the vegetated plantings on the quarry benches, over the life of the project. | Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2022. Site inspection | offsite lighting impacts from the current works; (b) Revegetation of the completed areas of the Teralba Quarry appear consistent with the surrounding vegetation communities and tree canopy. Monitoring of visual impacts was included in the Annual review. | | |
| 53. | 30. | Advertising Signage The Proponent must not erect or display any advertising structure(s) or signs on the site without the written approval of the Secretary. Note: This condition does not require approval for any business identification, traffic management, and/or safety or environmental signs. | | No advertising signage or structures have been erected on the Teralba Quarry site. Signs erected at the entrance to the Teralba Quarry site are only related to the company identification, safety and environment requirements and traffic signs. | Compliant | |
| | TRANSPO | DRT | | | | |
| 54. | 31. | Intersection Investigation and Wheel Wash Within 6 months of the date of this approval the Proponent must: (a) commission a suitably qualified and experienced person endorsed by the Secretary to undertake a road safety audit report of the intersection of York Street and Anzac Parade in consultation with Council; (b) submit the report and any recommendations to the Secretary for approval; and (c) implement any recommendations of the road safety audit to upgrade the intersection of York Street and Anzac Parade to the satisfaction of Council. | Independent Environmental Audit: Teralba Quarry Development Consent PA 10-0183, AQUAS, February 2020 | Verified previous audit. | Compliant | |
| 55. | 32. | The Proponent must install truck wheel wash facilities within 6 months of the date of this approval at all quarry exits and following such installation, must ensure that all | Site inspection | Wheel wash facilities are installed at the quarry exits to Railway Street and Rhondda Road to ensure truck tyres and | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | trucks have their tyres and vehicles cleaned of mud, dirt and dust prior to exiting the site, so as to avoid tracking dirt onto public roads, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. | | under body are cleaned of mud, dirt and dust prior to exiting the site, to avoid tracking dirt onto public roads. Noted that controls had been implemented to prevent trucks bypassing | | |
| 56. | 33. | Operating Conditions The Proponent must construct the tunnel and conveyor under Rhondda Road to the satisfaction of Council. | | the wheel wash. Not constructed at this stage of the works. | Not Triggered | |
| 57. | 34. | Within 6 months of the date of this approval, the Proponent must cease transporting quarry material by truck between the quarry pits. | | The northern pit has not been operational during the period of this audit. | Not Triggered | |
| 58. | 35. | The Proponent may only transport quarry products from the site on the designated Haulage Routes (see Appendix 4), except in circumstances where the final destination of the quarry products can only be accessed by other roads. | Transport Management plan, Appendix A - Drivers Code of Conduct. | Transport routes are communicated through the Drivers code of conduct. Truck movements are managed by the weighbridge, who directs the trucks to the most appropriate route. | Compliant | |
| 59. | 36. | The Proponent must ensure that all heavy vehicles: (a) do not exceed an on-site speed limit of 30 km per hour; (b) exiting the site to the east via the bottom gate (i.e. to Railway Street) during the Day Shoulder period do not exceed the on-site speed limit and minimise noise as far as reasonable between Railway Street and the end of the existing engineering works; and (c) entering or leaving the site have their loads covered. | Site inspection Fixed Plant Safety Map | On-site speed limits signposted 30km/hr. Shared zones 15kph Approaching weighbridge 5kph. All trucks leaving the site were observed to have their loads covered. | Compliant | |
| 60. | 37. | During the AM peak period and PM peak period, the Proponent must implement all reasonable and feasible measures to minimise project-related traffic delays and congestion at the intersection of Toronto and Five Islands Roads and along York Street, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. | Monthly Transport Tonnages Registers | Managed through control of truck movements eastwards. Records of truck movements show that vehicle movements were consistent with the approval conditions. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| 61. | 38. | Only trucks owned by the Proponent, its shareholders or approved contractors and fitted with airbag suspension may transport quarry products from the site between 6 pm and 6 am. | Site Interview Drivers Code of Conduct Truck Sign in record (Rapid Access). | 6 company owned trucks used for transporting before 6am.All other trucks are instructed to not come to site before 6am.Rapid Access provides details of site access. | Compliant | |
| 62. | 39. | Maintenance The Proponent must regularly maintain the pavement of the on-site road that connects to Railway Street to minimise dust generation and potholes, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. | Site inspection | The on-site road that connects to Railway Street (from the wheel wash to the exit gate) is paved. The road was observed to be well maintained. | Compliant | |
| 63. | 40. | Monitoring of Product Transport The Proponent must: (a) keep accurate records of: the amount of quarry products transported from the site (monthly and annually); and all laden truck movements from the site (hourly, daily, weekly, monthly and annually); and (b) publish these records on its website on a quarterly basis. | Monthly Transport Tonnages Registers | Quarry product records are maintained on Monthly Transport Tonnages Registers. The total extraction for the year is reported to DRE and included in the Annual Review. All laden truck movements from the site are recorded hourly, daily, weekly, monthly and annually. Records were available on the website and in annual reviews. (b) Truck movements recorded are placed on the Metromix website at least quarterly. | Compliant | |
| 64. | 41. | Road Signage Deleted | | | | |
| 65. | 42. | Prior to carrying out quarrying operations under this approval, the Proponent must install "Trucks entering" warning signs 200 metres either side of the quarry entrances on public roads. | | Trucks entering" warning signs have been erected 200 metres either side of the quarry entrance to Rhondda Road. Verified during site inspection. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # | |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|---|--|------------|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| 66. | 43. | Parking The Proponent must provide sufficient parking on-site for all project-related traffic in accordance with Council's parking codes and in consultation with Council. | Site inspection | Verified previous audit. Parking areas provided for light and heavy vehicles. Visitor parking area provided at gate. | Compliant | | |
| 67. | 44. | Transport Management Plan The Proponent must prepare a Transport Management Plan for the project to the Secretary. This plan must: | Transport Management Plan Rev 05, 9 December 2019. | Transport Management Plan prepared. | Compliant | | |
| | | (a) be prepared by a suitably qualified traffic consultant in consultation with the RMS and Council, and submitted to the Secretary for approval within 4 months of the date of this approval; | Transport Management Plan Rev 05, 9 December 2019. | The Transport Management Plan was prepared by GTA Consultants in conjunction with R W Corkery & Co, in consultation with the RMS and Lake Macquarie City Council. | | | |
| | | | | | The Transport Management Plan was prepared to satisfy Project Approval 10_0183 Schedule 3 condition 44 was approved by DP&I on 10 October 2013. | | |
| | | | | Transport Management Plan updated 9/12/2019 (Revision 5). Approved 12/12/19. | | | |
| | | (b) include a drivers' code of conduct for the project; | Transport Management Plan Rev 05, 9 December 2019. | Transport Management Plan Appendix 1 provides the Drivers Code of Conduct | | | |
| | | (c) describe the measures that would be implemented to ensure: | Transport Management Plan Rev 05, 9 December 2019. | Transport Management Plan section 6.2 | | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | drivers are aware of potential safety issues along the haulage routes in particular near schools; drivers of project-related vehicles comply with the drivers' code of conduct; compliance with the relevant conditions of this approval; and | | describes Competence Training and Awareness that includes site traffic rules, safe site delivery, Drivers Code of Conduct, maximum hourly despatch rates and operation and maintenance of wheel washes. Section 8 Performance Monitoring | | |
| | | (d) include a program to monitor the effectiveness of the implementation of these measures. | Transport Management Plan Rev 05, 9 December 2019. | Transport Management Plan section 8 describes Performance and Monitoring of the truck and transport management plan requirements. | | |
| | | The Proponent must implement the plan as approved by the Secretary. | Driver induction records Truck Movement Summaries 2020-2023 | Records were sighted to verify implementation of the Traffic Management Plan. No non-conformances were identified in relation to traffic management. | | |
| | BUSHFIF | RE MANAGEMENT | | | | |
| 68. | 45. | The Proponent must: (a) ensure that the project is suitably equipped to respond to any fires on site; and (b) assist the Rural Fire Service, emergency services and National Parks and Wildlife Service as much as possible if there is a fire in the surrounding area. | Bushfire Management Plan, Rev 3 14/07/2022. | Bushfire Management Plan prepared which identifies resources and processes for managing bushfires. Asset protection zone which has an exclusion zone identified. The following was available on site: 2 water carts- (33,000L and 10,000L). Water cannon on 33,000L tank. Water carts are kept full at all times. Fire protection zone established around structures Fire fighting equipment (extinguishers, etc) available on site. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| | WASTE | | | | | |
| 69. | 46. | Prior to importing any Virgin Excavated Natural Material or excavated natural material to the site, the Proponent must obtain a 'resource recovery exemption' under the POEO Act and provide evidence of this approval to the Department. | Site interview | No VENM or ENM had been imported. | Not triggered | |
| 70. | 47. | The Proponent must: (a) minimise the waste generated by the project; and (b) ensure that the waste generated by the project is appropriately stored, handled, and disposed of, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. | Site inspection | Appropriate facilities have been provided for the storage and handling of waste on site. Separate bins are provided for steel, cardboard and general waste. Waste oils were segregated and recycled. Disposal was via approved waste contractors. | Compliant | |
| 71. | 48. | The Proponent must prepare a Waste Management Plan for the project to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must: | Waste Management Plan, Rev 03, 9 December 2019. | The Waste Management Plan was prepared to satisfy Project Approval 10_0183 Schedule 3 condition 48 and approved by DPI on 10 Oct 2013. Waste Management Plan updated (Revision 3, 9/12/2019) which was approved by DPE on 12/12/19. | Compliant | |
| | | (a) be prepared in consultation with DRG and Council, and submitted to the Secretary for approval prior within 4 months of the date of this approval; | Waste Management Plan, Rev 03, 9 December 2019. | Section 3 – Consultation and Appendix 2. | | |
| | | (b) identify the various waste streams of the project; | Waste Management Plan, Rev 03, 9 December 2019. | Section 7 – Water Streams at Teralba Quarry | | |
| | | (c) estimate the volumes of waste material that would be generated by the project, including recycled concrete brought on-site; | Waste Management Plan, Rev 03, 9 December 2019. | Section 8 – Waste Sources Within Teralba Quarry | | |
| | | (d) describe and justify the proposed strategy for disposing of this waste material, including recycled concrete brought on-site; and | Waste Management Plan, Rev 03, 9 December 2019. | Section 9 – Control Measures | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | (e) include a program to monitor the effectiveness of these measures. | Waste Management Plan, Rev 03, 9 December 2019. | Section 10 Monitoring and Evaluation of Compliance | | |
| | | The Proponent must implement the plan as approved by the Secretary. | Site Inspection Waste receipts, e.g.: Order 39533 Cleanaway Operations Pty Ltd, 13/04/2022 (various bins) Order 38956 Cleanaway Operations Pty Ltd, 24/02/2022 (Oil filters) Monthly Waste Disposal Summary | The waste Management Plan has been implemented. Facilities had been provided for the collection and segregation of waste. Records of disposal of waste were sighted. | | |
| | ABORIG | INAL HERITAGE | | | | |
| 72. | 49. | Heritage Management Plan The Proponent must prepare a Heritage Management Plan for the project to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must: | Heritage Management Plan Ver 03, 11/09/2019. | Heritage Management Plan updated (Version 3, 11/09/2019) and approved by DPI&E on 6/12/2019. | Compliant | |
| 73. | | (a) be prepared in consultation with Aboriginal stakeholders; | Heritage Management Plan Ver 03, 11/09/2019. Independent Environmental Audit – Teralba Quarry, Trevor Brown and Associates, February 2017. | Section 6 - Consultation | | |
| 74. | | (b) be submitted to the Secretary for approval prior to carrying out any development within the Northern Extension area or within 6 months of the date of this approval; | Heritage Management Plan Ver 03, 11/09/2019. Independent Environmental Audit – Teralba Quarry, Trevor Brown and Associates, February 2017. | A Heritage Management Plan was prepared in June 2013 to satisfy the requirements of Project Approval 10_0183 Schedule 3 condition 49 and submitted to DPI&E and approved on 19 September 2014. | | |
| 75. | | (c) describe the measures that would be implemented for: monitoring all new surface disturbance on site for unidentified Aboriginal objects; managing the discovery of any human remains or previously unidentified Aboriginal objects on site; and | Heritage Management Plan Ver 03, 11/09/2019. | Section 9 – Pre-Clearance Surveys Section 13 – Unexpected Finds Protocol for Artefacts and Human Remains. Section 10 – Ongoing Consultation with Aboriginal Stakeholders | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | ensuring ongoing consultation with Aboriginal stakeholders in the conservation and management of any Aboriginal cultural heritage values on site. | | | | |
| 76. | | The Proponent must implement the plan as approved by the Secretary. | Heritage Management Plan Ver 03, 11/09/2019. Email 18/02/2021 FW559 – Teralba Quarry – Stored Artefacts Email 24/03/2021 FW559 – Teralba Quarry – Stored Artefacts from Austral Archaeology. Email 20/04/2022 FW559 – Teralba Quarry – Stored Artefacts Email 21/07/2022 FW559 – Teralba Quarry – Stored Artefacts | The Heritage Management Plan had been implemented. No non-compliances with the Heritage Management Plan were identified. Artefacts identified during the 2019 monitoring campaign have yet to be reburied due to Covid-19 restrictions during 2020-2022 period. Metromix has been in regular contact with the archaeologist to arrange for the reburial of the artefacts. | Compliant | |
| 77. | 49A. | If any suspected Aboriginal object or place is identified on site, the Proponent must ensure that: (a) all work in the immediate vicinity of the suspected Aboriginal object or place ceases immediately; (b) a 10 m buffer area around the suspected Aboriginal object or place is cordoned off; and (c) OEH is contacted immediately. Work in the immediate vicinity of the Aboriginal object or place may only recommence in accordance with the provisions of Part 6 of the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974. | | No artefacts have been identified during works on site during the current audit period. | Not triggered | |
| | - | | | | [| |
| 78. | 50. | Fauna Habitat The Proponent must install 20 nest boxes for microbats, 20 nest boxes for Little Lorikeets and 30 nest boxes for Sugar Gliders. These boxes must be monitored and maintained regularly over the life of the project, and re-located or replaced if not used by targeted fauna for a period of 12 months. | 2022 Nest Box Monitoring Report, ECHO Ecology and Surveying. 2021 Nest Box Monitoring Report, ECHO Ecology and Surveying. 2020 Nest Box Monitoring Report, ECHO Ecology and Surveying. | Nesting boxes were installed in late 2014. Monitoring conducted annually in November. Monitoring records sighted and included in the annual report. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|----------------------|------------|
| 79. | 51. | The Proponent must, wherever practicable, avoid clearing hollow-bearing trees. If clearing a hollow-bearing tree cannot be avoided, then its removal must be offset with an additional and comparable habitat structure within the site. | Report – Hollow- Bearing Tree Survey of Stages 1C and 2BA Stripping, Echo Ecology, 10/08/2020 13/08/2020 – Map showing location of possible nesting boxes, Echo Ecology. | Stage 2 clearance survey conducted 2019. The next boxes provided (see condition 50 were provided to offset hollow bearing trees removed during this clearing. Stage 1C clearing 2020. Stage 2BA cleared 2022. An additional 11 nest boxes were installed in 2020 to compensate for vegetation clearing as the result of the powerline relocation. | Compliant | |
| 80. | 52. | Biodiversity Offset Strategy The Proponent must retire biodiversity credits specified in conditions 54 to 56 of this schedule in accordance with the Biodiversity Offset Scheme of the <i>Biodiversity Conservation</i> <i>Act 2016</i> , to the satisfaction of the Secretary and OEH. | Independent Environmental Audit: Teralba Quarry Development Consent PA 10-0183, AQUAS, February 2020 | Verified previous audit | Compliant | |
| 81. | 53. | The Proponent must prepare and submit a Biodiversity Offset Strategy to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This strategy must: (a) be submitted for approval by the Secretary prior to 30 June 2018, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary; and | Independent Environmental Audit: Teralba Quarry Development Consent PA 10-0183, AQUAS, February 2020 Email 22/12/18 from OEH verifying Biodiversity credits (Stage 1 and 2 credits) had been retired. | Biodiversity Offset Strategy prepared and included in the Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan (Appendix 2). Draft 1 report provided to DPI&E on 28/06/18. Draft 2 report completed April 2019. Draft 3 completed June 2019. Approved 18 June 2019. | Compliant | |
| | | (b) be prepared in accordance with the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016; and | | The Biodiversity Offset Strategy has been prepared with consideration of the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016. | | |
| | | (c) provide for the retirement of biodiversity credits as specified in conditions 54 to 56 of this schedule. | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan, June 2019. | Appendix 2 Biodiversity Offset Strategy | | |
| | | The Proponent must implement the Biodiversity Offset Strategy to the satisfaction of the Secretary and OEH. | | Biodiversity Offset Strategy has been implemented. OEH verified that Biodiversity credits (Stage 1 and 2 credits) had been retired 22/12/18. | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|----------------------------|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| 82. | 54. | By 31 December 2018, unless otherwise approved Secretary, the Proponent must retire all biodiversi listed in Table 8 to the satisfaction of the Secretar OEH. <i>Table 8: Biodiversity Credits to be retired by 31 D</i> 2018 | ity credits ry and | Email 22/12/18 from OEH verifying Biodiversity credits (Stage 1 and 2 credits) had been retired. | Verified previous audit | Compliant | |
| | | Credit Type Offset Type | Number of Cree | lits | | | |
| | | Ecosystem Credits PCT1589 'Spotted Gum – Broad-leaved Mahogany – Grey Gum grass – shrub open forest on Coastal Lowlands of the Central Coast' | 670 | | | | |
| | | Species Credits Black-eyed Susan (<u>Tetratheca iuncea</u>) | 1103 | | | | |
| 83. | 55. | Prior to any vegetation clearing in Southern Extense 3 (see Figure 7 of Appendix 5) the Proponent must biodiversity credits listed in Table 8a to the satisfied the Secretary and OEH. Table 8a: Biodiversity credits to be retired prior to vegetation clearing in Southern Extension Stage Credit Type Number Ecosystem Credits PCT1589 - 'Spotted Gum - Broad-leaved Mahogany - Grey Gum grass - shrub open forest on Coastal Lowlands of the Central Coast' | t retire all faction of | | Stage 3 not commenced | Not Triggered | |
| 84. | 56. | Prior to any vegetation clearing in Northern Exten Stages 1, 2 or 3 (see Figure 7 of Appendix 5) the P must retire all biodiversity credits listed in Table 8 satisfaction of the Secretary and OEH. Table 8b: Biodiversity credits to be retired prior to vegetation clearing in Northern Extension Stages Credit Type Offset Type I Ecosystem Credits PCT1589 - 'Spotted Gum – Broad-leaved Mahogany – Grey Gum grass – shrub open forest on Coastal Lowlands of the Central Coast | Proponent 8b to the | <u>s</u> | No work has been undertaken in the Northern Extension. | Not Triggered | |
| 85. | 57. | Long Term Security of Offsets Within 6 months of the approval of the Biodivers Strategy, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary Proponent must make suitable arrangements for | , the | | The strategy does not include land based offsets | Not triggered | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | term protection of any land identified in the Strategy, t satisfaction of the Secretary. | o the | | | |
| 86. | 58. | Rehabilitation Objectives The Proponent must rehabilitate the site to the satisfat of the Secretary. This rehabilitation must be generally consistent with the proposed rehabilitation strategy is EA and Appendix 6, and comply with the objectives in 9. Table 9: Rehabilitation Objectives Feature Objective Site (as a whole) Safe • Safe • Hydraulically and geotechnically stable • Non-polluting • Firal landform integrated with surroundin landforms as far as is reasonable and fee • Minimising visual impacts when viewed fir surrounding land Surface infrastructure • Benched quarry walls • • Landscaped and revegetated utilising na understorey species, ensuring that the transpecies and felled trees from clearing. • Revegetation not required for existing an industrial areas Other land affected by the project • Restore ecosystem function, including mestabilisting set-systems in ordinal geocesystems set on species and felled trees from clearing. • native endemic species • and the surrounding environment | h the Fable | Progressive rehabilitation had been conducted of the former silt cells. During the site inspection, areas rehabilitated during 2002, 2021 and 2022 were inspected. It was noted that rehabilitation using coarse woody debris on rehabilitated silt cells and tubestock planting has been successful. Rehabilitated areas were looking lush and healthy. | Compliant | |
| 87. | 59. | Progressive Rehabilitation The Proponent must rehabilitate the site progressively is, as soon as reasonably practicable following disturb All reasonable and feasible measures must be taken t minimise the total area exposed for dust generation a time. Interim stabilisation measures must be implement where reasonable and feasible to control dust emission | ance. 5 t any nted | During the site inspection, areas rehabilitated during 2002, 2021 and 2022 were inspected, with rehabilitated areas showing good coverage. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | disturbed areas that are not active and which are not ready for final rehabilitation. Note: It is accepted that parts of the site that are progressively rehabilitated may be subject to future re- disturbance. | | | | |
| 88. | 60. | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan The Proponent must prepare a Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan for the project to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This plan must: (a) be prepared by a suitably qualified expert and in consultation with DRG, DPI and Council; | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan, 18 June 2019. | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan prepared. Draft 1 report provided to DPI&E on 28/06/18. Draft 2 report completed April 2019. Draft 3 completed June 2019. Approved 18 June 2019. prepared by R.W. Corkery. Draft 1 submitted to DRG, DPI&E and Council for review; | Compliant | |
| | | (b) be submitted to the Secretary for approval within 12 months of the date of approval of Modification 1; | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan, 18 June 2019. | Draft 1 submitted 28 June 2018; | | |
| | | (c) provide details of the conceptual final landform and associated land uses for the site; | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan, 18 June 2019. | Details of the conceptual final landform and associated land uses for the site included in Section 2.2 | | |
| | | (d) describe how the implementation of the Biodiversity Offset Strategy would be integrated with the overall rehabilitation of the site; | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan, 18 June 2019. | Short, medium and long-term measures identified in Section 6 | | |
| | | (e) describe the short, medium and long-term measures that would be implemented to: manage remnant vegetation and habitat on site; implement the Biodiversity Offset Strategy; and ensure compliance with the rehabilitation objectives and progressive rehabilitation obligations in this approval; | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan, 18 June 2019. | Section 9 – Rehabilitation | | |
| | | (f) include detailed performance and completion criteria for evaluating the performance of the Biodiversity | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan, 18 June 2019. | Section 6 - Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| | | Offset Strategy and the rehabilitation of the site (including progressive rehabilitation), including triggering remedial action (if necessary); | | | | |
| | | (g) include a detailed description of the measures that would be implemented over the next 3 years, including the procedures to be implemented for: ensuring compliance with the rehabilitation objectives and progressive rehabilitation obligations in this approval; enhancing the quality of remnant vegetation and fauna habitat; protecting and restoring native endemic vegetation and fauna habitat within any offset areas and rehabilitation areas; maximising the salvage of environmental resources within the approved disturbance area – including tree hollows, vegetative and soil resources – for beneficial reuse in the enhancement of the biodiversity areas or rehabilitation area; collecting and propagating seed; ensuring minimal environmental consequences for the local <i>Tetratheca juncea</i> population; protecting vegetation and fauna habitat outside the approved disturbance area on-site; minimising the impacts on native fauna on site, including undertaking appropriate pre-clearance surveys; controlling weeds and feral pests; controlling access; and bushfire management; | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan, 18 June 2019. | Section 8 Monitoring and Evaluation | | |
| | | (h) include a program to monitor the effectiveness of these measures, and progress against the performance and completion criteria; Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan, 18 June 2019. | | | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | (i) identify the potential risks to successful implementation of the Biodiversity Offset Strategy and rehabilitation of the site, and include a description of the contingency measures that would be implemented to mitigate against these risks; and | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan, 18 June 2019. | Section 5 Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Risks | | |
| | | (j) include details of who would be responsible for monitoring, reviewing, and implementing the plan. | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan, 18 June 2019. | Section 1.6.1 Roles and Responsibilities. | | |
| | | The Proponent must implement the plan as approved by the Secretary. | Site Inspection Teralba Quarry 2020 Annual Review Teralba Quarry 2021 Annual Review Report - RE: Hollow-bearing tree survey of Stages 1C & 2BA stripping, ECHO Ecology and Surveying, 10/08/2020. Daily Compliance Monitoring 13/07/2020 to 17/07/2020 | Biodiversity credits had been purchased and retired (see Condition 54). The rehabilitation Bond had been reviewed and submitted To DPE following the previous environmental audit (See Condition 62). Stripped topsoil had been stockpiled for later reuse during rehabilitation. Ongoing weed management had been undertaken. T.E.N.T.A.C.L.E. reports included in the Annual Review report on weed management, revegetation and fauna sightings Nest boxes had been installed and monitored by Echo Ecology, with reports included in the annual Reviews. Access to the Quarry site had been restricted, with gates located outside of operating hours. Erosion and sediment controls had been implemented for areas exposed during clearing operations. Exclusion zones had been provided to prevent access to areas containing <i>Tetratheca juncea</i> . | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | | | Assessment of visual impacts was conducted annually with results provided in the Annual Reviews. Daily compliance monitoring (weather, catchment outflows and dam levels) | | |
| 89. | 61. | Rehabilitation Bond Within 6 months of the approval of the Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan, the Proponent must lodge a Rehabilitation Bond with the Department to ensure that the rehabilitation of the site is implemented in accordance with the performance and completion criteria set out in the Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan and the relevant conditions of approval. The sum of the bond must be determined by: calculating the cost of rehabilitating all disturbed areas of the site, taking into account the likely surface disturbance over the next 3 years of quarrying operations; and (c) employing a suitably qualified quantity surveyor or other expert to verify the calculated costs to the satisfaction of the Secretary. The calculation of the Rehabilitation Bond must be submitted to the Department for approval at least 2 months prior to the lodgement of the bond. | Letter – Revised Rehabilitation Bond Calculation, 2/04/2020. | Bond Approved 3/04/2020. The Rehabilitation Bond had not been lodged with the Department within 6 months of the approval of the Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan. This was raised as a non-compliance in the previous audit report. | Compliant | |
| 90. | 62. | The Rehabilitation Bond must be reviewed and, if required, an updated bond must be lodged with the Department within 3 months following: (a) an update or revision to the Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan; (b) the completion of an Independent Environmental Audit in which recommendations relating to the rehabilitation of the site have been made; or (c) in response to a request by the Secretary. | | Bond Approved 3/04/2020. No review required. No recommendations relating to rehabilitation of the site were raised during the current audit. | Not triggered | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | If capital and other expenditure required by the Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan is largely complete, the Secretary may waive the requirement for lodgement of a bond in respect of the remaining expenditure. If the rehabilitation of the site area is completed to the satisfaction of the Secretary, then the Secretary will release the bond. If the rehabilitation of the site is not completed to the satisfaction of the Secretary, then the Secretary will call in all or part of the bond, and arrange for the completion of the relevant works. | | | | |
| 91. | 63. | Relocated Powerlines The Proponent must ensure that any relocation of existing powerlines on-site does not cause greater than minor environmental consequences. | REF (Project SC-11765: Relation of 33kV and 11kV Power Lines, Teralba, December 2019) | Environmental Assessment completed for relation of power lines. REF (Project SC-11765: Relation of 33kV and 11kV Power Lines, Teralba, December 2019) completed for works, which was approved by Ausgrid 23/12/19. Verified previous report. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| SCHEDU | .e 4 – Ad | DDITIONAL PROCEDURES | | | | |
| NOTIFIC | ΑΤΙΟΝ Ο | F LANDOWNERS | | | | |
| | 1. | As soon as practicable and no longer than 7 days after obtaining monitoring results showing an: (b) exceedance of any relevant criteria in Schedule 3, the Proponent must notify affected landowners in writing of the exceedance, and provide regular monitoring results to each affected landowner until the project is again complying with the relevant criteria; and (c) an exceedance of the relevant air quality criteria in Schedule 3, the proponent must send a copy of the NSW Health fact sheet entitled <i>"Mine Dust and You"</i> (as may be updated from time to time) to the affected landowners and/or existing tenants of the land. | Site interview Email to EPA 19/02/2020 Re dust monitoring Exceedance Jan 2020 Email 17/11/2021 Re Noise Monitoring November 2021 MP10 0183-PA-15 EPA/ DPE notification water quality exceedance 3/08/2020. Email Report Water Exceedance 11/08/2020 Teralba Quarry Blasting Exceedance. Email to DPE 13/03/2020 Email to DPE 26/03/2020 – Blasting Exceedance Notification. Email – Final Report on Blast Exceedances, 24/04/2020 | No exceedance of relevant criteria have occurred as the result of quarrying operations. Dust exceedances recorded were attributed to environmental conditions not associated with quarry activities. Blasting exceedances – Reported that no affected landowners. Reported that Teralba Business Park was notified by telephone. Noise exceedance – EPA and DPE notified. Affected landowners not notified. Recommendation: Where an exceedance of any relevant criteria in Schedule 3 is identified, Metromix should notify affected landowners in writing of the exceedance, and provide regular monitoring results. | Not Compliant | NC-06 |
| INDEPEN | DENT RE | EVIEW | | | | |
| | 2. | If an owner of privately-owned land considers the project to be exceeding the relevant criteria in Schedule 3, then he/she may ask the Secretary in writing for an independent review of the impacts of the project on his/her land. If the Secretary is satisfied that an independent review is warranted, then within 2 months of the Secretary's decision the Proponent must: (a) commission a suitably qualified, experienced and independent expert, whose appointment has been | | No requests have been received. | Not triggered | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|----------|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | consult with the landowner to determine his/her concerns; conduct monitoring to determine whether the project is complying with the relevant criteria in Schedule 3; and if the project is not complying with these criteria, then identify the measures that could be implemented to ensure compliance with the relevant criteria; and (b) give the Secretary and landowner a copy of the independent review; and (c) comply with any written requests made by the Secretary to implement any findings of the review. | | | | |
| 94. | 3. | If the independent review determines that the project is complying with the relevant criteria in Schedule 3, then the Proponent may discontinue the independent review with the approval of the Secretary. If the independent review determines that the project is not complying with the relevant criteria in Schedule 3, then the Proponent must: (a) implement all reasonable and feasible mitigation measures, in consultation with the landowner and appointed independent expert, and conduct further monitoring until the project complies with the relevant criteria; or (b) secure a written agreement with the landowner to allow exceedances of the relevant criteria, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. | | No independent review have been required. | Not triggered | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|----------------------|------------|
| SCHEDUL | .E 5 – EN\ | /IRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT, REPORTING AND AUDITING | | | | |
| ENVIRON | IMENTAL | MANAGEMENT | | | | |
| 95. | 1. | Environmental Management Strategy The Proponent must prepare an Environmental Management Strategy for the project to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This strategy must: (a) be submitted to the Secretary for approval with 6 months of the date of this approval; | Environmental Management Strategy updated Revision 3, 20/12/2018. | An Environmental Management Strategy was prepared to satisfy Project Approval Schedule 5 condition 1 and originally approved by DP&I on 16 January 2014. Environmental Management Strategy updated (Revision 3, 20/12/2018) and approved by DPI&E 25/01/2019. Verified previous audit | Compliant | |
| | | (b) provide the strategic framework for environmental management of the project; | Environmental Management Strategy updated Revision 3, 20/12/2018. | Section 2 Strategic Framework | - | |
| | | (c) identify the statutory approvals that apply to the project; | Environmental Management Strategy updated Revision 3, 20/12/2018. | Section 3 Legal and Other Requirements | | |
| | | (d) describe the role, responsibility, authority and accountability of all key personnel involved in the environmental management of the project; | Environmental Management Strategy updated Revision 3, 20/12/2018. | Section 14 Roles and Responsibilities | | |
| | | (e) describe the procedures that would be implemented to: keep the local community and relevant agencies informed about the operation and environmental performance of the project; | Environmental Management Strategy updated Revision 3, 20/12/2018. | Section 11 Stakeholder and Community Consultation, Section 9 Complaints Handling and Dispute Resolution, | | |
| | | receive, handle, respond to, and record complaints; resolve any disputes that may arise during the course of the project; respond to any non-compliance; and respond to emergencies; and | | Section 8 Incident Reporting and Section 7 Corrective and Preventative Actions, Section 10 Emergency Response | | |
| | | (f) include: references to any strategies, plans and programs approved under the conditions of this approval; and | Environmental Management Strategy updated Revision 3, 20/12/2018. | Section 1.1 - Scope and Environmental Management Strategy Objectives, Section 5 – Monitoring. | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | a clear plan depicting all the monitoring required to be carried out under the conditions of this approval. | | | | |
| | | The Proponent must implement the strategy as approved by the Secretary. | | The Environmental Management Strategy has been implemented. No non-compliances have been identified in relation to implementation of the Environmental Management Strategy. | | |
| 96. | 2. | Adaptive Management The Proponent must assess and manage project-related risks to ensure that there are no exceedances of the criteria and/or performance measures in Schedule 3. Any exceedance of these criteria and/or performance measures constitutes a breach of this approval and may be subject to penalty or offence provisions under the EP&A Act or EP&A Regulation. Where any exceedance of these criteria and/or performance measures has occurred, the Proponent must as soon as becoming aware of any exceedance. | Email to EPA 19/02/2020 Re dust monitoring Exceedance Jan 2020 Email 17/11/2021 Re Noise Monitoring November 2021 MP10 0183-PA-15 EPA/ DPE notification water quality exceedance 3/08/2020. Email Report Water Exceedance 11/08/2020 DPE Response 24/08/2020. Email to DPE/ EPA 16/03/2020 – Teralba Quarry Blasting Exceedance. Email to DPE 13/03/2020 Email to DPE 26/03/2020 – Blasting Exceedance Notification. Email – Final Report on Blast Exceedances, 24/04/2020 | Noise exceedance 16-18 August 2021. Report received 14/09/2021 Submitted to DPE 15/09/2021. Response 27/09/2021. 17/11/2021 – Response from DPE. No further action. Water quality exceedance 27-29 July 2020 Water quality results received 3, 4 and 5/08/2020 and Email sent to DPE notifying of exceedance. Letter to DPE Re Water Quality TSS Exceedances upload attempted 11/08/2020 (Not uploaded due to issue with Portal). Subsequently uploaded. Response from DPI 24/08/2020. Blast Exceedances February to March 2020 Email to DPE 13/02/2020 for exceedance on 13/02/2020. Notified Teralba Business Park also notified by telephone. Additional information requested 13/03/2020, sent 16/03/2020. 16/03/2020 Blast exceedance Report provided 23/03/2020, 25/03/2020. | Not Compliant | NC-07 |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | | | Final report covering the three exceedances was provided to DPE and accepted on 24/04/2020. Official caution issued by DPE was subsequently issued. No further exceedances have occurred. | | |
| | | (a) take all reasonable and feasible steps to ensure that the exceedance ceases and does not reoccur; | Email - EPL 536 - Teralba Quarry Noise Exceedance Notification 9/11/2021 Email Report Water Exceedance 11/08/2020 DPE Response 24/08/2020. Email – Final Report on Blast Exceedances, 24/04/2020 | Noise exceedance 16-18 August 2021 identified on receipt of report 14/09/2021. Steps taken to prevent reoccurrence included restricting the number of plant working above RL 60 AHD , limiting the time high noise plant is used above RL 60 AHD and ensuring mobile crushing and screening activities occur below RL 60 AHD. Water quality exceedance 27-29 July 2020 as the result of rainfall exceeding the design rainfall event for sediment dams in the Quarry. Water quality results returned to compliance after cessation of rainfall event. No further action required. Blast Exceedances February to March 2020 Exceedances of blast criteria occurred. Actions were identified (changes to blast design parameters) to minimise the risk of reoccurrence, however actions taken following the first two exceedances did not prevent reoccurrence. Blast design parameters further redesigned following third exceedance. No further exceedances have occurred. | Compliant | |
| | | (b) consider all reasonable and feasible options for remediation (where relevant); | | No additional remediation measures required. | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|-----|--|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | (c) | within 14 days of the exceedance occurring, submit a report to the Secretary describing these remediation options and any preferred remediation measures or other course of action; and | Email to DPE 15.09.2021 Email to EPA 9/11/2021 RE: EPL 536 - Teralba Quarry Noise Exceedance Notification October 2021 Secondary Monitoring | Noise exceedance 16-18 August 2021. Metromix became aware of exceedance on 14/09/2021 upon receipt of Noise Report from Consultant.– Report Submitted to DPE 15/09/2021. Water quality exceedance 27-29 July 2020 | Compliant | |
| | | | | Report | Water quality results received 3, 4 and 5/08/2020 and Email sent to DPE notifying of exceedance. | | |
| | | | | Email to DPE 11/08/2021 | Letter to DPE Re Water Quality TSS Exceedances upload attempted 11/08/2020 (Not uploaded due to issue with Portal). Subsequently uploaded. | | |
| | | | | | Response from DPI 24/08/2020 Blast Exceedances February to March 2020 | | |
| | | | | | Email to DPE 13/02/2020 for exceedance on 13/02/2020. Notified Teralba Business Park also notified by telephone. | | |
| | | | | | Additional information requested 13/03/2020, sent 16/03/2020. | | |
| | | | | Email to DPE 13/02/2020, 17/03/2020 Email 16/03/2020 from : <u>no-</u> <u>reply@majorprojects.planning.ns</u> <u>w.gov.au</u> re Teralba Quarry Blasting Exceedance - Response from Environment Protection Authority Email from DPE 15/05/2020 RE: Blasting Exceedance Notification 25.3.20 | 16/03/2020 Blast exceedance Report provided 23/03/2020, 25/03/2020. Final report covering the three exceedances was provided to DPE and accepted on 24/04/2020. Official caution issued by DPE was subsequently issued. | | |
| | | (d) | implement remediation measures as directed by the Secretary, | | Noise Exceedance – No additional remediation measures required. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | | | Water quality exceedance – No further remediation measures required. Blast Exceedance - No further remediation measures required. | | |
| | | to the satisfaction of the Secretary. | | Official caution issued by DPE for blast exceedances. Actions proposed and implemented by Metromix for water and noise exceedances were accepted by the Secretary. | Compliant | |
| 97. | 3. | The Proponent must ensure that the Management Plans required under this approval are prepared in accordance with any relevant guidelines, and include: (a) detailed baseline data; Note: The Secretary may waive some of these requirements if they are unnecessary or unwarranted for particular management plans. (b) a description of: the relevant statutory requirements (including any relevant approval, licence or lease conditions); any relevant limits or performance measures/criteria; and the specific performance indicators that are proposed to be used to judge the performance of, or guide the implementation of, the project or any management measures; (c) a description of the measures that would be implemented to comply with the relevant statutory measures limits or performance | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan, 18 June 2019. Air Quality Management Plan, Rev 06, 9 December 2019. Noise Management Plan Rev 03, December 2021, Section 3 Water Management Plan Rev 05, 21 September 2020, Section 3. Blast Management Plan Rev 06, 30 June 2020, Section 3. Lower Level Extraction Management Plan, TER SHE 4.8 – 012, September 2016 Transport Management Plan Rev 05, 9 December 2019. Waste Management Plan – Teralba Quarry, Rev 03, 9 December 2019 Heritage Management Plan Ver 03, 11/09/2019. | The Management Plans required under this Project Approval are consistent with the guidelines outlined in Project Approval Schedule 5 Condition 3. Examples of where management plans comply are shown below. BRMP – Section 2 AQMP – Section 7 Existing Environment And Potential Air Quality-Related Impacts AQMP, NMP - Section 4 Legal and Other Requirements Section 7 Existing Environment and Potential Air Quality-Related Impacts BRPM Section 1.4 Legal and Other Requirements BRMP Section 9 Rehabilitation Performance And Completion Criteria | Compliant | |
| | | requirements, limits, or performance measures/criteria; | | BRMP Section 6 – Monitoring and Evaluation AQMP Section 8 – Control Measures | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | (d) a program to monitor and report on the: impacts and environmental performance of the project; and effectiveness of any management measures (see (c) above); | | Section 13 Publication of Monitoring Information Section 9 Rehabilitation Performance and Completion Criteria | | |
| | | (e) a contingency plan to manage any unpredicted impacts and their consequences; | | Section 9 Rehabilitation Performance And Completion Criteria | | |
| | | (f) a program to investigate and implement ways to improve the environmental performance of the project over time; | | Adaptive Management Section 14 Review | | |
| | | (g) a protocol for managing and reporting any: incidents; complaints; non-compliances with statutory requirements; and exceedances of the impact assessment criteria and/or performance criteria; and | | Section 12 Incident Reporting Section 11 Complaints Handling, Complaints Response And Incident Reporting Section 10 Evaluation of Compliance | | |
| | | (h) a protocol for periodic review of the plan. | | Section 14 Plan Review | | |
| 98. | 3A. | Evidence of Consultation Where conditions of this approval require consultation with an identified party, the Proponent must: (a) (a) consult with the relevant party prior to submitting the subject document to the Secretary for approval; and However, if the Secretary agrees, a strategy, plan or program may be prepared without consultation being undertaken with | Noise Management Plan Rev 03, December 2021, Section 3 Water Management Plan Rev 05, 21 September 2020, Section 3. Blast Management Plan Rev 06, 30 June 2020, Section 3. Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan Section 1.3 | Evidence of consultation has been included in management plans. | Compliant | |
| | | an identified party required under a condition of this approval. | Air Quality Management Plan Section 3 and Appendix 1 | | | |
| | | (b) provide details of the consultation undertaken, including; the outcome of that consultation, matters resolved and unresolved; and | Transport Management Plan Section 3 and Appendix 3 | | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | details of any disagreement remaining between the party consulted and the Proponent and how the Proponent has addressed any unresolved matters. | | | | |
| 99. | 4. | Annual Review By the end of March each year, the Proponent must review the environmental performance of the project to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This review must: | Teralba Quarry 2019 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 27 March 2020. Teralba Quarry 2020 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2021. Teralba Quarry 2021 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2022. | Annual Reviews submitted within required timeframe. E.g. 2019 – submitted 30/03/20. 2020 – submitted 31/03/21. 2021 – submitted 29/03/22. | Compliant | |
| 100. | | (a) describe the development (including rehabilitation) that was carried out in the previous calendar year, and the development that is proposed to be carried out over the current calendar year; | Teralba Quarry 2019 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 27 March 2020. Teralba Quarry 2020 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2021. Teralba Quarry 2021 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2022. | Section 4 – Operations Summary | Compliant | |
| 101. | | (b) include a comprehensive review of the monitoring results and complaints records of the project over the previous calendar year, which includes a comparison of these results against: • the relevant statutory requirements, limits or performance measures/criteria; • the monitoring results of previous years; and • the relevant predictions in the documents listed in condition 2A of Schedule 2; (c) | Teralba Quarry 2019 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 27 March 2020. Teralba Quarry 2020 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2021. Teralba Quarry 2021 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2022. | 5.1 Environmental Monitoring 5.2 5.2 - Noise Monitoring 5.3 5.3 Blasting 5.4 5.4 Air Quality 5.5 Fauna Habitat 6 Water Management | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|-----|---|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| 102. | | (d) | identify any non-compliance over the last year, and describe what actions were (or are being) taken to ensure compliance; | Teralba Quarry 2019 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 27 March 2020. Teralba Quarry 2020 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March | Section 12 Incidents and Non-Compliances During The Reporting Period Appendix 6 Non-compliances | Compliant | |
| | | | | 2021. Teralba Quarry 2021 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2022. | | | |
| 103. | | (e) | identify any trends in the monitoring data over the life of the project; | Teralba Quarry 2019 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 27 March 2020. Teralba Quarry 2020 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2021. Teralba Quarry 2021 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2022. | 5.6 Environmental Monitoring 5.7 5.2 - Noise Monitoring 5.8 5.3 Blasting 5.9 5.4 Air Quality 5.10 Fauna Habitat 6 Water Management | Compliant | |
| 104. | | (f) | identify any discrepancies between the predicted and actual impacts of the project, and analyse the potential cause of any significant discrepancies; and | Teralba Quarry 2019 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 27 March 2020. Teralba Quarry 2020 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2021. Teralba Quarry 2021 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2022. | 5.11 Environmental Monitoring 5.12 5.2 – Noise Monitoring 5.13 5.3 Blasting 5.14 5.4 Air Quality 5.15 Fauna Habitat 6 Water Management 7 Rehabilitation | Compliant | |
| 105. | | (g) | describe what measures will be implemented over the current calendar year to improve the environmental performance of the project. | Teralba Quarry 2019 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 27 March 2020. Teralba Quarry 2020 Annual Review | 13. Activities to be Completed During the Next Reporting Period | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| 106. | 5. | Revision of Strategies, Plans & ProgramsWithin 3 months of the submission of an:(e) annual review under condition 4 above:(f) incident report under condition 7 below;(g) audit report under condition 9 below; and(h) any modifications to this approval,the Proponent must review, and if necessary revise, thestrategies, plans, and programs required under this approvalto the satisfaction of the Secretary.The Proponent must notify the Department in writing of anysuch review being undertaken. Where this review leads torevisions in any such document, then within 6 weeks of thereview the revised document must be submitted for theapproval of the Secretary.Note: This is to ensure the strategies, plans and programs areupdated on a regular basis, and incorporate anyrecommended measures to improve the environmentalperformance of the project. | Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2021. Teralba Quarry 2021 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2022. Blast Management Plan Rev 06, 30 June 2020. DPE letter approving BMP 23/12/2020. Noise Management Plan Rev 03, 10/12/2021. DPE letter approving BMP 23/12/2020. Email 15/07/2020 acknowledging receipt of 2020 review of EMPs for Teralba Quarry. Email 5 June 2020 to DPE - Teralba Quarry – Review of Management Plans Letter 2/05/2022 - Re: Teralba Quarry (PA 10_0183) – Status of Environmental Management Plans | Following previous audit - 10/06/2020 submitted to DPE via portal (Review of EMPs) 2021 annual review accepted 9/07/2021. Noted that the Blast Management Plan and the Noise Management Plan had been updated following incidents in 2020 and 2021. Review of management plans following annual reviews verified. Outcomes reported to DPE. Letter showing review of management plans following submission of the 2021- 2022 Annual Review sighted. However, no record of submission of the letter to DPE was sighted. Recommendation : Metromix should ensure that, when management plans are reviewed, the Department is notified in writing of the outcome of the reviews. | Not Compliant | NC-08 |
| 107. | 6. | Community Consultative Committee The Proponent must establish and operate a Community Consultative Committee (CCC) for the project to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This CCC must be operated in general accordance with the Department's Community Consultative Committee Guidelines for State Significant Developments, November 2016, or its latest version), and be operating within four months of the date of this approval. | Minutes of Meeting Teralba Quarry Community Consultative Committee (CCC) Via Teleconference*, 6 May 2020 Minutes of Meeting Teralba Quarry Community Consultative Committee (CCC), 5 May 2021 | Community Consultative Committee established. Meetings conducted annually (as agreed at meeting October 2019). Meeting minutes were available on the company website. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | Notes: The CCC is an advisory committee. The Department and other relevant agencies are responsible for ensuring that the proponent complies with this approval. | Minutes of Meeting Teralba Quarry Community Consultative Committee (CCC), 17 May 2021 | Noted that, due to restrictions associated with Covid-19, some meetings had been conducted remotely. | | |
| | | In accordance with the guideline, the Committee should comprise an independent chair and appropriate representation from the Proponent, Council, recognised environmental groups and the local community. | | | | |
| REPORTI | NG | | | | | |
| 108. | 7. | the contact name, email address and phone number provided by the Department from time to time) and any other relevant agencies of any incident. | Email response from DPE 14/02/2020 RE: Blast Overpressure Exceedance | Blast exceedance 13/02/2020 reported to DPE 13/02/2020. | Compliant | |
| | | | | Blast exceedance 16/03/2020 Reported to DPE | | |
| | | | Email to DPE 3/08/2020 EPL: 536 Condition L2.4 Exceedance reporting Water Quality Exceedance between 25 & 28/07/2020 | Water quality exceedance reported 3/08/2020 upon receipt of laboratory results. | | |
| 109. | 7B. | provide the Secretary and any relevant agencies with a detailed report on the incident, and such further reports as may be requested. This report must include the time and date of the incident, details of the incident, measures implemented to prevent re-occurrence and must identify any | Email to EPA 19/02/2020 Re dust | Dust Criteria Exceedance January 2020 | Compliant | |
| | | | monitoring Exceedance Jan 2020 | Email sent to DPE informing of results 19/02/2020 (on receipt of results). | | |
| | | | Email 17/11/2021 Re Noise Monitoring November 2021 MP10 0183-PA-15 | Response from DPE identified that the dust source was not the Quarry and hence the results did not represent a non- compliance. | | |
| | | | EPA/ DPE notification water quality | Noise exceedance | | |
| | | | exceedance 3/08/2020. | 16-18 August 2021. | | |
| | | | Email Report Water Exceedance | Report received 14/09/2021 | | |
| | | | 11/08/2020 DPE Response 24/08/2020. | Submitted to DPE 15/09/2021. Response 27/09/2021. | | |
| | | | Email to DPE/ EPA 16/03/2020 – | 17/11/2021 – Response from DPE. No | | |
| | | | Teralba Quarry Blasting Exceedance. | further action. | | |
| | | | Email to DPE 13/03/2020 | Water quality exceedance 27-29 July 2020 | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| | INU. | | Email to DPE 26/03/2020 – Blasting Exceedance Notification. Email – Final Report on Blast Exceedances, 24/04/2020 | Water quality results received 3, 4 and 5/08/2020 and Email sent to DPE notifying of exceedance. Letter to DPE Re Water Quality TSS Exceedances upload attempted 11/08/2020 (Not uploaded due to issue with Portal). Subsequently uploaded. Response from DPI 24/08/2020. Blast Exceedances February to March 2020 Email to DPE 13/02/2020 for exceedance on 13/02/2020. Notified Teralba Business Park also notified by telephone. Additional information requested 14/03/2020, sent 16/03/2020. 16/03/2020 Blast exceedance Report provided 23/03/2020, 25/03/2020. Final report covering the three exceedances was provided to DPE and accepted on 24/04/2020. Official caution issued by DPE was subsequently issued. No further exceedances have occurred. Noise exceedance identified during August 2021 noise monitoring. Email from DPE 17/11/2021 identified no sustained exceedances of noise criteria and | raung | ** |
| 110. | 8. | The Proponent must provide regular reporting on the environmental performance of the project on its website, in accordance with the reporting arrangements in any plans or programs approved under the conditions of this approval. | https://www.metromix.com.au/resou rces/ | hence no breach of MP10_0183. Monitoring records were readily available on the project website. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| NDEPEN | DENT EN | VIRONMENTAL AUDIT | | | | |
| 111. | 9. | Within a year of the commencement of development on site under this approval, and every 3 years thereafter, unless the Secretary directs otherwise, the Proponent must commission and pay the full cost of an Independent Environmental Audit of the project. This audit must: (a) be conducted by suitably qualified, experienced and independent team of experts whose appointment has been endorsed by the Secretary; (b) include consultation with the relevant agencies; (c) assess the environmental performance of the project and whether it is complying with the relevant requirements in this approval and any relevant EPL and/or Water License (including any assessment, plan or program required under these approvals; and (e) recomment measures or actions to improve the environmental performance of the project, and/or any assessment, plan or program required under these approvals. | Independent Environmental Audit: Teralba Quarry Development Consent PA 10-0183, AQUAS, February 2020 Letter of Approval of Auditor from DPE 10/01/2023 - Teralba Quarry - Auditor Endorsement Request (MP 10_0183) | Previous audit conducted February 2020. Current audit commenced 7/02/2022. | Compliant | |
| 112. | 10. | Secretary. Within 3 months of commissioning this audit, or as otherwise agreed by the Secretary, the Proponent must submit a copy of the audit report to the Secretary, together with its response to any recommendations contained in the audit report. | | Conducted 3-4 February 2020. Response from DPE shows submitted 17 March 2020. | Compliant | |
| ACCESS T | O INFOR | MATION | · | · | | |
| 113. | 11. | Within 3 months of the date of this approval, the Proponent must: | https://www.metromix.com.au/resou rces/ | Required information was available on the site website. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|----------|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | (a) make the following information publicly available on its website: the EA; current statutory approvals for the project; approved strategies, plans or programs; a summary of the monitoring results of the project, which have been reported in accordance with the various plans and programs approved under the conditions of this approval; a complaints register, updated on a quarterly basis; minutes of CCC meetings; copies of any annual reviews (over the last 5 years); any independent environmental audit, and the Proponent's response to the recommendations in any audit; and any other matter required by the Secretary; and keep this information up-to-date, to the satisfaction of the Secretary. | | It was noted that access to documentation was reliant on knowledge of the year of approval/ development of the document Opportunity for Improvement Metromix may consider updating the project website to enable improve the layout and provide easier access to documentation. | | |

a. Environmental Protection Licence No 536

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | | Condition | | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue No |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|--|---|--|----------------------|-------------|
| ENVIRO | ONMENT | AL PROTECTION LICEN | ICE | 5 | 36 | | | |
| 114. | A1.1 | below at the premise their scheduled activ scale of the operatio Unless otherwise fur | es specified in A2. The ity classification, fee-band n. ther restricted by a con carried out must not e | he scheduled activities listed activities are listed according to used activity classification and the dition of this licence, the scale at activity classification and the dition of this licence, the scale at acceed the maximum scale Scale > 500000 - 2000000 T annual processing capacity > 500000 - 2000000 T annual capacity to extract, process or store | Teralba Quarry 2020 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 Teralba Quarry 2021 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2022 Site interview | 2020 – 630 746t 2021 – 881,758t 2022 - 945 173t | Compliant | |
| 115. | L2.1 | table\s below (by a p discharged at that po concentration limits Pollutant Oil and Grease pH | boint number), the con bint, or applied to that specified for that pollu Units of Measu milligrams per l pH | re 100 percentile Concentration limit itre 10 6.5-8.5 | Monthly Monitoring Summaries January 2020 to January 2023 | Monitoring conducted at points 5, 6 and 7 during discharge. Exceedances of the TSS levels were reported during a high rainfall event on 27, 28 and 29/07/2020. | Compliant | |
| 116. | L2.5 | - | nts 6 and 7 are only pe | itre 50 rmitted when the quantity and 50 year Annual Rainfall Intensity | Monthly Monitoring Summaries January 2020 to January 2023 | No operations have been conducted in the northern extension. Reported that no discharge from the site has occurred at these locations. | Not triggered | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | | Point Units of Measure 100 percentile Concentration limit kilolitres per day kilolitres per day 2000 megalitres per year 113 e licensee must not cause, permit or allow any waste generate e premises to be received at the premises for storage, treatme pocessing, reprocessing or disposal or any waste generated at the be disposed of at the premises, except as expressly permitted | | | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | Issue No |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|--|---------|--|---|----------------------|-------------|
| 117. | L3.1 | | | area specified below (by a point | : | Monthly Monitoring Summaries January 2020 to January 2023 | Records of discharge from Dam 5 maintained (flowmeter). | Compliant | |
| | | · · | u | | | | Records of cumulative volumes maintained. | | |
| | | | •• | | oint or | | 53.7ML discharged since 2013. Data downloaded on a monthly | | |
| | | Point Units of Measure 100 percentile 4 kilolitres per day 100 percentile | | | | | basis. Daily readings not available to demonstrate compliance with daily discharge limits. | | |
| | | 4kilolitres per day5kilolitres per day2000 | | | | | Maximum monthly discharge from Point 5 10ML (daily average of 322kL/day July 2020; 912kL/ day March 2021). | | |
| | | | | | | | Total discharge 2020 14.3ML 2021 – 34.2ML. | | |
| 118. | L4.1 | The licensee must not cause, permit or allow any waste generated outside the premises to be received at the premises for storage, treatment, processing, reprocessing or disposal or any waste generated at the premises to be disposed of at the premises, except as expressly permitted by the licence. | | | mises | Site interview | No waste has been received at the site. Concrete waste received at the pug mill site, which is managed through EPL 13015. | Not triggered | |
| 119. | L4.2 | premises, exce | pt the wastes expressly neeting the definition, if | referred to in the column titled | | Site interview | No waste has been received at the site. | Not triggered | |
| | | • | • | ust only be used for the activitie the column titled "Activity" in t | | | | | |
| | | any, referred t | | subject to those limits or conditions to the contained in the column title | | | | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | | | Conc | lition | | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue No |
|---------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|----------------------|-------------|
| | | This con Code NA | dition does Waste Concrete | not limit any othe Description Only concrete | er conditions i Activity As | n this licence. Other Limits Incorporated into | | | | |
| | | | | waste products received at the premises that is below licensing threshold in Schedule 1 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997 (POEO Act), in force from time to time. | specified in each particular resource recovery exemption | various products must meet all conditions of the "Recovered Aggregate Exemption 2010" resource Recovery exemption under Clause 51 and Clause 51A of the Protection of Environment Operations (Waste) Regulation 2005 (POEO Waste Regulation). | | | | |
| 120. | L5.1 | | ng on the pro | | | erations and activities anner that will not cause | August 2020 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics August 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics November 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics September 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | One noise complaint have been received as a result of quarry activities (noise complaint regarding truck brake noise) Noise exceedances at two locations (D, E) on 16 August 2021. Additional noise monitoring conducted November 2021 following implementation of additional noise management measures. Results of this noise survey showed management measures were effective in reducing emitted noise levels. | Not Compliant | NC- 02 |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | | Condit | ion | | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | Issue No |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|---|--|---|--|----------------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | Recommendation: Where significant changes to quarry operations are planned, the potential noise impact of the planned changes should be reviewed to ensure that the changes do not result in noise level exceedances. | | |
| 121. | 121. 5.2 | point establis specified in C correspondir | ted at the premises that is shed under this licence mu column 4 of the table below og time periods specified in ng measurement paramete | st not exceed the v for that point du Column 1 when r | noise levels uring the neasured using th | Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | One noise complaint have been received as a result of quarry activities (noise complaint regarding truck brake noise) Noise exceedances at two locations | Not Compliant | NC- 02 |
| | | Time period Day- | Measurement parameter Day Shoulder-LAeq (15 | Measurement frequency | Noise level dB(A) 38 | November 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | (D, E) on 16 August 2021. Additional noise monitoring conducted November 2021 following implementation of additional noise management measures. Results of this noise | | |
| | | Shoulder Day Evening | minute Day-LAeq (15 minute) Evening-LAeq (15 minute) | | 38 37 | September 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | | | |
| | | POINT 12,13 | ,14,15,16,17,18 | | <u> </u> | | survey showed management measures were effective in reducing emitted pairs levels | | |
| | | Time period | Measurement parameter | Measurement frequency | Noise level dB(A) | | reducing emitted noise levels. Recommendation: | | |
| | | Night Night | Night-LAeq (15 minute Night-LA1 (1 minute) | | 35 45 | | Where significant changes to quarry operations are planned, the | | |
| | | Point 13 | | | | | potential noise impact of the planned changes should be reviewed to ensure that the | | |
| | | Time period | Measurement parameter | Measurement frequency | Noise level dB(A) | | changes do not result in noise level exceedances. | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | | Condit | tion | | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | Issue No |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|----------------------|-------------|
| | | Day- Shoulder | Day Shoulder-LAeq (15 minute | | 46 | | | | |
| | | Day | Day-LAeq (15 minute) | | 36 | | | | |
| | | Evening | Evening-LAeq (15 minute) | | 42 | | | | |
| | | POINT 14 | | | | | | | |
| | | Time period | Measurement parameter | Measurement frequency | Noise level dB(A) | | | | |
| | | Day- Shoulder | Day Shoulder-LAeq (15 minute | | 42 | | | | |
| | | Day | Day-LAeq (15 minute) | | 42 | | | | |
| | | Evening | Evening-LAeq (15 minute) | | 35 | | | | |
| | | POINT 15, 16 | 5, 18 | | | | | | |
| | | Time period | Measurement parameter | Measurement frequency | Noise level dB(A) | | | | |
| | | All hours | LAeq (15 minute | | 35 | | | | |
| | | POINT 17 | | | | | | | |
| | | Time period | Measurement parameter | Measurement frequency | Noise level dB(A) | | | | |
| | | Day- Shoulder | Day Shoulder-LAeq (15 minute | | 37 | | | | |
| | | Day | Day-LAeq (15 minute) | | 38 | | | | |
| | | Evening | Evening-LAeq (15 minute) | | 38 | | | | |
| 122. | L5.4 | limits specific within the bo | ted noise level from the pr ed within this licence at the undary of any residential p , except as expressly provi | e most noise-affe remises to the no | cted point on or rth and/or south o | August 2020 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics August 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | Noise monitoring conducted by Spectrum Acoustics showed general compliance with noise criteria. Results for August 2021 showed exceedances at two locations. | Not Compliant | NC- 02 |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue No |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|--|----------------------|-------------|
| | | | November 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics September 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | Recommendation : Where significant changes to quarry operations are planned, the potential noise impact of the planned changes should be reviewed to ensure that the changes do not result in noise level exceedances. | | |
| 123. | L5.5 | The noise limits specified within this licence apply under all meteorological conditions except for any one of the following: a) Wind speeds greater than 3 metres/second at 10 metres above ground level; or b) Stability category F temperature inversion conditions and wind speeds greater the 2 metres/second at 10 metres above ground level; or c) Stability category G temperature inversion conditions. | August 2020 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics August 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics November 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics September 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | Weather conditions during noise monitoring periods have been recorded and considered in the interpretation of results. | Compliant | |
| 124. | L5.6 | For the purpose of the conditions specified within this licence: a) the meteorological data to be used for determining meteorological conditions is the data recorded at the meteorological station identified in this licence as Point 19. b) Stability category temperature inversion conditions are to be determined by the sigma-theta method referred to in Part E4 of Appendix E to the NSW industrial Noise Policy (EPA 2000). | August 2020 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics August 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics November 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | Noise reports show meteorological data was obtained from the site meteorological station. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue No |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|---|----------------------|-------------|
| | | | September 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | | | |
| 125. | L5.7 | To determine compliance: a) with the Leq(15 minute) noise limits in the Noise Limits table, the noise measurement equipment must be located: i) approximately on the property boundary, where any dwelling is situated 30 metres or less from the property boundary closest to the premises; or ii) within 30 metres of a dwelling façade, but not closer than 3m, where any dwelling on the property is situated more than 30 metres from the property boundary closest to the premises; or, where applicable iii) within approximately 50 metres of the boundary of a National Park or a Nature Reserve. b) with the LA1(1 minute) noise limits in the Noise Limits table, the noise measurement equipment must be located within 1 metre of a dwelling façade. c) with the noise limits in the Noise Limits table, the noise measurement equipment must be located: i) at the most affected point at a location where there is no dwelling at the location; or ii) at the most affected point within an area at a location prescribed by part (a) or part (b) of this condition. | August 2020 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics August 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics November 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics September 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | Monitoring locations identified generally show monitoring was conducted on the quarry side of residences. | Compliant | |
| 126. | L5.8 | A non-compliance will still occur where noise generated from the premises in excess of the appropriate noise limit is measured: a) at a location other than an area prescribed by the conditions of this licence, and /or b) at a point other than the most affected point at a location. | August 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics November 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | Noise monitoring has been conducted at agreed locations. Non-compliances have been raised where noise criteria has been exceeded at the monitoring location. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding an | d Recomn | nendations | Compliance rating | lssue No |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| 127. | L5.9 | For the purposes of determining the noise generated at the premises the licensee must use a Class 1 or Class 2 noise monitoring device as defined by AS IEC61672.1 and AS IEC61672.2-2004, or other noise monitoring | August 2020 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | Class 1 Brüe noise meter | - | ype 2250 | Compliant | |
| | | equipment accepted by the EPA in writing. | August 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | | | | | |
| | | | November 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | | | | | |
| | | | September 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | | | | | |
| 128. | L6.1 | Blasting operations at the premises may only take place between 10:00 am and 4:00 pm Monday to Friday. No blasting is allowed on weekends, public holidays, or any other time without written approval from the EPA. | Monthly monitoring | all blasts hav | nitoring records show that have occurred between n and 4:00 pm Monday to | | Compliant | |
| 129. | L6.2 | The licensee is only permitted to carry out one (1) blast per day at the premises, unless an additional blast is required following a blast misfire. | Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2020 | Records sho one blast ha | | | Compliant | |
| | | | Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2021 Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2022 | A&B Blasts | | | | |
| 130. | L6.3 | The airblast overpressure level from blasting operations in or on the premises must not exceed: a) 115 dB (Lin Peak) for more than 5% of the total number of blasts during | Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2020 Blast Monitoring Records January | Year | Total No. of Blasts | No. of Exceedances of criteria | Not Compliant | NC- 03 |
| | | each reporting period; and b) 120 dB (Lin Peak) at any time. | to December 2021 Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2022 | 1 Jan 2020 to 31 Dec 2020 | 21 | 3 | | |
| | | At any sensitive noise location. Error margins associated with any monitoring equipment used to measure this are not to be taken into account in determining whether or not the limit has been exceeded. | | 1 Jan 2021 to31 Dec 2021 | 26 | 0 | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding an | d Recomn | nendations | Compliance rating | lssue No |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|---|--|------------|----------------------|-------------|
| | | | | 1 Jan 2022 to 31 Dec 2022 | 25 | 0 | | |
| | | | | Blast monito locations 1 a | - | ucted at | | |
| | | | | vibration we Location 3 a undertaken | Airblast overpressure and ground vibration were not measured at Location 3 as no blasting was undertaken north of Rhondda Road during the reporting period. Recommendation : Subsequent to the blast exceedances, Metromix have implemented processes to manage blasts and ensure compliance with blast criteria. No further exceedances have occurred. No further action required. | | | |
| | | | | Recommend | | | | |
| | | | | exceedances implemente blasts and en blast criteria exceedances | | | | |
| 131. | L6.4 | The ground vibration peak particle velocity from blasting operations carried out in or on the premises must not exceed: | Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2020 | All recorded particle velo | - | - | Compliant | |
| | | a) 5 mm/s for more than 5% of the total number of blasts carried out on the premises during each reporting period; and | Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2021 | less than 5m recorded lev | | | | |
| | | b) 10 mm/s at any time. | Blast Monitoring Records January | 1.07mm/s. | | | | |
| | | At any noise sensitive location. Error margins associated with any monitoring equipment used to measure this are not to be taken into account in determining whether or not the limit has been exceeded. | to December 2022 | | | | | |
| 132. | L6.5 | Blasting limits apply at any residence, or noise sensitive location that is not owned by the licensee or subject of a private agreement between the owner of the residence or noise sensitive location and the licensee as to an alternative ground vibration or overpressure level. | | Noted | | | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | | Cone | dition | | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | Issue No |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|--|--|---|--|----------------------|-------------|
| 133. | L7.1 | The licensee must Column 3, and Col | | | ified in Column 2 | Site interview Metromix Quarry | Operations at the Metromix Teralba Quarry have occurred | Compliant | |
| | | Day | Loading and Dispatch of Quarry Trucks | Extraction and Processing | Receipt Of Concrete | Employee/Contractor Teralba Site Induction | within the hours of operation specified in EPL 536 Condition L7.1, Project Approval 08_0183 Schedule 3 condition 6. | | |
| | | Monday to Friday | 4am Monday to midnight Friday | 7am to 7pm | 7am to 5pm | | | | |
| | | Saturday | Midnight Friday to 6pm Saturday | 7am to 2pm | 7am to 2pm | | | | |
| | | Sundays and Public Holidays none none 7.2 This condition does not apply to the delivery of material outside the hour | none | | | | | | |
| 134. | 7.2 | operation permitte other authorities for equipment are end | ed by condition L7, or safety reasons; a angered. In such ci A and affected resi | if that delivery is re and/or the operatio rcumstances, prior i dents as soon as po | equired by police n or personnel o notification must | pr | | Not triggered | |
| 135. | 7.3 | The licensee must a) supporting docu authorities outlinir outside the hours o | Imentation from th ng the purpose and | e requesting agenc | y or other plving the deliver | | | Not triggered | |
| | | b) evidence of noti | fication of affected | residents; | | | | | |
| | | c) letter of approva Environment; | al of delivery from t | the Minister for Pla | nning & | | | | |
| | | d) time and locatio | on of delivery; | | | | | | |
| | | e) type and volume | e of products delive | ered; | | | | | |
| | | f) the name, addrea and/or authorities | | - | ber of persons | | | | |
| | | g) any other releva | nt matters. | | | | | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue No |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|----------------------|-------------|
| 136. | L8.1 | No condition of this licence identifies a potentially offensive odour for the purposes of Section 129 of the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997. | | No offensive odours have been emitted. | Compliant | |
| 137. | L8.2 | The licensee must not cause or permit the emission of offensive odour beyond the boundary of the premises. | | No offensive odours have been emitted. | Compliant | |
| Operat | ing Conc | litions | | | | |
| 138. | 01.1 | Licensed activities must be carried out in a competent manner. This includes: a) the processing, handling, movement and storage of materials and substances used to carry out the activity; and b) the treatment, storage, processing, reprocessing, transport and disposal of waste generated by the activity. | Site inspection | The processing, handling, movement and storage of materials and substances used to carry out the Teralba Quarry activities are carried out in a competent manner. Waste segregation practices implemented. Suitable facilities for storage of oils and chemicals provided. Spill kits provided. | Compliant | |
| 139. | 02.1 | All plant and equipment installed at the premises or used in connection with the licensed activity: a) must be maintained in a proper and efficient condition; and b) must be operated in a proper and efficient manner. | Maintenance Records Conveyors CV-01 to CV-15. W/O No 37065 Check and Clean Rollers Weekly Inspection of CV-01 24/10/2022. Crusher Plant CR-01, CR-02. CR- 03 and Chutes inspections. Water Cart WC-001 Records. WC001 CCESS AND Egress Checklist Mobile plant 3 Monthly Inspection Checklist | Records of maintenance of plant and equipment available. Metromix use MEX for managing plant maintenance and servicing. Records reviewed for Front End Loader WL002, Excavator EX001. 24/06/19 – 12 month fire suppression inspection and servicing. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref | | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance | |
|---------|------|---|---|--|------------|----|
| No | No. | | | | rating | No |
| | | | Mechanical Service Sheet WC001 30/12/2022. | | | |
| | | | Komatsu Rigid Truck – RD-003 | | | |
| | | | maintenance records | | | |
| | | | Komatsu WL001 Loader | | | |
| | | | maintenance records. – PM | | | |
| | | | Service Report 24/09/2022. | | | |
| 140. | 02.2 | For the purpose of the above condition plant is defined in the dictionary. The type of plant that should be considered includes, but is not limited to, | | No Underground Petroleum Storage Systems on site. | Compliant | |
| | | Underground Petroleum Storage Systems (UPSS), drainage systems, infrastructure and pollution control equipment such as (but not limited to) spill containment and clean-up equipment; dust screens and collectors; sediment collection systems; traps and sumps; waste collection; storage and | | Regular inspections and maintenance of drainage systems and pollution control equipment was conducted. | | |
| | | disposal equipment. | | Spill equipment and waste storage containers were provided. | | |
| 141. | 03.1 | The premises must be maintained in a condition which minimises or prevents the emission of dust from the premises | Air Quality Management Plan, Rev 06, 9 December 2019. Site Inspection | Operations and activities at the Teralba Quarry were observed to be managed to minimise the generation and emission of dust from the premises. | Compliant | |
| | | | | Regular inspections of site conditions were conducted. | | |
| | | | | Water cart used for dust suppression. | | |
| 142. | 03.2 | All operations and activities occurring at the premises must be carried out in a manner that will minimise the emission of dust from the premises. | Air Quality Management Plan, Rev 06, 9 December 2019. | Controls to minimise dust emissions were identified in the AQMP. | Compliant | |
| | | | Site Inspection | Equipment had been provided to minimise dust emissions. | | |
| | | | | Water carts used for reducing dust from road use. Sprays provided on | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue No |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|---|----------------------|-------------|
| | | | | conveyor systems and transfer points to minimise dust emissions. | | |
| 143. | 04.1 | Within three months of the date of the issue of this licence, the licensee must develop, or update, an emergency response plan which documents the procedures to deal with all types of incidents (e.g. spill, explosions or fire) that may occur at the premises or outside of the premises (e.g. during transfer) which are likely to cause harm to the environment. | Pollution Incident Response Management Plan, March 2019. | Teralba Quarry Emergency Response Plan, 11 October 2019 available. Pollution Incident Response Management Plan, March 2019. | Compliant | |
| 144. | 05.1 | Bunds must: a) have walls and floors constructed of impervious materials; b) be of sufficient capacity to contain 110% of the volume of the tank (or 110% volume of the largest tank where a group of tanks are installed); c) have floors graded to a collection sump; and d) not have a drain valve incorporated in the bund structure, | Site inspection | Bund walls and floors of the areas around above ground storage tanks have been constructed in accordance with AS 1940. Oils lubricants and other chemicals used on site were stored in a roofed building with bunded concrete floor. | Compliant | |
| 145. | 05.2 | The drainage from all areas that will mobilise suspended solids when stormwater runs over these areas must be controlled and diverted through appropriate erosion and sediment control measures. | Site inspection Quarry Slope Stability Checklist | Surface runoff from disturbed areas of the Teralba Quarry site is collected and retained in sediment basins. Inspection of sediment controls included in the Quarry Slope Stability Checklist. | Compliant | |
| 146. | 05.3 | All erosion and sediment control measures including sedimentation basins must be maintained to ensure that their design capacity is available at all times for the storage and treatment of all run off received from all drainage areas at the premises. | Site inspection | Regular environmental inspections were conducted to monitor erosion and sediment control structures. No releases of turbid water from the sediment basins direct to the environment occurred between January 2017 and January 2020. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | Issue No |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|--|----------------------|-------------|
| 147. | 06.1 | The licensee must ensure that activities are conducted in an environmentally satisfactory manner. So as to minimise and prevent the pollution of air and water the licensee must: | Site inspection | Wheel wash facility provided at exits from the quarry site to remove any loose material from | Compliant | |
| | | (a) Ensure that vehicles or containers prior to leaving the premises are clean and sealed in a manner that will not cause materials or wastes used in conducting the activities at the premises to be tracked, thrown from, blown, fall, or cast from any vehicle or container onto a public road. | | vehicles. Loads are covered to reduce potential loss of material from the trucks onto public roads. | | |
| | | (b) The licensee must have in place and implement procedures to ensure that vehicles and containers exiting the premises are in a condition to ensure that materials are not tracked, thrown, blown, fall or cast onto a public road. | | | | |
| 148. | 06.2 | All above-ground tanks containing material that is likely to cause environmental harm must be bunded or have an alternative spill containment system in place. | Site Inspection | The above-ground storage tank for fuel and oils are bunded. | Compliant | |
| Monito | oring Con | ditions | | | | |
| 149. | M1.1 | The results of any monitoring required to be conducted by this licence or a load calculation protocol must be recorded and retained as set out in this condition. | Monthly Monitoring Summaries January 2020 to January 2023 | Records of monitoring were readily available on site and on the company website. | Compliant | |
| 150. | M1.2 | All records required to be kept by this licence must be: a) in a legible form, or in a form that can readily be reduced to a legible form; b) kept for at least 4 years after the monitoring or event to which they relate took place; and | | Hard and soft copies of records available. Reports provided by consultants obtained in soft copy and filed. | Compliant | |
| | | c) produced in a legible form to any authorised officer of the EPA who asks to see them | | Back-ups of data reported to be conducted daily. | | |
| 151. | M1.3 | The following records must be kept in respect of any samples required to be collected for the purposes of this licence: a) the date(s) on which the sample was taken; | September 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | Chain of custody form completed for dust gauge monitoring. Reports provided by consultants | Compliant | |
| | | b) the time(s) at which the sample was collected;c) the point at which the sample was taken; and | | | | |
| | | d) the name of the person who collected the sample. | | | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | | | | Condition | ۱ | | | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | Issue No |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|----------------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | Water monitoring CoC forms sighted – completed by site staff. | | |
| Requi | rement | to monitor co | oncentration | n of pollu | itants disc | harged | | | | | | |
| 152. | M2.1 | point numbe by analysis) | er), the licens the concenti st use the sar | see must ration of mpling m | t monitor (f each poll nethod, ur | by samplin utant spec iits of meas | ng and o ified in | fied below (by a obtaining results Column 1. The od sample at the | | Sampling and analysis has been conducted in accordance with the sampling methods specified. Analysis by NATA accredited laboratory. | Compliant | |
| 153. | M2.2 | | | | | Monthly Monitoring Summaries January 2020 to January 2023 | Sampling for PM10 not conducted 3/01/2020 or 9/01/2020 due to equipment failure. | Non- Compliant | NC- 04 | | | |
| | | Pollutant | Un | it of Me | asure I | requency | | Sampling Method | | Recommendation: | | |
| | | Deposited Matter metre per month (min. of 4 weeks) | | | | | | AM-19 | | Metromix should ensure that monitoring is undertaken in | | |
| | | Point 3 | Point 3 | | | | | | | accordance with the approved AQMP. | | |
| | | Pollutant | Unit of Me | | Frequen | | - | ling Method | | AQIME. | | |
| | | PM10 | microgram cubic metr | - | Every 6 (| lays | AM-18 | 8 | | | | |
| 154. | M2.3 | Water and/ Point 4 | or Land Mor | nitoring I | Requireme | ents | | | Monthly Monitoring Summaries January 2020 to January 2023 | Water monitoring conducted for the Teralba Quarry site currently | Not Compliant | NC-09 |
| | | Pollutant | l | Unit of N | Measure | Frequen | - | ampling lethod | | EPA Identification No. 4 - | | |
| | | Electrical conductivit | | microsie centimet | mens per tre | Monthly | ' Gi | rab sample | | Overflow point from the Mine Adit Dam labelled as "3" in Figure C titled "Water monitoring"; and | | |
| | | Oil and Gre | | milligran litre | ns per | Monthly | ' Gi | rab sample | | | | |
| | | рН | | рН | | Monthly | ' Gi | rab sample | | • EPA Identification No. 5 - | | |
| | | Total suspe solids | | milligran litre | ns per | Monthly | ' Gi | rab sample | | Overflow point from Dam B labelled as "4" in Figure C | | |
| | | Point 5 | | | | | | | | titled "Water monitoring"; | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | | Condit | ion | | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | Issue No |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|----------------------|-------------|
| | | Pollutant | Unit of Measure | Frequency | Sampling Method | | in accordance with EPL condition M2.3. | | |
| | | Electrical conductivity | microsiemens per centimetre | Special Frequency 1 | Grab sample | | EPA monitoring points 6 and 7 are in the Northern Extension Area. No | | |
| | | Oil and Grease | milligrams per litre | Special Frequency 1 | Grab sample | | water has been released from Dam J or Dam K between January 2020 | | |
| | | рН | рН | Special Frequency 1 | Grab sample | | and January 2023, so no water | | |
| | | Total suspended solids | milligrams per litre | Special Frequency 1 | Grab sample | | monitoring occurred. | | |
| | | Point 6, 7 | - | | | | No sample was collected from EPA Point 4 in November 2020 | | |
| | | Pollutant | Unit of Measure | Frequency | Sampling Method | | following a change of personnel. Recommendation: | | |
| | | Electrical conductivity | microsiemens per centimetre | Special Frequency 2 | Grab sample | | Metromix should ensure that, where a change in personnel | | |
| | | Oil and Grease | milligrams per litre | Special Frequency 2 | Grab sample | | occurs, a thorough handover is conducted to ensure the new | | |
| | | рН | рН | Special Frequency 2 | Grab sample | | worker understands all requirements of the role. | | |
| | | Total suspended solids | milligrams per litre | Special Frequency 2 | Grab sample | | requirements of the fole. | | |
| 155. | M2.4 | • • | the table(s) above Sp es within 12 hours o | • | - | | No discharge requiring monitoring at Points 6 or 7. | Not Triggered | |
| 156. | M2.5 | | the table(s) above Sp es within 8 hours of ischarge. | | - | | No discharge requiring monitoring at Points 6 or 7. | Not Triggered | |
| 157. | M3.1 | - | concentration of a po is licence must be do | | l to the air required to ce with: | ALS Certificate of Analysis ES2101487.0, 17/03/2021 | Sampling and analysis has been conducted in accordance with the | Compliant | |
| | | | y which is required by entration of the pollu | | Act to be used for the | ALS Chain of Custody EN2101487 1/03/2021 | sampling methods specified. Analysis by NATA accredited laboratory. | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | | Со | ndition | | | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | Issue No |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|----------------------------|---|---|----------------------|-------------|
| | | b) if no such requirement which a condition of this c) if no such requirement this licence, any method purposes of that testing | s licence req t is imposed lology appro | uires to be us by or under th oved in writing | ed for that te he Act or by a g by the EPA f | sting; or condition of | | | | |
| 158. | M3.2 | Subject to any express provision to the contrary in this licence, monitoring for the concentration of a pollutant discharged to waters or applied to a utilisation area must be done in accordance with the Approved Methods Publication unless another method has been approved by the EPA in writing before any tests are conducted Weather monitoring | | | | | ALS Certificate of Analysis ES2107102.0 8/03/2021 ALS Chain of Custody ES2107102 1/03/2021 | Sampling and analysis has been conducted in accordance with the sampling methods specified. Analysis by NATA accredited laboratory. | Compliant | |
| 159. | M4.1 | At the point(s) identified below, the licensee must monitor (by sampling an obtaining results by analysis) the parameters specified in Column 1 of the table below, using the corresponding sampling method, units of measure, averaging period and sampling frequency, specified opposite in the Column 2, 3, 4 and 5 respectively.ParameterMethodUnit ofAveragingFrequence | | | | nn 1 of the of measure, | Site Inspection | Meteorological Station installed and used to collect weather data. | Compliant | |
| | | Rainfall Wind Direction @10m Wind speed @10m Temp @ 2m Temp @ 10m Sigma- Theta Solar radiation Relative humidity | AM-4 AM-2 & AM-4 AM-4 AM-4 AM-2 & AM-4 AM-4 AM-4 | Measure mm Degrees m/s Degrees Celsius Degrees Celsius Degrees Watts/m2 | Period 1 hour 15 mins 14 hour | Continuous | | | | |
| 160. | M4.2 | For the purpose of cond station established on th | ition above, | Point 19 refe | | eorological | Site inspection | Weather monitoring conducted at Point 19. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue No |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|--|----------------------|-------------|
| 161. | M4.3 | Within one month of the date of issue of this licence, a site plan prepared by a registered surveyor identifying specific location of, including the grid coordinates of Point 19 must be submitted to the EPA in both electronic and hard copy formats. | Independent Environmental Audit: Teralba Quarry Development Consent PA 10- 0183, AQUAS, February 2020 | Site Plan had been prepared prior to the previous audit – EPL 536 Variation which include all monitoring locations, including Point 19 (Meteorological Station). Verified previous audit. | Compliant | |
| 162. | M5.1 | The licensee must keep a legible record of all complaints made to the licensee or any employee or agent of the licensee in relation to pollution arising from any activity to which this licence applies. | https://www.metromix.com.au/r esources/ | Complaint register maintained electronically | Compliant | |
| 163. | M5.2 | The record must include details of the following: a) the date and time of the complaint; b) the method by which the complaint was made; c) any personal details of the complainant which were provided by the complainant or, if no such details were provided, a note to that effect; d) the nature of the complaint; e) the action taken by the licensee in relation to the complaint, including any follow-up contact with the complainant; and f) if no action was taken by the licensee, the reasons why no action was taken. | https://www.metromix.com.au/r esources/ 2022 Community Complaints | Complaints register includes required information. | Compliant | |
| 164. | M5.3 | The record of a complaint must be kept for at least 4 years after the complaint was made. | https://www.metromix.com.au/r esources/ | Records maintained indefinitely. | Compliant | |
| 165. | M5.4 | The record must be produced to any authorised officer of the EPA who asks to see them. | https://www.metromix.com.au/r esources/ | Records are readily available electronically on the website. | Compliant | |
| 166. | M6.1 | The licensee must operate during its operating hours a telephone complaints line for the purpose of receiving any complaints from members of the public in relation to activities conducted at the premises or by the vehicle or mobile plant, unless otherwise specified in the licence. | https://www.metromix.com.au/r esources/ | Contact telephone numbers for Metromix head office and Teralba Quarry site is on the signage at the entrance to the Teralba Quarry site from Rhondda Road and are listed | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | | Condition | | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | Issue No |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|--|-----------------|---|----------------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | on the Metromix website – Contacts. | | |
| 167. | M6.2 | | a complaints line so that t | aints line telephone number he impacted community | | Contact telephone numbers for Metromix head office and Teralba Quarry site is on the signage at the entrance to the Teralba Quarry site from Rhonda Road and are listed on the Metromix website – Contacts. | Compliant | |
| M7 Re | quireme | nt to monitor volume | or mass | | | | | |
| 168. | M7.1 | must monitor: a) the volume of liqu b) the mass of solids c) the mass of polluta | oint or utilisation area spec ids discharged to water or a applied to the area; ants emitted to the air; using the method and unit | applied to the area; | Site inspection | Continuous logger used to monitor and record flow rate and volume from the Mine Adit dam (Point 4). | Compliant | |
| | | Frequency | Unit of Measure | Sampling Method | | | | |
| | | Continuous during discharge | kilolitres per day | Flow meter and continuous logger | | | | |
| | | POINT 5 | | | | | | |
| | | Frequency | Unit of Measure | Sampling Method | | | | |
| | | Continuous during discharge | kilolitres per day | Flow meter and continuous logger | | | | |
| | | POINT 5 | | | | | | |
| | | Frequency | Unit of Measure | Sampling Method | | | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | | Cc | ondition | | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue No |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|----------------------|-------------|
| | | Continuous d discharge | uring megali [.] | tres per year | Flow meter and continuous logger | | | | |
| Blastin | g | | | | | | | | |
| 169. | | residence and is not between t licensee a or on the b) Instrumen | essure and ground w or noise sensitive lo owned by the licen the owner of the res s to an alternative b premises; and tation used to measu must meet the requ | ribration must be mea ocation that is likely to see or subject of a pri idence or noise sensit lasting level - for all b ure the airblast overp irements of Australia | b be most affected vate agreement tive site and the plasts carried out in ressure and ground | Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2020 Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2021 Blast Monitoring Records January to December 2022 | All Teralba Quarry blasts are monitored for overpressure and vibration at fixed blast monitors in accordance with the approved locations. | Compliant | |
| Noise N | Monitori | ng | | | | | | | |
| 170. | | licensee must u | indertake operator a monitoring point in | e limits specified with attended noise monite accordance with the | oring at each | August 2020 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry,Noise monitoring conducted by consultant – Spectrum Acoustics.CorSpectrum AcousticsResults reported were 15 minute averages.averages. | Compliant | | |
| | | Assessment period | Minimum frequency in a reporting period | Minimum duration within assessment period | Minimum number of assessment period | Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics November 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | Reported by the Noise consultant that the noise monitoring was conducted for the minimum duration but reporting was for the maximum levels measured. | | |
| | | Day | Yearly | 1.5 hours | 3 consecutive operation days | September 2021 Noise | | | |
| | | Evening | Yearly | 30 minutes | 3 consecutive operation days | Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | | | |
| | | Night | Yearly | 1 hour | 3 consecutive operation days | | | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue No |
|---------------|--------------|--|---|---|----------------------|-------------|
| 171. | | The licensee must undertake the operator attended noise monitoring at each one of or at one or more noise monitoring points that is representative of the worse-case location(s) listed in this licence. | August 2020 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | Operator attended noise monitoring had been conducted at all locations. | Compliant | |
| | | | August 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | | | |
| | | | November 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | | | |
| | | | September 2021 Noise Monitoring Report – Teralba Quarry, Spectrum Acoustics | | | |
| Report | ing Cond | itions | | | | |
| Annual | Return | Documents | | | | |
| 172. | R1.1 | The licensee must complete and supply to the EPA an Annual Return in the approved form comprising: | https://apps.epa.nsw.gov.au/prp oeoapp/Detail.aspx?instid=536&i | Annual returns completed and submitted | Compliant | |
| | | a) a Statement of Compliance; and b) a Monitoring and Complaints Summary. | d=536&option=licence&searchra nge=licence⦥=POEO%20lice | Verified on EPA Public Register | | |
| | | At the end of each reporting period, the EPA will provide to the licensee a copy of the form that must be completed and returned to the EPA. | nce&prp=no&status=Issued | | | |
| 173. | R1.5 | The Annual Return for the reporting period must be supplied to the EPA by registered post not later than 60 days after the end of each reporting period | https://apps.epa.nsw.gov.au/prp oeoapp/Detail.aspx?instid=536&i | Due 30 July. 2020 Return received 28/07/2020 | Compliant | |
| | | or in the case of a transferring licence not later than 60 days after the date the transfer was granted (the 'due date'). | d=536&option=licence&searchra nge=licence⦥=POEO%20lice | 2021 Return received 20/07/2021 | | |
| | | | nce&prp=no&status=Issued | 2022 Return received 25/07/2022. | | |
| 174. | R1.7 | Within the Annual Return, the Statement of Compliance must be certified and the Monitoring and Complaints Summary must be signed by: | | Signed by Metromix General Manager. | Compliant | |
| | | a) the licence holder; or | | | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | Issue No |
|---------------|--------------|--|-------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------|
| | | b) by a person approved in writing by the EPA to sign on behalf of the licence holder. | | | 2 | |
| 175. | R1.8 | The licensee must report any exceedence of the licence blasting limits to the regional office of the EPA as soon as practicable after the exceedence becomes known to the licensee or to one of the licensee's employees or agents. | Email to DPE 13/02/2020 | Three exceedances of the blast limits has been measured. All blast exceedances had been reported to the EPA as soon as practicable after the exceedance. Blast exceedance 13/02/2020 reported to EPA 13/2/2020 Blast Exceedance 16/03/2020 reported 17/03/2020 (attempted to notify 16/03/2020 through Portal). 25/03/2020 exceedance reported 25/03/2020. | Compliant | |
| R2 N | otificatio | n of environmental harm | | | | |
| 176. | R2.1 | Notifications must be made by telephoning the Environment Line service on 131 555. | | No environmental harm as a result of quarry activities has been identified. | Not Triggered | |
| 177. | R2.2 | The licensee must provide written details of the notification to the EPA within 7 days of the date on which the incident occurred. (The licensee or its employees must notify all relevant authorities of incidents causing or threatening material harm to the environment immediately after the person becomes aware of the incident in accordance with the requirements of Part 5.7 of the Act). | | No environmental harm as a result of quarry activities has been identified. | Not Triggered | |
| 178. | R3.1 | Where an authorised officer of the EPA suspects on reasonable grounds that: a) where this licence applies to premises, an event has occurred at the premises; or b) where this licence applies to vehicles or mobile plant, an event has occurred in connection with the carrying out of the activities | | No environmental harm as a result of quarry activities has been identified. | Not Triggered | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | Issue No |
|---------------|--------------|--|----------|---|----------------------|-------------|
| | | authorised by this licence, and the event has caused, is causing or is likely to cause material harm to the environment (whether the harm occurs on or off premises to which the licence applies), | | | | |
| | | the authorised officer may request a written report of the event. | | | | |
| 179. | R3.2 | The licensee must make all reasonable inquiries in relation to the event and supply the report to the EPA within such time as may be specified in the request.No environmental harm as a result of quarry activities has been identified. | | | | |
| 180. | R3.3 | The request may require a report which includes any or all of the following information: a) the cause, time and duration of the event; | | No environmental harm as a result of quarry activities has been identified. | ult Not Triggered | |
| | | b) the type, volume and concentration of every pollutant discharged as a result of the event; | | | | |
| | | c) the name, address and business hours telephone number of employees or agents of the licensee, or a specified class of them, who witnessed the event; | | | | |
| | | d) the name, address and business hours telephone number of every other person (of whom the licensee is aware) who witnessed the event, unless the licensee has been unable to obtain that information after making reasonable effort; | | | | |
| | | e) action taken by the licensee in relation to the event, including any follow- up contact with any complainants; | | | | |
| | | f) details of any measure taken or proposed to be taken to prevent or mitigate against a recurrence of such an event; and | | | | |
| | | g) any other relevant matters. | | | | |
| 181. | R3.4 | The EPA may make a written request for further details in relation to any of the above matters if it is not satisfied with the report provided by the licensee. The licensee must provide such further details to the EPA within the time specified in the request. | | No environmental harm as a result of quarry activities has been identified. | Not triggered | |
| 182. | R3.5 | Dust Monitoring Report The licensee must submit to the EPA a report in respect of the ambient air quality monitoring conditions within this licence at the end of each reporting | | Dust and Blast Monitoring Report included in the Annual Return. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue No |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|----------------------|-------------|
| | | period. The report must be submitted with the Annual Return. The report must be prepared by a suitably qualified person and include: | | | | |
| | | a) an assessment of the data against air impact assessment criteria in the EPA's Approved Methods and; | | | | |
| | | b) an assessment of the data in relation to the weather information required by this licence; and | | | | |
| | | c) an outline of any management actions that have or will be taken to address any | | | | |
| | | exceedences. | | | | |
| 183. | R4.1 | Reporting of water quality limit exceedance The licensee must report any exceedence of the water quality limits to the | Email 3/08/2020: EPL 536 Condition L2.4 Exceedance. | Water quality exceedance 27-29 July 2020 | Compliant | |
| | | regional office of the EPA as soon as practicable after the exceedence becomes known to the licensee or to one of the licensee's employees or agents. | | Water quality results received 3, 4 and 5/08/2020 and Email sent to EPA/ DPE notifying of exceedance. | | |
| | | | | Letter to DPE Re Water Quality TSS Exceedances upload attempted 11/08/2020 (Not uploaded due to issue with Portal). Subsequently uploaded. | | |
| | | | | Response from DPI 24/08/2020 | | |
| 184. | R4.2 | A noise compliance assessment report must be submitted to the EPA within 30 days of the completion of the bi-annual noise monitoring. The assessment must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced acoustical | Email to 'hunter.region@epa.nsw.gov.au' 15/09/2020 RE: EPL 536 - Teralba | Monitoring conducted 12- 18/08/2020 – Report to EPA 15/09/2020 | Compliant | |
| | | consultant and include: a) an assessment of compliance with noise limits presented in the Noise | Quarry Noise Exceedance Notification | Noise monitoring 2-3/11/2021. Report to EPA 9/11/2021 | | |
| | | Limits table; and | Email to | Noise monitoring 5-8/09/2022 | | |
| | | b) an outline of any management actions taken within the monitoring period to address any exceedences of the limits contained in the Noise Limits table. | 'hunter.region@epa.nsw.gov.au' 9/11/2021 RE: EPL 536 - Teralba Quarry Noise Exceedance Notification | Report to EPA 15/09/2022. | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | Issue No |
|---------------|--------------|---|---|---|----------------------|-------------|
| 185. | R4.3 | Reporting of Blasting Monitoring The licensee must submit to the EPA a report in respect of the blast monitoring required by this licence at the end of each reporting period. The report must be submitted with the Annual Return. The report must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced person and include: a) an assessment of the monitoring against limits as specified within this licence; and b) an outline of any management actions that have or will be taken to address any exceedences of the limits specified within this licence. | Dust and Blast Monitoring Report included in the Annual Return | | Compliant | |
| Genera | l Conditi | ions | | | | |
| 186. | G1.1 | A copy of this licence must be kept at the premises to which the licence applies | | Copy available in hard copy and electronically in the office. | Compliant | |
| 187. | G1.2 | The licence must be produced to any authorised officer of the EPA who asks to see it. | | Copy available in hard copy and electronically in the office. | Compliant | |
| 188. | G1.3 | The licence must be available for inspection by any employee or agent of the licensee working at the premises. | | Copy available in hard copy and electronically in the office. | Compliant | |
| 189. | G2.1 | The licensee must operate 24-hour telephone contact lines for the purpose of enabling the EPA to directly contact one or more representatives of the licensee who can: a) respond at all times to incidents relating to the premises; and b) contact the licensee's senior employees or agents authorised at all times to: i) speak on behalf of the licensee; and ii) provide any information or document required under this licence. | | Quarry Manager mobile number provided on website. Reported to have been provided to the EPA. | Compliant | |
| 190. | G2.2 | The licensee is to inform the EPA in writing of the appointment of any subsequent contact persons, or changes to the person's contact details as soon as practicable and in any event within fourteen days of the appointment or change. | | New Quarry Manager commenced work in April 2022. The EPA had not been notified within 14 days. | Non- Compliant | NC- 10 |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Condition | Evidence | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | Issue No |
|---------------|--------------|-----------|----------|---|----------------------|-------------|
| | | | | This issue had been raised internally as a non-compliance. Recommendation: | | |
| | | | | Metromix should ensure that, the EPA is informed in writing of the appointment of any new contact persons, or changes to the person's contact details as soon as practicable and in any event within fourteen days of the appointment or change. | | |

b. Water Access Licence 40303

| ID No. | Condition No. | WAL Requirement | Audit Evidence | Audit Findings / Recommendation | Compliance Rating | lssue # |
|-----------|------------------|---|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| | Take of wate | r | | | | |
| 1 | MW6629- 00001 | The maximum water allocation that can be carried over from one water year to the next water year in the water allocation account for this access licence is equal to: A. 100 % of the access licence share component for access licences with share components expressed as ML/year, or B. 1 ML/unit share of the access licence share component for access licences with share components expressed as a number of unit shares. | Teralba Quarry 2019 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 Teralba Quarry 2020 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 Teralba Quarry 2021 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2022. | Water Access Licence 40303 permits the extraction and use of water from the Mine Adit Dam with an allocation of 1 407 shares (currently 1ML per share). Records show: 1060.8 ML used in 2019, 664.1ML used in 2020 1213.9ML used in 2021 1187.4ML used in 2022 | Compliant | |
| 2 | MW8237- 00001 | The volume of water taken under this access licence in any water year must not exceed: A. the sum of water allocations accrued to the water allocation account of this access licence from available water determinations in that water year, plus B. the water allocations carried over in the water allocation account of this access licence from the previous water year, plus C. the net amount of water allocation account of this access licence signment in that water year, plus D. any water allocations re-credited by the Minister to the water allocation account of this access licence in that water year. | Teralba Quarry 2019 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 Teralba Quarry 2020 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 Teralba Quarry 2021 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2022. | Records show that the volume of water has not exceeded the allocated volume of 1417ML Records show: 1060.8 ML used in 2019, 664.1ML used in 2020 1213.9ML used in 2021 | Compliant | |

| ID No. | Condition No. | WAL Requirement | Audit Evidence | Audit Findings / Recommendation | Compliance Rating | lssue # |
|-----------|------------------|--|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| | Monitoring ar | nd recording | | | | |
| 3 | MW8295- 00001 | A. The access licence holder must record the following information in a logbook for each period of time that water is taken: date, start and end time when water was taken, volume of water taken, and the water supply work approval number under which the water was taken, and the purposes for which water was taken. B. The access licence holder must record the following information in the logbook at the end of each water year: the volume of water taken in the water year, and the maximum volume of water permitted to be taken in that water year. C. This condition ceases to apply to this access licence on the day on which the relevant mandatory metering equipment condition applies as specified in clause 230(1) in the Water Management (General) Regulation 2018. D. This condition does not apply to this access licence if the licence nominates only water supply works that have: a meter that complies with Australian Standard AS 4747 - Meters for non-urban water supply, and | Teralba Quarry 2019 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 Teralba Quarry 2020 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183 Teralba Quarry 2021 Annual Review Project Approval PA10_0183, March 2022. Spreadsheet – Flowmeter 3 – Water pumped from Adit to Dam G 2020, 2021, 2022. | Data logger installed to measure and record flows. Records of water take were available. | Compliant | |
| 4 | MW6612- 00001 | A logbook used to record water take information must be retained for five (5) years from the last date recorded in the logbook. | Site inspection Spreadsheet – Flowmeter 3 – Water pumped from Adit to Dam G 2020, 2021, 2022. | Water meter and datalogger installed | Compliant | |
| 5 | MW8241- 00001 | A. The water access licence holder must produce the Logbook to the Minister for inspection when requested. | Site inspection | Water meter and datalogger installed | Compliant | |

| ID No. | Condition No. | WAL Requirement | Audit Evidence | Audit Findings / Recommendation | Compliance Rating | lssue # |
|-----------|------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| | | B. This condition does not apply to this access licence if the licence only nominates water supply works that have: i. a meter that complies with Australian Standard AS 4747 - Meters for non-urban water supply, and ii. a data logger. | Spreadsheet – Flowmeter 3 – Water pumped from Adit to Dam G 2020, 2021, 2022. | | | |
| Repo | orting | | | | | |
| 6 | MW6983- 00024 | A. Once the water access licence holder becomes aware of a breach of any condition on this water access licence, the water access licence holder must notify the Minister as soon as practicable. B. If the initial notification was not in writing, written notice must be provided within seven days of becoming aware of the breach by: email: nrar.enquiries@nrar.nsw.gov.au, or mail: DPE Water, PO Box 2213, Dangar NSW 2309, or DPE Water, Locked Bag 10, Grafton NSW 2460. | | No breaches identified. | Not Triggered | |

c. Statement of Commitments

| JHC Ref No APPENDIX 3 | Cond. No. 3 – STATEMEN | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| 1. Act | tivities and O | perations | | | | | |
| 191. 2. Op | 1. | All approved activities are undertaken in the area(s) nominated on the approved plans and figures (unless moved slightly to avoid individual trees). | Clearly mark the boundary of each area of activity, i.e. the boundary of the Southern and Northern Extensions. | Prior to the commencement of quarrying operations. | The boundaries of the approved limits of the Teralba Quarry lease activities have been marked with coloured poles for the various areas: • White poles - Stage 1A, • Yellow poles – quarry extraction limits • Blue poles - Council Pugmill Area • Green poles – Downer The posts specifically identify each of the active areas of works within the Teralba Quarry lease boundaries. Verified during site inspection. | Compliant | |
| 192. | 2.1 | Management of operations in accordance with the approved operating hours. | Undertake extraction and processing activities south of Rhondda Road between 6:00am and 8:00pm on Monday to Fridays and 6:00am to 2:00pm on Saturdays. | During operations. | Hours of operation of the Teralba Quarry activities are in accordance with the limits in Project Approval Schedule 3 condition 6 and statement of commitments. | Compliant | |
| 193. | 2.2 | (Note: No activities and operations are proposed on public holidays). | Undertake extraction and processing activities north of Rhondda Road between 7:00am and 8:00pm on Monday to Friday and 7:00am and 2:00pm on Saturdays. | During operations. | Quarry operations north of Rhondda Road ceased in August 2013 and had not recommenced at the date of this audit (February 2020). | Compliant | |
| 194. | 2.3 | | Undertake product transportation activities 24hrs/day between | During operations. | Hours of operation of the Teralba Quarry activities are in accordance with the limits in | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|--------------|---|--|--------------------|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | | 4:00am Monday to 6:00pm | | Project Approval Schedule 3 condition 6 and | | |
| | | | Saturday. | | statement of commitments. | | |
| 195. | 2.4 | | Undertake all blasts between 10:00am and 4:00pm Monday to Friday. | During operations. | All blasts have been undertaken between 10.00am and 4.00pm. | Compliant | |
| 196. | 2.5 | | Restrict activities undertaken outside the hours identified is Commitments 2.1 and 2.2 to routine, low noise activities such as oil changes, minor welding and servicing of equipment. | During operations. | Activities undertaken outside of the Hours of Work required under the Project Approval are not associated with resource extraction or transport of product. | Compliant | |
| 197. | 2.6 | | The nominated operating hours above in Action 2.3 do not apply to the delivery of material if that material is requested by police, any emergency service or Council. Details of the circumstances of these requests would be provided to the Secretary and EPA within a reasonable period of the request(s). | During operations. | | Not triggered | |
| 3. Wa | aste Managen | nent | | | | | |
| 198. | 3.1 | Minimisation of general waste creation and maximisation of recycling, wherever possible. | Place all paper and general wastes originating from the site office, together with routine maintenance consumables from the daily servicing of equipment in garbage bins located adjacent to the site office and workshop. | Ongoing. | Dedicated waste container provided for paper and general wastes. | Compliant | |
| 199. | 3.2 | | Segregate waste into recyclables and non-recyclable materials for removal by a licensed contractor. | Ongoing. | Facilities provided on site for the segregation of wastes. Cardboard, steel and general waste containers provided. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|----------------|--|---|--|--|----------------------|------------|
| 200. | 3.3 | Minimisation of the potential risk of environmental | Organise the regular collection of industrial wastes. | Monthly or as needs basis. | Waste was collected on an as needed basis. Records of waste removal were maintained. | Compliant | |
| 201. | 3.4 | impact due to waste creation, storage and/or disposal. | Store waste oils and greases within the workshop area in either self- bunding containers or within suitably contained areas. | Ongoing. | Covered bunded area provided for the storage of waste oils and greases. | Compliant | |
| 4. Sec | curity and Saf | ety | | | | | |
| 202. | 4.1 | All members of the public are safe when near Teralba Quarry. | Construct and maintain the perimeter fence around the Northern Extension. | Prior to commencement of clearing works. | Extraction works had not commenced in the Northern Extension Area at the date of this audit (February 2023). Security fencing and locked gates provided. | Compliant | |
| 203. | 4.2 | | Maintain lockable gates at all entry/exit points. Lock gates outside of operational hours. | Ongoing. | Lockable gates have been installed and maintained at the entry and exit points from the Teralba Quarry sites. | Compliant | |
| 204. | 4.3 | | Erect security warning signs at strategic locations around and within the Project Site. The signs would identify the presence of earthmoving equipment, deep excavations and steep slopes. | Ongoing. | Security warning signs are present around the site to warn of earthmoving equipment/vehicle movements. | Compliant | |
| 205. | 4.4 | | Continue to induct employees in safe working practices and hold regular follow-up safety meetings and reviews. | Ongoing. | Induction of employees in relation to safety and safe working practices occurs for all employees and contractors with follow-up Toolbox talks and meetings conducted to maintain employee awareness. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|-----------------|--|---|----------|--|----------------------|------------|
| 206. | 4.5 | | Install bunds along the margins of all internal haul roads where those roads are positioned adjacent to steep slopes, adjacent to the boundary of the extraction area and adjacent to all other steep slopes. | Ongoing. | Internal roads have the boundary of the access routes marked to provide guidance to drivers in relation to safe distances from slopes adjacent to extraction areas. | Compliant | |
| 207. | 4.6 | | Ensure all trucks from the Project Site are driven in a safe and courteous manner in accordance with Metromix's Driver Code of Conduct. | Ongoing. | Drivers are provided a driver induction, including the Driver Code of Conduct. The Transport Management Plan (section 6.2) and Drivers Code of Conduct describes Competence Training and Awareness for all drivers / employees and covers site traffic rules, safe site delivery, Drivers Code of Conduct, maximum hourly despatch rates and operation and maintenance of wheel washes. | Compliant | |
| 5. Re | habilitation ar | nd Biodiversity Offset M | lanagement | | | | |
| 208. | 5.1 | | Deleted. | | | | |
| 209. | 5.2 | | Deleted. | | | | |
| 6. Gr | oundwater | | | | | | |
| 210. | 6.1 | Prevention of groundwater contamination. | Securely store all hydrocarbon products within designated and bunded areas – see Action 16.11. | Ongoing. | Diesel and oils are held in appropriately bunded areas with impervious flooring and sufficient capacity to contain 110% of the largest container stored within the bund (in accordance with AS1940-2004 and the DECC Storing and Handling Liquids: Environmental Protection Manual). Waste oil is placed in the covered bunded waste oil tank and the waste oil collected for recycling by Trans-Pacific. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|-----------|--|---|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| 211. | 6.2 | | Refuel and maintain all earthmoving equipment within designated areas – see Action 16.11. | Ongoing. | Refuelling of vehicles and equipment occurs in designated areas and maintenance is undertaken at the site workshops. | Compliant | |
| 212. | 6.3 | | Prepare a Groundwater Management Plan, including trigger levels for actions – see Action 16.3. | Ongoing. | Groundwater Management has been addressed in Water Management Plan section 7.2 including trigger levels for actions. | Compliant | |
| 213. | 6.4 | | Prepare a Spill Management Plan to address potentially significant hydrocarbon spills – see Action 16.11. | Ongoing. | Pollution Incident Response Management Plans includes spill management. | Compliant | |
| 214. | 6.5 | Continuous monitoring of groundwater throughout the life of the Project. | Develop and implement a monitoring program as part of the Soil and Water Management Plan. | Within 6 months of the receipt of project approval. | Verified previous audit. Water monitoring is addressed in Section 9 of the Water Management Plan. Erosion and Sediment Control addressed in Section 8 of the Water Management Plan | Compliant | |
| 215. | 6.6 | | Monitor water quality at the Mine Adit Dam for pH levels, electrical conductivity, suspended solids, and oil and grease. | Monthly (subject to review). | Water quality monitoring of the Mine Adit Dam for pH levels, electrical conductivity, suspended solids, and oil and grease is conducted monthly in accordance with EPL condition M2.3. | Compliant | |
| 216. | 6.7 | | Record flows/discharges from the Mine Adit Dam as well as quarry water usage. | Continuous. | Continuous logger provided to record flows from the mine adit dam at the discharge point. | Compliant | |
| 217. | 6.8 | | Review monitoring results to identify trends which may indicate impacts and allow mitigation measures to be implemented, if required. | Annually. | All monitoring data is reviewed annually during preparation of the Annual Review Report for the Teralba Quarry. | Compliant | |
| 218. | 6.9 | | Ensure all monitoring data is incorporated into each Annual | Annually. | All monitoring data is appended to the Annual Review Reports for the Teralba Quarry. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | | Environment Management Report for the Teralba Quarry. | | | | |
| 7. Su | rface Water | | | | | | |
| 219. | 7.1 | Maintenance of surface water quality. | Conduct site clearing activities in accordance with the Blue Book (Landcom, 2004) guidelines for erosion and sediment control. | Ongoing. | Vegetation clearing activities are conducted in accordance with the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan and the Blue Book guidelines for erosion and sediment control. | Compliant | |
| 220. | 7.2 | | Establish a regular monitoring program to review the effectiveness of all erosion and sediment control mitigation measures. | Prior to commencement of clearing works. | Monitoring program included in Section 3.3.4 of the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (Appendix 1 of the Water Management Plan). | Compliant | |
| 221. | 7.3 | | Incorporate an update of the current Water Management Plan (GHD, 2007) into the Soil and Water Management Plan to take into account the proposed Southern and Northern Extensions. | Within 6 months of date of project approval. | The Water Management Plan was prepared in consultation with the Lake Macquarie City Council and the NSW Office of Water (NOW), and submitted to DP&I on 22 August 2013. The Water Management Plan Revision 4 was approved by DPI on 2 October 2018. | Compliant | |
| 222. | 7.4 | | Ensuring any off-site discharge is monitored and reported in accordance with Environment Protection Licence 536. | As Required. | Monitoring of the discharge from the EPA approved monitoring points has occurred and reported in accordance with EPL condition P1.3, L2.1, L3.1, and M2.3. | Compliant | |
| 223. | 7.5 | | Conduct site clearing activities in accordance with the Blue Book (Landcom, 2004) guidelines for erosion and sediment control. | Ongoing. | Vegetation clearing activities are conducted in accordance with the Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan, Erosion and Sediment Control Plan and the Blue Book guidelines for erosion and sediment control | Compliant | |
| 224. | 7.6 | | Establish a regular monitoring program to review the effectiveness of all erosion and sediment control mitigation measures. | Prior to commencement of clearing works. | Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (Appendix 1 of the Water Management Plan) includes monitoring program the erosion and sediment structures. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------|------------|
| 225. | 7.7 | | Incorporate an update of the | Within 6 months of | The Water Management Plan was prepared in | Compliant | |
| | | | current Water Management Plan | date of project | consultation with the Lake Macquarie City | | |
| | | | (GHD, 2007) into the Soil and Water | approval. | Council and the NSW Office of Water (NOW), | | |
| | | | Management Plan to take into | | and submitted to DP&I on 22 August 2013. | | |
| | | | account the proposed Southern and | | The Water Management Plan Revision 4 was | | |
| | | | Northern Extensions. | | approved by DPI on 2 October 2018. | | |
| 226. | 7.8 | | Ensuring any off-site discharge is | As Required. | Monitoring of the discharge from the EPA | Compliant | |
| | | | monitored and reported in | | approved monitoring points has occurred and | | |
| | | | accordance with Environment | | reported in accordance with EPL condition | | |
| | | | Protection Licence 536. | | P1.3, L2.1, L3.1, and M2.3. | | |
| 227. | 7.9 | Capture of sediment- | Provide sufficient storage during all | Ongoing. | Verified previous audit. | Compliant | |
| | | laden water flows | stages of works to prevent | | The erosion and sediment control measures | | |
| | | from project-related | discharge off-site of sediment- | | constructed on the Teralba Quarry site appear | | |
| | | disturbance. | laden water in accordance with the | | to have adequate capacity to retain and settle | | |
| | | | Blue Book (Landcom, 2004) | | sediment containing runoff from the disturbed | | |
| | | | guidelines for sediment retention | | areas of the site. No uncontrolled discharge | | |
| | | | dams. | | has occurred during the period covered by this | | |
| | | | | | audit (February 2020 to February 2023). | | |
| 228. | 7.10 | | Inspect all sediment dams and | Monthly or | Sediment dams are inspected on a weekly | Compliant | |
| | | | maintain as necessary (keep | following rainfall | basis or following any rainfall of >10min in 24 | | |
| | | | records). | exceeding 100mm in | hours. | | |
| | | | | 2 days. | | | |
| 229. | 7.11 | | Remove accumulated sediment | Following routine | BRMP Section 6.2.9 requires regular | Compliant | |
| | | | from sediment dams when storage | inspection. | inspections and cleaning of sediment dams. | | |
| | | | capacity reduced by 25% - | | Sediment dam inspections are conducted and | | |
| | | | document activity in maintenance | | sediment removed as required to ensure 70% | | |
| | | | records. | | dam capacity is available. | | |
| 230. | 7.12 | Prevention of | Securely store all hydrocarbon | Ongoing. | Diesel and oils are held in appropriately | Compliant | |
| | | hydrocarbon | products within designated and | | bunded areas with impervious flooring and | | |
| | | contamination of | bunded areas. | | sufficient capacity to contain 110% of the | | |
| | | water on the Project | | | largest container stored within the bund (in | | |
| | | Site. | | | accordance with AS1940-2004 and the DECC | | |
| | | | | | Storing and Handling Liquids: Environmental | | |
| | | | | | Protection Manual). | | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|-----------------|--|--|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | | | | Waste oil is placed in the covered bunded waste oil tank and the waste oil collected for recycling by Trans-Pacific | | |
| 231. | 7.13 | | Refuel all earthmoving equipment within designated areas (with spill control). | Ongoing. | Refuelling of vehicles and equipment occurs in designated areas and maintenance is undertaken at the site workshops. | Compliant | |
| 232. | 7.14 | Separation of groundwater and surface water flows | Construct a drain from Dam B directly to the nearby watercourse to divert surface flows away from the Mine Adit Dam. | Within 3 months of Project Approval or following advice from NOW whichever occurs sooner. | Verified previous audit. discharge point established from Dam B to the nearby watercourse, diverts surface water flows away from the Mine Adit Dam A. | Compliant | |
| 8. Te | rrestrial Flora | and Fauna | | | | | |
| 233. | 8.1 | Minimisation of impacts on flora and fauna within the Project Site. | Prepare and implement a Site Vegetation Management Plan (as part of the overall Landscape Management Plan – see Commitment 16.7. | Within 12 months of the receipt of project approval. | Verified previous audit - Landscape Management Plan February 2014 includes short, medium and long term vegetation management measures. Landscape Management Plan replaced by the Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan (Approved 18 June 2019). | Compliant | |
| 234. | 8.2 | | Clearly define the <i>Tetratheca</i> <i>juncea</i> sub-populations to be retained. | For the life of the Project. | Areas of <i>Tetratheca juncea</i> identified on the Teralba Quarry site have been clearly identified as NO-GO areas for protection. | Compliant | |
| 235. | 8.3 | | Continue the established rehabilitation practices in appropriate areas. | Ongoing. | Rehabilitation practices implemented for the Teralba Quarry site have been successful and the reuse of site topsoil and biomass continues to be applied to disturbed areas. | Compliant | |
| 236. | 8.4 | | Retain the extracted topsoil and vegetation within the immediate area of <i>Tetratheca juncea</i> | During clearing. | Topsoil was collected and stored for reuse | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|-----------------|--|---|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | | populations and relocate to easement locations. | | | | |
| 237. | 8.5 | | Transfer biomass directly from vegetation clearing operations to rehabilitation areas. If it is not possible to transfer directly, stockpile material. | Ongoing. | Rehabilitation practices established for the Teralba Quarry site have been successful and the reuse of site topsoil and biomass continues to be applied to disturbed areas. | Compliant | |
| 238. | 8.6 | | Control noxious weeds at all times in accordance with a Weed Management Plan (to be incorporated into the site Vegetation Management Plan). | Following approval of Landscape Management Plan (see Action 16.7) and then ongoing. | Weed management and removal using both manual and chemical controls have been conducted and reported quarterly by T.E.N.T.A.C.L.E Inc, | Compliant | |
| 239. | 8.7 | | Install species specific nesting boxes for fauna species displaced following clearing activities, re 20 boxes for microbats, 20 boxes for Little Lorikeets and 30 boxes for Sugar Gliders. | Prior to commencement of activities in the Northern Extension. | Verified previous audit. | Compliant | |
| 9. Tra | affic and Trans | port | | | | | |
| 240. | 9.1 | Transport operations are undertaken with minimal impact on other road users and residents. | Limit laden quarry-related truck movement numbers through Teralba: 9 per hour; and 85 per day. | Ongoing. | The number of laden trucks dispatched from the Teralba Quarry between February 2020 and February 2023 comply with the limits of hourly truck dispatch rates in Project Approval Schedule 2 condition 9. | Compliant | |
| 241. | 9.2 | | Ensure that no product trucks from Teralba Quarry travel eastward through Teralba between 6:00pm and 6:00am. | | The number of laden trucks dispatched from the Teralba Quarry between February 2020 and February 2023 comply with the limits of hourly truck dispatch rates in Project Approval Schedule 2 condition 9. | Compliant | |
| 242. | 9.3 | | Ensure all vehicles exiting the Project Site pass through a wheel- wash facility to remove dust generating material. | | Wheel-wash facilities provided at both site exits. Barriers provided to prevent drivers bypassing the wheel-wash. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|-----------|-----------------|--|----------|---|----------------------|------------|
| 243. | 9.4 | | Provide a contribution to Lake Macquarie City Council during the ongoing life of the quarry if a suitable project approval is granted. | | Metromix consulted the Council and a Voluntary Planning Agreement was signed on 6 February 2017 in relation to the payment of the 0.066c per tonne per kilometre (/t/km) plus CPI for every tonne of quarry products transported from the Teralba Quarry site on roads where the Council is liable for road maintenance. Current rate – 0.0861c/t/km. | Compliant | |
| 244. | 9.5 | | Prepare, implement and enforce 'Drivers Code of Conduct' addressing: times that trucks can operate, especially through Teralba speed limits; duty of care to other drivers and pedestrians; complaints procedure; covering loads; and avoidance of exhaust brakes. | | Driver's Code of Conduct included in the Transport Management Plan. Driver inductions conducted which include driver acknowledgement and agreement to operate in accordance with the code of conduct. | Compliant | |
| 245. | 9.6 | | Undertake all transport activities in accordance with the project approval and Environment Protection Licence 536. | Ongoing. | Transport activities are conducted in accordance with the approved Transport Management Plan. No non-compliance related to transport were identified during the period covered by this audit. | Compliant | |
| 246. | 9.7 | | Ensure that only trucks owned by Metromix, or its shareholders and those of accredited contractors using airbag suspension and other noise controls are used to transport products between 10:00pm and 6:00am. | | All trucks owned by Metromix, and its approved contractors reported to be fitted with airbag suspension. | Compliant | |
| 247. | 9.8 | | Ensure that all project-related vehicles are regularly serviced to | | All project-related vehicles are regularly serviced to ensure engine efficiencies are | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|----------------|---|---|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | | ensure engine efficiencies are maintained at a standard that limits truck noise. | | maintained at a standard that limits truck noise. | | |
| 10. No | ise and Vibrat | tion | | | | | |
| 248. | 10.1 | The Project is designed to minimise and/or mitigate noise emissions received at surrounding | Ensure all mobile earthmoving equipment used on site is not fitted with high-frequency reversing alarms and is regularly serviced. | Ongoing. | "Quacker" style reversing alarms fitted to earthmoving equipment on site. | Compliant | |
| 249. | 10.2 | residences and other sensitive receivers. | Ensure all earthmoving equipment used on site (including temporary equipment) have sound power levels and frequency spectra consistent with those nominated in Section 6 of Spectrum Acoustics (2011). | Komatsu WA480-8 Specifications Komatsu WA500-8- YL Specifications | Sound power levels of equipment were tested in 2013. Current FELs in use on site how sound power levels lower than those specified. | Compliant | |
| 250. | 10.3 | All activities are undertaken in such a manner as to reduce the noise level generated and minimise impacts on surrounding landholders and/or | Ensure that the eastern side of the Southern Extension is extracted in such a manner that the active extraction face is retained on the eastern face thereby providing a topographic barrier between operating earthmoving equipment and residences to the east. | Ongoing throughout the extraction operations in the Southern Extension area. | The Southern Extension Area extraction active face is retained on the eastern side to provide a topographic barrier between operating earthmoving equipment and the residences to the east. | Compliant | |
| 251. | 10.4 | residents. | Construct a 5m high bund on the eastern edge of the Mid Pit Extraction Area. | During Mid Pit Extraction operations. | A 5m wall on Dam K on the eastern edge of the Mid Pit Extraction Area provides a barrier for operations in the Mid-Pit Extraction Area. No Mid-Pit extraction activities have been conducted since August 2013. | Compliant | |
| 252. | 10.5 | | Limit transportation noise by ensuring: | | The noise attributed to trucks travelling to and from the Teralba Quarry is controlled by: | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|-----------|-----------------|--|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | | all transport vehicles comply with the RTA's noise limits at all times; only trucks fitted with airbag suspension be used to transport products from the quarry between 10:00pm and 6:00am; and drivers comply with Code of Conduct. | Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing | All trucks under the control of Metromix, comply at all times with the RTA's noise limits. Only those trucks under the control of Metromix, its shareholders and approved contractors are used to transport products from the Teralba Quarry between 6:00pm and 6:00am Monday to Saturday. All drivers sign the Drivers Code of Conduct to ensure high standard of driver performance including the need to avoid use of exhaust brakes in built-up areas and travel at required speeds. One complaint related to truck noise received (noisy brakes). Truck was removed from site. | | |
| 253. | 10.6 | | Commission a noise monitoring program that comprises - attended noise monitoring for the Southern and Northern Extensions; and - General noise monitoring. | Within the first 3 months of operations in the Southern and Northern Extensions Biannually for the first year of operation in the Southern and Northern Extensions, and further monitoring when substantiated complaints are filed. | Noise Monitoring program included in Section 10 of the NMP. Attended noise monitoring surveys have been conducted bi-annually. | Compliant | |
| 254. | 10.7 | | Include a summary of all noise monitoring results in the AEMR. | Annually. | Noise monitoring results are summarised in Section 6.2 of the AEMR. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|----------------------|------------|
| 255. | 10.8 | | Ensure all trucks departing the Project Site via the bottom gate travel at speeds <15km/hr. | Ongoing. | Trucks departing the Teralba Quarry site via the bottom gate to Railway Street are restricted to speeds of less than15km/hr. | Compliant | |
| 256. | 10.9 | | Review blast designs and modify, if required. | When blasting within 500m of any residence. | No blasts have occurred within 500m of any residence. | Not Triggered | |
| 11. Air | Quality | | | | | | |
| 257. | 11.1 | Site activities are undertaken without exceeding DECCW air quality criteria or | Minimise clearing ahead of extraction activities | Ongoing. | Progressive clearing is undertaken to minimise exposed areas. Clearing restricted to area required for each sub-area. | Compliant | |
| 258. | 11.2 | goals. | Minimise the construction of minor roads and access tracks for soil stripping, extraction operations and rehabilitation. | Ongoing. | No construction of minor roads and access tracks occur for soil stripping, extraction operations and rehabilitation. | Compliant | |
| 259. | 11.3 | | Operate a water truck to manage dust suppression during periods of extended dry weather and/or high winds, or when dust nuisance has the potential to occur as a result of quarrying activities. | Ongoing. | Two water carts available on site for dust suppression during dry weather and high winds. Water cart in use on the day of audit. | Compliant | |
| 260. | 11.4 | | Stockpile material in sheltered locations away from sensitive receptors | Ongoing. | Raw materials are processed at the on-site plant and product stockpiles have been established in locations away from sensitive receptors. | Compliant | |
| 261. | 11.5 | | Shield and/or suppress dust on conveyors and transfer points. | Ongoing. | Mist sprays / dust suppression is installed on conveyors and transfer points to reduce dust dispersion. | Compliant | |
| 262. | 11.6 | | Limit internal road dust lift off by: - surfacing (and grading local) roads with appropriate materials; | Ongoing. | Internal roads are maintained to reduce dust with a 30km/hr speed limit enforced on all internal roads. Spillage during truck loading and transport is minimised to ensure that product is not lost | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|-----------|-----------------|---|----------|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | | enforcing a 30km/hr speed limit on all internal roads; limiting load sizes to ensure that product does not extend over truck sidewalls; and avoiding spillage during truck loading. | | over truck sidewalls and all loads are covered during transport. | | |
| 263. | 11.7 | | Minimise dump heights from trucks, front-end loaders and conveyors. | Ongoing. | Dump heights are minimised from trucks, front-end loaders and conveyors to reduce potential for dust generation. | Compliant | |
| 264. | 11.8 | | Schedule blasts so that they do not occur during high wind situations. | Ongoing. | Blasts are not scheduled to occur during adverse weather conditions. | Compliant | |
| 265. | 11.9 | | Cease or modify activities on dry windy days when dust plumes are visible. | Ongoing. | During periods of high wind (typically from the western quadrant) activities capable of generating dust are curtailed in the higher exposed areas. Daily dairies show operations ceased due to environmental conditions. | Compliant | |
| 266. | 11.10 | | Water exposed areas not covered by gravel under dry and windy conditions when dust plumes are visible. | Ongoing. | Two water carts provided for watering areas not covered by gravel under dry and windy conditions when dust plumes are visible. | Compliant | |
| 267. | 11.11 | | Adopt a complaints management system where all complaints are dealt with through investigation and implementation of corrective treatments. | Ongoing. | The complaints management system developed for the Teralba Quarry operations is provided in the Air Quality Management Plan section 12 and outlines the process for receipt and actions to be taken in the event of an air quality complaint. | Compliant | |
| 268. | 11.12 | | Minimise truck queuing, unnecessary idling of trucks and unnecessary trips through logistical planning, where possible. | Ongoing. | Planning of truck loading and transport from the Teralba Quarry site reduces the queuing of trucks on site and unnecessary idling of trucks. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|-----------|--|---|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| 269. | 11.13 | | Ensure the on-site wheel wash reduces mud tracking along Railway Street. | Ongoing. | Wheel-wash facilities provided at quarry exits. No instances of mud tracking onto Railway Street have been reported. | Compliant | |
| 270. | 11.14 | | Remove any mud tracking on Rhondda Road as a result of quarry movements. | Ongoing. | Wheel wash have been installed at the exit to Rhondda Road to reduce the potential for mud tracking onto the public road. No instances of mud tracking onto Railway Street have been reported. | Compliant | |
| 271. | 11.15 | | Prepare and implement a Dust Management Plan for the quarry. | Within 4 months of the receipt of project approval. | Dust management is included in the AQMP prepared for the Teralba Quarry in August 2013 and submitted to the DP&I. AQMP updated Current version Rev 06, 9/ December 2019. Verified previous audit. | Compliant | |
| 272. | 11.16 | Reduce the impact of Greenhouse Gas emissions from project related activities. | Minimise the impacts of greenhouse gases relating from diesel consumption by: minimising the use of haul trucks through use of an overland conveyor; reduce vehicle idling time; maintaining optimum tyre pressures; and the optimisation of haul routes to reduce transportation distance from the extraction areas. | Ongoing. | Reduce Vehicle Idling Time All operators are required to operate equipment to reduce idling time by turning engines off during length periods of inactivity. Maintaining Optimal Tyre Pressures Each tyred vehicle will have optimal pressures identified for each tyre. Required to be checked during daily prestart inspections. Optimising Haul Routes Haul routes between the raw feed loading area and the processing plant are optimised and internal haul roads are progressively re- located to maintain the shortest distance and grade for haul truck travel. | Compliant | |
| 273. | 11.17 | | Minimise the impacts of greenhouse gases relating from electricity consumption by: ensuring the most efficient crusher and other processing plant technology is used; | Ongoing. | The following actions had been implemented for minimising GHG emissions: Minimising diesel consumption Reducing truck idling time Maintaining optimal tyre pressure Optimising haul routes | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|-----------|--|---|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|------------|
| | | | regularly inspecting the daily operations of lighting; and implementing solar-powered lighting, where possible. | | • Optimising electricity usage Noted that two new Front End loaders had been purchased which are Tier 4 final emissions certified. | | |
| 274. | 11.18 | Record and monitor the local environment regarding dust impacts. | Continue to monitor dust impacts through; the existing five deposited dust gauges; and on-site meteorological monitoring to record relevant parameters. | Ongoing. | Dust deposition monitoring is conducted with five dust deposition gauges at locations identified in the Air Quality Management Plan section 9.2 (in accordance with Project Approval Schedule 3 condition 20(d) and EPL 536 condition M2.2) and meteorological parameters (in accordance with EPL 536 condition M4.1) had continued at the Teralba Quarry site. | Compliant | |
| 12. Vis | ibility | | | | | | |
| 275. | 12.1 | Reduce the impact of the Project on the visual amenity of private and public vantage points. | Ensure all vegetation is maintained outside the Southern and Northern Extensions to provide long term shielding. | Ongoing. | Vegetation on the eastern side of the Southern Extension has been retained to provide a visual screen. No work has been conducted in the Northern Extensions | Compliant | |
| 276. | 12.2 | | Sequence extraction activities in the Southern Extension to limit exposure of western faces until vegetation is well established. | Years 3 to 11 (approx). | The sequence extraction activities in the Southern Extension Area limit exposure of western faces. | Compliant | |
| 277. | 12.3 | | Progressively establish vegetation on extraction faces at 50mAHD and above in western section of the Southern Extension. | Years 3 to 11 (approx). | During the site inspection, progressive rehabilitation of former work areas was verified | Compliant | |
| 278. | 12.4 | | Advance extraction in the eastern section of the Southern Extension in strips parallel to north-south faces. | Years 22 to 30 (approx). | | Not triggered | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|-----------|---|--|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| 279. | 12.5 | | Include Annual photographs of the progressive rehabilitation of quarry benches in each AEMR. | | Photographs of progressive rehabilitation are included in the Annual Reviews in the attached Rehabilitation Reports (by T.E.N.T.A.C.L.E Inc). | Compliant | |
| 13. He | eritage | | | | | | |
| 280. | 13.1 | Provide appropriate protection to existing and future identified Aboriginal artefacts. | Halt all works in the immediate area if cultural objects are found and contact a suitably qualified archaeologist and Aboriginal community representative. | Ongoing. | No cultural objects have been found during clearing activities (Artefacts discovered during preclearance survey). | Not Triggered | |
| 281. | 13.2 | | Halt all works in the immediate area if human remains are found and contact NSW Police, Aboriginal community representative and OEH. | Ongoing. | No human remains had been found prior to this audit. | Not Triggered | |
| 282. | 13.3 | | Maintain reasonable efforts to avoid impacts to Aboriginal cultural heritage values at all stages of the development works | Ongoing. | Survey conducted prior to stripping to identify Aboriginal cultural heritage items. | Compliant | |
| 283. | 13.4 | | Invite representatives of Local Aboriginal stakeholders to monitor initial ground disturbance activities. | Prior to soil stripping campaigns. | Representatives of Local Aboriginal stakeholders invited to monitor initial ground disturbance activities for Area 2 clearance conducted in February 2019. | Compliant | |
| 284. | 13.5 | | Develop an Aboriginal Culture Educational Program for the induction of all personnel and contractors involved in the construction activities on site. Records are to be kept of which staff/contractors were inducted and when for the duration of the project. The program would be developed and implemented in collaboration with the local | Prior to first soil stripping campaign and then ongoing. | Personnel induction for the Teralba Quarry employees and contractors includes an introduction to Aboriginal heritage management issues. | Compliant | |

James Hart Consulting Date: 6 February 2023

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|-----------|--|--|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | | Aboriginal community. | | | | |
| 285. | 13.6 | | Halt all works in the immediate area if any non-Aboriginal artefacts are found and notify the Heritage Council of NSW. | Ongoing | No -non-aboriginal artefacts have been discovered during works in the current audit period. Artefacts uncovered during the previous audit period have been stored awaiting reburial in consultation with Aboriginal stakeholders. | Compliant | |
| 14. Soi | ils | | | | | | |
| 286. | 14.1 | Prevent excessive soil deterioration during stripping and transportation. | Undertake soil stripping within slightly moist condition and avoid excessively wet or dry conditions. | During soil stripping operations. | Stripping of soil in accordance with the BRMP (Section 6.2), which requires stripping of soil only when the material is moderately moist to preserve soil structure, prevent erosion and reduce dust generation. | Compliant | |
| 287. | 14.2 | | Place stripped soil directly onto reshaped overburden or dedicated stockpile area. | During soil stripping operations. | Topsoil and subsoil materials are stockpiled separately as low, flat mounds to a maximum height of 2m (topsoil) and 4m (subsoil) to maintain the available seed bank. | Compliant | |
| 288. | 14.3 | | Remove soil through grading or pushing soil into windrows with graders or dozers for later collection for loading into rear dump trucks by front-end loaders. | During stripping and transport operations. | Topsoil stripping process identified in Section 6.2.1 of the BRMP. | Compliant | |
| 289. | 14.4 | Retention of soil viability until use in rehabilitation. | Leave the surface of soil stockpiles in as coarsely structured a condition as possible in order to promote infiltration and minimise erosion until vegetation is established. | Immediately following stockpile construction. | BRMP Section 6.2.1 Direct transfer of available topsoil and subsoil onto active rehabilitation areas is practised where practicable | Compliant | |
| 290. | 14.5 | | Maintain a maximum stockpile height of 3m. Clayey soils would be stored in lower stockpiles for shorter periods of time compared to coarser textured sandy soils. | During staged Rehabilitation stages. | BRMP Section 6.2.1 Soil stockpiles are constructed as low, flat mounds to a maximum height of 2m (topsoil) | Compliant | |

James Hart Consulting Date: 6 February 2023

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|---------------|---|---|---|--|----------------------|------------|
| | | | | | and 4m (subsoil) to maintain the available seed bank. | | |
| 291. | 14.6 | | Seed soil stockpiles with sterile cover crop (and limited fertiliser) as soon as possible where stockpiling is planned. | Immediately following stockpile construction. | Verified during site inspection | Compliant | |
| 292. | 14.7 | | Maintain an inventory of available soil to ensure adequate topsoil materials are available for planned rehabilitation activities. | Ongoing. | Soil inventory maintained | Compliant | |
| 293. | 14.8 | | Assess soil stockpiles for weed infestation to determine if stockpiles require weed removal applications before being re-spread onto reshaped overburden. | During staged Rehabilitation stages. | Weed inspections and control undertaken by T.E.N.T.A.C.T.E Inc | Compliant | |
| 294. | 14.9 | Achieve a good soil cover for long term rehabilitation. | Spread topsoil to a minimum depth range of 0.1 m (steep slopes) to 0.2m (flatter areas). Specific topsoil respreading depths for different post mining landform elements would be specified in the Landscape Management Plan. | During staged Rehabilitation stages. | Completion criteria (BRMP Table 10) Management Plan section 17, requires topsoil to be spread to a minimum depth range of 0.1 m (steep slopes) to 0.2m (flatter areas). | Compliant | |
| 15. Bu | shfire Hazard | | | | | | |
| 295. | 15.1 | Avoidance of any fires on site, particularly in native | Adopt appropriate controls during re-fuelling. | Ongoing. | Refuelling of vehicles and equipment occurs in designated areas and maintenance is undertaken at the site workshops. | Compliant | |
| 296. | 15.2 | vegetation. | Ensure fire extinguishers are fitted to all site vehicles. | Ongoing. | Site vehicles have fire extinguishers installed. | Compliant | |
| 297. | 15.3 | | Incorporate a Bushfire Management Plan in the overall Emergency Response Plan for the quarry. | Within 6 months of the receipt of project approval. | A Bushfire Management Plan (dated February 2014) has been prepared as part of the Landscape Management Plan for the Teralba Quarry. | Compliant | |

James Hart Consulting Date: 6 February 2023

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|-------------|---|--|--|---|----------------------|------------|
| 16. Do | cumentation | and Further Approvals | | | | | |
| 298. | 16.1 | To provide site personnel with the necessary guidance | Environmental Management Strategy. | Within 6 months of the receipt of project approval. | Verified previous audit. Environmental Management Strategy Rev 03, 20 December 2018 available. | Compliant | |
| 299. | 16.2 | on the expectations of Metromix management and the NSW Government | Environmental Management Plan (EMP). Focus on the next 5 years. | Within 6 months of receipt of project approval. | Verified previous audit. Management plans have been reviewed and updated to ensure they remain relevant to the quarry operations. | Compliant | |
| 300. | 16.3 | and LMCC to achieve the required level of environmental performance. | Soil and Water Management Plan. (Incorporating management, monitoring and contingency plans for soils, surface water and groundwater). | Within 6 months of the receipt of project approval. | Verified previous audit. Water Management Plan (Rev 05, 21 September 2020) available which includes soil management. | Compliant | |
| 301. | 16.4 | | Noise and Blast Management Plan. (Incorporating a blast and noise monitoring component.) | Within 4 months of the receipt of project approval. | Verified previous audit. Blast Management Plan (Rev 06, 30 June 2020) available. Noise Management Plan Rev 03, 10/12/2021 | Compliant | |
| 302. | 16.5 | | Air Quality Management Plan. (Incorporating an air quality monitoring component.) | Within 4 months of receipt of project approval. | Verified previous audit. Air Quality Management Plan, Rev 06, 9 December 2019 available. | Compliant | |
| 303. | 16.6 | | Transport Management Plan. | Within 4 months of receipt of project approval. | Verified previous audit. Transport Management Plan, Rev 05, 9 December 2019 available. | Compliant | |
| 304. | 16.7 | | Landscape Management Plan. (Incorporating a Vegetation Management Plan for site rehabilitation and the on-site Biodiversity offset.) | Within 12 months of the receipt of project approval. | Biodiversity and Rehabilitation Management Plan Approved 18 June 2019) prepared which replaces the previously approved Landscape Management Plan | Compliant | |
| 305. | 16.8 | | Extraction Management Plan (for operations within 5 vertical metres of the Great North Coal Seam). | Prior to commencing any extraction within 5 vertical metres of the Great Northern Coal Seam. | Verified previous audit. The Lower Level Extraction Plan was approved by DP&E on 23 November 2016. | Compliant | |

| JHC Ref No | Cond. No. | Desired Outcome | Action | Timing | Finding and Recommendations | Compliance rating | lssue # |
|---------------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---|----------------------|------------|
| 306. | 16.9 | | Heritage Management Plan. | Within 4 months of | Verified previous audit. | Compliant | |
| | | | | the receipt of | Heritage Management Plan (Ver 03, 11 | | |
| | | | | project approval. | September 2019) available. | | |
| 307. | 16.10 | | Annual Environmental | Annually (by 31 | Annual Environmental Management Reports | Compliant | |
| | | | Management Report (AEMR). | March each year | have been submitted to DPE every year. | | |
| | | | | covering the | e.g. | | |
| | | | | previous calendar | 2019 – submitted 30/03/20. | | |
| | | | | month) | 2020 – submitted 31/03/21. | | |
| | | | | | 2021 – submitted 29/03/22. | | |
| 308. | 16.11 | | Hydrocarbon Management Plan. | Within 6 months of | Included in the Water Management Plan, | Compliant | |
| | | | (Incorporating the storage and use | receipt of approval. | Emergency Management Plan and Pollution | | |
| | | | of fuel and spill management.) | | Response Management Plan | | |
| 309. | 16.12 | | Annual Production Statistics to the | Annually (by 31 | Teralba Quarry production is reported | Compliant | |
| | | | DTIRIS (Division of Resources and | July). | annually, and reported in the Annual Reviews. | | |
| | | | Energy). | | | | |
| 310. | 16.13 | | Geotechnical Assessments and | Prior to construction | No buildings or structures had been | Not | |
| | | | relevant design drawings for site | of site infrastructure | constructed prior to this audit so no design | Triggered | |
| | | | structures and buildings (for | and buildings. | drawings for site structures and buildings (for | | |
| | | | submission to the Mines | | submission to the Mines Subsidence Board) | | |
| | | | Subsidence Board). | | had been required. | | |
| 311. | 16.14 | Ensure planning is | Prepare a Quarry Closure and Final | 3 years prior to | | Not | |
| | | undertaken | Land Use Plans for the land within | cessation of | | Triggered | |
| | | sufficiently ahead of | the Project Site that is to be | extraction north of | | | |
| | | quarry closure to | developed for purposes other than | Rhondda Road | | | |
| | | achieve a smooth | nature conservation. The Plans | (approximately | | | |
| | | transition to the | would be prepared in consultation | 2031) and south of | | | |
| | | subsequent land | with the Lower Macquarie City | Rhondda Road | | | |
| | | uses. | Council | (approximately | | | |
| | | | | 2039). | | | |

Appendix D. Consultation Records

| James Hart | |
|------------|--|
| From: | Lisa Andrews <lisaandrews.ic@gmail.com></lisaandrews.ic@gmail.com> |
| Sent: | Tuesday, 17 January 2023 12:03 PM |
| To: | James Hart |
| Subject: | Re: FW: Independent Environmental Audit - Metromix Teralba Quarry |

Hi James, just letting you know that I didn't receive any feedback from members regarding the upcoming audit.

The Metromix Teralba Quarry CCC is a very small committee. We only meet annually and receive a comprehensive presentation from the proponent. There are no real issues or concerns raised.

Best wishes Lisa

Lisa Andrews Independent Chairperson & Director Articulate Solutions Pty Ltd t: 0401 609 693 e: <u>lisaandrews.ic@email.com</u>

The information contained in this email and attachments is confidential and may be subject to privilege and is intended for the exclusive use of the addressees. You may not disclose or use the information in the email and attachments without the prior consent of the sender. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete this email. The unauthorised use of this email may result in liability for breach of confidentiality, privilege or copyright. No warranties are provided that the email is computer virus or other defect free.

On Tue, Dec 20, 2022 at 1:15 PM James Hart <<u>iames_hart@bigpond.com</u>> wrote:

Hi Lisa,

I have been engaged to undertake an Independent Environmental Audit of the Metromix Teralba Quarry. As a requirement of the Independent Environmental Audit process, I am seeking feedback from various agencies, including the Chair of the CCC, in regard to any issues that may have arisen or concerns which you may have in relation to the quarry operations. Any issues raised will be included in the audit.

I would appreciate it if you would respond to this email identifying any issues or concerns you have, or if you have none, please respond and let me know.

If you have any questions or prefer to talk to someone about issues regarding the development, you can contact me on 0408238682.

1

| James Hart | |
|------------|---|
| From | Inner Fratein Alaras Fratein@elancian.neu.cou.co |
| From: | James Epstein <james.epstein@planning.nsw.gov.au></james.epstein@planning.nsw.gov.au> |
| Sent: | Wednesday, 11 January 2023 11:28 AM |
| To: | James Hart |
| Cc: | Heidi Watters |
| Subject: | RE: Independent Environmental Audit - Metromix Teralba Quarry |

Hi James

Metromix Teralba has submitted an auditor endorsement request which has now been approved.

As such, the department requests particular attention is made to the following items during the upcoming Independent Environmental Audit:

- Implementation of the Noise Management Plan; and
- Implementation of the Blast Management Plan

Regards

James Epstein Senior Compliance Officer

Development Assessment | Department of Planning and Environment T 02 6575 3419 | M 0429 395 691 | E james.epstein@planning.nsw.gov.au PO Box 3145, Singleton NSW 2333

www.dpie.nsw.gov.au



The Department of Planning, Industry and Environment acknowledges that it stands on Aboriginal land. We acknowledge the traditional custodians of the land and we show our respect for elders past, present and emerging through thoughtful and collaborative approaches to our work, seeking to demonstrate our ongoing commitment to providing places in which Aboriginal people are included socially, culturally and economically.



From: James Hart <james_hart@bigpond.com> Sent: Wednesday, 21 December 2022 7:49 PM To: James Epstein <James.Epstein@planning.nsw.gov.au> Cc: Heidi Watters <Heidi.Watters@Planning.nsw.gov.au> Subject: Re: Independent Environmental Audit - Metromix Teralba Quarry

My apologies James. Seems I jumped the gun on this one.

1

| James Hart | |
|---|--|
| From: | Lisa Potter <lisa.potter@epa.nsw.gov.au></lisa.potter@epa.nsw.gov.au> |
| Sent: | Monday, 9 January 2023 10:06 AM |
| To: | James Hart; Daniel Whitley |
| Subject: | RE: Independent Environmental Audit - Metromix Teralba Quarry |
| Hi James | |
| operations at Teralba. One issue (monitoring point 11) on Myrtle | Metromix premises on 18/11/2020. At that time no concerns were identified with the raised during the inspection was the accuracy of dust and noise monitoring at point A St, as this is an industrial area and there is potential for the monitoring results to be rations. No further action was taken at that time. |
| Kind regards | |
| Lisa Potter Operations Officer Regulatory Operations NSW Environment Protection D 02 4908 6805 M 0428 565 1 | • |
| www.epa.nsw.oov.au @NSW_EPA | |
| The EPA acknowledges the traditional ci of the land and waters where we work. A world's oldest surviving culture, we pay o to Aboriginal elders past, present and en Report pollution and environmental incidents 131 555 or +61 2 9995 5555 | is part of the our respect nerging. |
| - | |
| Hi Daniel/ Lisa, | |
| requirement of the Independent | ke an Independent Environmental Audit of the Metromix Teralba Quarry. As a t Environmental Audit process, I am seeking feedback from various agencies, including that may have arisen or concerns which you may have in relation to the quarry II be included in the audit. |

I would appreciate it if you would respond to this email identifying any issues or concerns you have, or if you have none, please respond and let me know.

If you have any questions or prefer to talk to someone about issues regarding the development, you can contact me on 0408238682.



AREQ0035522

Mr James Hart James Hart Consulting By email: james_hart@bigpond.com

Dear Mr Hart,

Subject: Metromix Teralba Quarry

Thank you for your email dated 20 December 2022 requesting consultation on the independent audit to be undertaken of the Metromix Teralba Quarry.

Records held by the NSW Resources Regulator (the Regulator) indicate that there are no mining leases under the *Mining Act 1992* currently associated with the quarry. As such, the Regulator does not have any requirements for the independent audit.

Yours sincerely

Jenny Ehmsen Principal Compliance Auditor

21 December 2022

NSW Resources Regulator 516 High Street Maitland NSW 2320 | PO Box 344 HRMC NSW 2310 | Tel: 1300 814 609 | resourcesregulator.nsw.gov.au

Appendix E. Site Photographs



Eastern site entry



Northern site entry with site contact details displayed.



Gravel berm provided for erosion and sediment control



Sediment fence at surface water outlet.



Photographs showing rehabilitated areas



Above ground storage tank with spill kit nearby



Portable refuelling tank with spill kit.