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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Project will involve an extension by Metromix Pty Ltd ("Metromix") to the operational life of the Teralba Quarry by extending the two existing approved extraction areas within the existing quarry. It is proposed that current production levels would continue for the additional life of the quarry, i.e. for a period of up to approximately 30 years.

An assessment of the traffic impacts relating to the project has been made in line with the Director-General's Requirements and requirements nominated by Lake Macquarie City Council and the RTA. The key points of the assessment are that:-

- The traffic generated by the extension of the quarry's existing operation has been estimated;
- Extensive traffic counts have been undertaken at intersections and along road links at key points around the surrounding road network;
- Traffic modelling has shown that the intersections on the surrounding road network will continue to operate satisfactorily with the quarry traffic in current conditions and in 2022 (10 years after the receipt of project approval, should it be granted);
- In order to ensure that the roads managed by Lake Macquarie City Council continue to operate at adequate levels in terms of structural integrity etc., Metromix has agreed to pay a contribution to Council based upon the tonnage leaving the quarry, however, the quantum of the contribution will need to be discussed in detail with Council;
- A code of conduct for drivers travelling to and from the quarry will be put in place to ensure that unacceptable driver behaviour is minimised; and
- No quarry trucks have been involved in the crashes identified on the surrounding road network and as the quarry will not be increasing production at the quarry over existing levels, there is no reason to believe that this will result in adverse safety conditions.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

This report has been prepared for R.W. Corkery \& Co on behalf of Metromix Pty Ltd (Metromix) to address the traffic and transport issues relating to the Company's application to the Department of Planning for the proposed extensions at Teralba Quarry.

The Director-General's Requirements issued on the $29^{\text {th }}$ November 2010 for the proposed project and the requirements provided by the Roads and Traffic Authority and Lake Macquarie City Council required a number of traffic and transport issues to be addressed in the traffic and transport assessment.

This report therefore provides detailed information on the trip generation and distribution characteristics including the routes used by heavy vehicles to access the quarry, which have been used to predict the future impacts of the extended quarry operations on the surrounding road network.

The project will involve an extension to the operational life of the Teralba Quarry by extending the two existing approved extraction areas within the existing quarry. It is proposed that current production levels would continue for the additional life of the quarry, i.e. for a period of up to approximately 30 years.

The Director-General's Requirements and the issues raised by the Roads and Traffic Authority and Lake Macquarie City Council, have been addressed in the sections of the report as listed in Table 1.1.

The remainder of the report is set out as follows:

- Chapter 2 discusses the existing traffic conditions on the surrounding road network;
- Chapter 3 describes the existing Teralba Quarry traffic operations;
- Chapter 4 describes the proposed extensions;
- Chapter 5 examines the impacts of the proposed extensions;
- Chapter 6 examines the existing road conditions;
- Chapter 7 proposes what road improvements will be made / how impacts will be minimised; and
- Chapter 8 presents the conclusions of the investigation.

Table 1.1
Coverage of Government Agency Requirements relating to Traffic \& Transport

| Key Issues | Addressed In |
| :---: | :---: |
| NSW Department of Planning |  |
| Accurate predictions of the project's road traffic generation and a detailed assessment of the potential impacts of project-related traffic on the safety and efficiency of road network. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Section } 3.2 \\ & \& 5.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| A detailed description of the measures that would be implemented to upgrade and/or maintain these networks over the life of the project. | Section 7.1 |
| Roads and Traffic Authority |  |
| Assessment of all relevant vehicular traffic routes and intersections for access to/from the subject area during the construction and operational phases. | Section 5.5 |
| Current traffic counts for all of the traffic routes and intersections. | Section 2.3 |
| The anticipated additional vehicular traffic generated from the proposed development and associated trip distribution on the road network during both the construction and operational phases. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Section } 5.4 \\ \& 5.6 \end{gathered}$ |
| Consideration of the traffic impacts on existing and proposed intersections and the capacity of the local and classified road network to safely and efficiently cater for the additional vehicular traffic generated by the proposed development. This shall include the cumulative traffic impact of any other proposed developments in the area. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Section } 5.2 \\ & \& 5.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| Identify any necessary road network infrastructure upgrades that are required to maintain existing levels of service on both the local and classified road network. In this regard, concept drawings shall be submitted with the EA for any identified road infrastructure upgrades. However, it should be noted that any identified road infrastructure upgrades will need to be to the satisfaction of Council/RTA. | Section 7.1 |
| Intersection analysis (such as SIDRA) shall be submitted to determine the need for intersection and road capacity upgrades. The intersection analysis shall include (but not be limited to) the following: <br> - Current traffic counts and 10 year traffic growth projections <br> - With and without development scenarios <br> - 95th percentile back of queue lengths <br> - Delays and level of service on all legs for the relevant intersections <br> - Electronic SIDRA files for RTA review. | Section 5.5 \& Appendix B |
| Consideration of the impact of construction traffic on the road network in the vicinity of the development and measures to minimise any identified impacts. | Section 5.6 |
| Lake Macquarie City Council |  |
| Traffic impacts and transportation management should be addressed, including impact on local roads and infrastructure and methods of mitigating such impacts including monetary contributions, levies or like works to be undertaken by the developer. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Section } 7.1 \\ \& 7.2 \end{gathered}$ |

## 2. EXISTING CONDITIONS

### 2.1 SITE LOCATION

The locality plan of the Project Site is shown in Figure 1. It shows the existing approved extraction areas and the proposed extensions, referred to throughout this document as the "southern extension" and "northern extension".


### 2.2 ROAD NETWORK

Direct access to the Teralba Quarry is via Rhondda Road from either Wakefield Road in the west or Railway Street, Teralba in the east. A total of seven routes were identified for trucks travelling to and from the Teralba Quarry. All routes commence either at the entrance to the quarry from Rhondda Road (the "top gate") or the entrance to the quarry from a private road at the eastern boundary of the Project Site (the "bottom gate").

Routes 1 to 7 relate to trips away from the quarry. The corresponding routes to the quarry have been labelled as Routes 11 to 17. Each of these routes is displayed on Figure 2.


Figure 2


## EXISTING TRANSPORT CORRIDORS

It is noted that loaded trucks exiting the quarry and heading in an easterly direction toward Teralba do not use Rhondda Road. Instead, they use an internal road and a section of road, leased from Teralba Engineering and enter the public road network at the intersection of Railway Street and Rhondda Road.

The transport routes used by heavy vehicles transporting materials to and from Teralba Quarry can be broken down into four major route corridors as detailed below.

## Northeastern Corridor

The northeastern corridor commences at the bottom gate and runs through Teralba then northwards via Main Road 217 (Five Islands Road). The following specific routes lie within this route corridor.

- Routes 4/14 to and from Glendale via Five Islands Road/Lake Road.
- Routes 7/17 to and from Speers Point via The Esplanade.


## Southeastern Corridor

The southeastern corridor commences at the bottom gate and runs through Teralba then southwards via Main Road 217 (Toronto Road). The following specific routes lie within this route corridor.

- Routes $3 / 13$ to and from Teralba via York Street
- Routes $5 / 15$ to and from Fennel Bay via Toronto Road


## Northwestern Corridor

The northwestern corridor commences at the top gate and runs westwards along Rhondda Road to Wakefield Road then northwards through Barnsley. The following specific routes lie within this route corridor.

- Routes $1 / 11$ to and from West Wallsend via George Booth Drive
- Routes 2/12 to and from Edgeworth via George Booth Drive


## Southwestern Corridor

The southwestern corridor commences at the top gate and runs westwards along Rhondda Road to Wakefield Road then southwards via Wakefield Road to Palmers Road. The following specific route lies within this route corridor.

- Route 6/16 to and from the F3 Freeway via Wakefield Road

In addition to the above corridors, a private route which runs southwards from near Teralba Quarry along the existing private coal haul road to the Eraring Power Station was also considered in detail by Metromix. However, due to access issues, land ownership and security constraints, it is not considered a feasible option.

### 2.3 EXISTING TRAFFIC FLOWS

### 2.3.1 $\quad$ Automatic Traffic Count Surveys

In order to assess the impact of the existing heavy vehicle traffic travelling to and from Teralba Quarry along these route corridors, a total of 14 traffic counting locations were identified to record the traffic levels on the existing road network.

These counting locations are listed in below and are also displayed on Figure 3:

1. William Street, north of Short Street;
2. York Street, south of Short Street;
3. Railway Street, north of Railway Overbridge;
4. Toronto Road, south of Lake Crescent;
5. Wakefield Road, south of Rhondda Road;
6. Wakefield Road, north of Rhondda Road;
7. Rhondda Road, west of Metromix Access;
8. Rhondda Road, east of Metromix Access;
9. Railway Street, north of Rhondda Road;
10. MR217 (Five Islands Road), north of Anzac Parade;
11. MR217 (Five Islands Road/Lake Road), Waratah Golf Course (Cockle Creek);
12. Northville Road, east of Carinda Ave (Northville);
13. MR217 (Toronto Road), North of Enterprise Way (Woodrising); and
14. The Esplanade, Speers Point Park (Speers Point).

These traffic counting locations were chosen to provide traffic flows on representative sections of each of the seven existing transport routes.

Automatic vehicle counts were carried out by NTPE (Northern Transport Planning and Engineering Pty Limited) at each of these locations throughout June and July 2008. Data collected from these locations provided details of all heavy vehicle flows and vehicle classifications on these roads including trucks associated with Teralba Quarry.

During the same period as the automatic traffic count surveys, detailed records were compiled of each of the trucks travelling to and from Teralba Quarry. These records are discussed in detail in Section 3.

The results of average weekday daily traffic flows by direction for the selected automatic traffic count sites are shown in Table 2.1.

A detailed automatic traffic count survey data is included in Appendix A.


Figure 3 AUTOMATIC COUNT SURVEY LOCATIONS

Table 2.1
Average Weekday Daily Traffic Flows

|  | Northbound/Eastbound |  |  | Southbound/Westbound |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Cars | Trucks | Total | Cars | Trucks | Total |
| William St, north of Short St | 1,471 | 161 | 1,632 | 1,601 | 141 | 1,742 |
| York St, south of Short St | 1,854 | 265 | 2,119 | 2,200 | 278 | 2,477 |
| Railway St, north Railway Overbridge | 661 | 36 | 697 | 658 | 37 | 695 |
| Toronto Rd, south of Lake Crescent | 1,480 | 303 | 1,784 | 1,323 | 301 | 1,624 |
| Wakefield Rd, south of Rhondda Rd | 1,385 | 145 | 1,530 | 1,352 | 126 | 1,479 |
| Wakefield Rd, north of Rhondda Rd | 1,132 | 136 | 1,268 | 1,188 | 162 | 1,349 |
| Rhondda Rd, west of Metromix Access | 501 | 102 | 604 | 473 | 99 | 572 |
| Rhondda Rd, east of Metromix Access | 490 | 59 | 549 | 508 | 98 | 606 |
| Railway St, north of Rhondda Rd | 668 | 122 | 790 | 649 | 120 | 769 |
| Five Islands Rd, north of Anzac Pde | 16,752 | 734 | 17,486 | 16,445 | 939 | 17,384 |
| Lake Rd, Waratah Golf Course | 12,348 | 696 | 13,044 | 12,652 | 680 | 13,332 |
| Northville Rd, east of Carinda Ave | 3,943 | 231 | 4,175 | 3,889 | 230 | 4,119 |
| Toronto Rd, north of Enterprise Way | 12,821 | 619 | 13,440 | 13,148 | 839 | 13,987 |
| The Esplanade, Speers Point Park | 10,348 | 580 | 10,927 | 10,344 | 460 | 10,804 |

### 2.3.2 Intersection Count Surveys

Peak hour intersection turning movement flow surveys were conducted by NTPE at 11 key intersections within the surrounding road network. Most of the surveys were conducted on the $24^{\text {th }}$ November 2010. York Street intersections with Anzac Parade and Pitt Street were surveyed on the $16^{\text {th }}$ February 2011.

The surveyed intersections are listed below:

1. George Booth Drive - Northville Drive;
2. Wakefield Road - Northville Drive;
3. Wakefield Road - Rhondda Road;
4. Rhondda Road - Metromix Access;
5. Railway Street - Rhondda Road;
6. Railway Street - Railway Overbridge;
7. William Street - Short Street;
8. York Street - Short Street;
9. York Street - Anzac Parade;
10. York Street - Pitt Street; and
11. Toronto Road - Five Islands Road.

Each of these intersections is identified on Figure 4.


Figure 4
INTERSECTION COUNT SURVEY LOCATIONS

The surveys were conducted during a Wednesday between 7:00-11:00am and 2:30-6:30pm. The survey results indicated that the road network peak hours were generally 8:00-9:00am and 3:30-4:30pm.

The mid block two-way peak hour flows are summarised in Table 2.2 and intersection turning movement flows are presented in Figure 5 and 6 for morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively.

Table 2.2
2010/2011* Existing Two-way Peak Hour Flows

| Locations | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| George Booth Dr, west of Northville Dr | 1,390 | 1,425 |
| Main Road, east of Northville Dr | 1,844 | 1,973 |
| Northville Dr, south of George Booth Dr | 800 | 932 |
| Northville Dr, east of Wakefield Rd | 488 | 567 |
| Appletree Road, north of Northville Dr | 336 | 384 |
| Wakefield Rd, south of Northville Dr | 412 | 513 |
| Wakefield Rd, north of Rhondda Rd | 290 | 311 |
| Wakefield Rd, south of Rhondda Rd | 329 | 342 |
| Rhondda Rd, east of Wakefield Rd | 141 | 145 |
| Rhondda Rd, east of Railway St | 136 | 152 |
| Railway St, south of Rhondda Rd | 31 | 43 |
| Railway St, north of Rhondda Rd | 159 | 155 |
| Railway St, south of William St | 195 | 207 |
| Railway St, north of Railway Overbridge | 134 | 142 |
| Railway Overbridge, east of Railway St | 319 | 331 |
| William St, south of Short St | 121 | 124 |
| Short St, east of William St | 245 | 230 |
| York St, north of Short St | 274 | 351 |
| York St, north of Anzac Pde | 472 | 490 |
| York St, south of Anzac Pde | 235 | 265 |
| Anzac Pde, east of York St | 351 | 439 |
| Anzac Pde, west of York St | 82 | 92 |
| York St, west of Pitt St | 315 | 304 |
| Pitt St, south of York St | 85 | 75 |
| Toronto Rd, west of Five Islands Rd | 332 | 391 |
| First St, east of Five Islands Rd | 624 | 601 |
| Toronto Rd, south of First St | 2,509 | 2,583 |
| Five Islands Rd, north of First St | 2,903 | 2,847 |
| NOTE: * - All of the surveys were conducted on the 24th November 2010, except for York Street intersections with Anzac Parade and Pitt Street, which were surveyed on the 16th February 2011. |  |  |



Figure 5
Existing Morning Peak Hour Intersection Flows Including All
Light and Heavy Vehicles
(Data collected by Northern Transport Planning and Engineering)


Figure 6

### 2.4 EXISTING INTERSECTION OPERATION

Using the intersection turning flows shown in Figure 5 and 6, the existing operations of the surveyed intersections were analysed using the SIDRA intersection analysis programme.

SIDRA determines the average delay that vehicles encounter, the degree of saturation of the intersection, and the level of service. For roundabouts and sign-posted intersections, the intersection delay is the delay for the worst movement at the intersection.

SIDRA provides analysis of the operating conditions which can be compared to the performance criteria set out in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3
Level of Service Criteria

| Level of <br> Service | Average Delay per <br> Vehicle (secs/veh) | Signals \& Roundabouts | Give Way \& Stop Signs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | less than 14 | Good operation | Good operation |
| B | 15 to 28 |  <br> spare capacity | Acceptable delays \& Spare <br> capacity |
| C | 29 to 42 Satisfactory | Satisfactory, but accident <br> study required |  |
| D | 43 to 56 | Operating near capacity | Near capacity \& accident study <br> required |
| E to 70 | At capacity; at signals, <br> incidents will cause excessive <br> delays <br> Roundabouts require other <br> control mode | At capacity, requires other <br> control mode |  |
| F | $>70$ | Extra capacity required | Extreme delay, traffic signals <br> or other major treatment <br> required |
| Adapted from RTA Guide to Traffic Generating Developments, 2002. |  |  |  |

The results of the existing intersection performances are presented in Table 2.4.
The results shown in Table 2.4 indicate that all of the existing intersections along the four transport corridors currently operate at a good Level of Service (LoS) B, or better during both the morning and afternoon peak periods with acceptable delays.

A detailed SIDRA results are included in Appendix B.

Table 2.4
Existing (2010/2011) Intersection Operating Conditions

| Intersections | Control <br> Type | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LoS* | Ave Delay <br> (sec) | LoS* | Ave Delay <br> (sec) |
| George Booth Dr-Northville Dr | Roundabout | A | 14 | A | 14 |
| Wakefield Rd-Northville Dr | Roundabout | A | 14 | A | 14 |
| Wakefield Rd-Rhondda Rd | Giveway | A | 13 | A | 11 |
| Rhondda Rd-Metromix Access | Giveway | B | 15 | A | 14 |
| Railway St-Rhondda Rd | Giveway | A | 9 | A | 9 |
| Railway St-Railway Overbridge | Giveway | A | 9 | A | 8 |
| William St-Short St | Giveway | A | 7 | A | 7 |
| York St-Short St | Giveway | A | 9 | A | 9 |
| York St-Anzac Pde | Stop | B | 16 | A | 13 |
| York St-Pitt St | Giveway | A | 9 | A | 8 |
| Toronto Rd-Five Islands Rd | Roundabout | B | 20 | B | 16 |
| NOTE: - Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |

## 3. EXISTING TERALBA QUARRY TRAFFIC OPERATION

### 3.1 HEAVY VEHICLE ROUTES

During the same period as the automatic traffic count surveys, detailed records were compiled by staff at Teralba Quarry to document the routes taken by each of the trucks travelling to and from the quarry over an 8 week period. Wet weather during the first 4 weeks of this survey period resulted in lower production rates. Accordingly, data collected during the second half of this survey period has been used to establish the proportion of Teralba Quarry trucks, (hereafter referred to as Metromix trucks/traffic) travelling to and from the quarry on each of the transport routes.

The surrounding representative road sections traversed by vehicles travelling to and from Teralba Quarry along the seven identified routes are shown in Figure 2. The ultimate destination / origin for trucks using these routes are detailed in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1
Routes Destination / Origin

| Route | Destination / Origin |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 / 11$ | George Booth Drive | West Newcastle |
| $2 / 12$ | George Booth Drive | East of Edgeworth |
| $3 / 13$ | Teralba | Off York Street |
| $4 / 14$ | Five Islands Road/Lake Road | North of Boolaroo |
| $5 / 15$ | Toronto Road | South of Booragul |
| $6 / 16$ | Wakefield Road | South beyond Wakefield |
| $7 / 17$ | The Esplanade | East beyond Speers Point |

### 3.2 HEAVY VEHICLE MOVEMENTS

During the period from 2008 to 2011, the product sales varied between 700000 tpa to approximately 1 million tpa. This sub-section reviews the heavy vehicle movements for both operational levels of 700000 tpa and 1 million tpa. It is noted that throughout each year, there have been some months when sales approached and occasionally exceed 83000 tonnes which is equivalent to the annualised sales of 1 million tpa.

### 3.2.1 Equivalent Annual Sales - 700 000tpa

Detailed records were collected of loaded product truck movements from the Teralba Quarry from the $2^{\text {nd }}$ June 2008 to $27^{\text {th }}$ July 2008. All truck movements relating to the supply and delivery of products produced at Teralba Quarry were recorded according to the class of vehicle, time of day and the route used travel to the customer/consumer.

Sales during the last four weeks of this period ranged from 11300 tonnes to 15300 tonnes per week. These sales levels relate to annual sales of between 542400 tonnes and 734400 tonnes based on 48 weeks of product despatch per year. It is therefore considered that the heavy vehicle movements recorded during the surveyed period are representative when sales for the quarry are in the order of 700000 tonnes per annum (tpa).

The comprehensive record of truck movements, gathered by Metromix, along the routes displayed on Figure 2, has enabled the compilation of detailed Trip Distribution Characteristics for the Teralba Quarry when operating at an annualised rate of 700000 tpa as shown in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2
Metromix Heavy Vehicle Movements - 700000 tpa

|  | Eastwards* | Westwards | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Daily Movements | 81 | 107 | 188 |
| $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Daily Movements | 127 | 156 | 283 |
| Average Peak Hour Movements | 10 | 13 | 23 |
| $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Peak Hour Movements | 15 | 17 | 32 |
| NOTE: * Heavy Vehicle Movements through Teralba |  |  |  |

The trip distribution percentages of truck movements on the surrounding road network are displayed graphically on Figure 7.

Using the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile daily and peak hour traffic generation indicated in Table 3.2, the site generated trips have been distributed on the road network using the percentages as shown in Figure 7.

The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile daily and peak hour trips for 700000 tpa production are shown in Figures 8 and 9, respectively.

The distribution of heavy vehicle movements displayed on Figure 8 shows that on an $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile day during the survey period, approximately 127 heavy vehicles movements generated by Teralba Quarry travelled through the suburb of Teralba. This represents $45 \%$ of the heavy vehicle movements generated by Teralba Quarry. The remaining 55\% of heavy vehicle movements travel to and from the west via Rhondda Road to Wakefield Road.

It is noted that loaded trucks exiting the quarry and heading in an easterly direction toward Teralba do not use Rhondda Road but use a private road which enters the public road network at the intersection of Railway Street and Rhondda Road.

The heavy vehicle movements listed in Table 3.2 as travelling through Teralba do not include the heavy vehicle movements generated by the Civilake Pugmill operated by Lake Macquarie City Council and other local industrial facilities such as Downer EDI and Teralba Engineering.

Additional diagrams showing the percentage of Metromix trucks compared to all heavy vehicles using the surrounding road network are also shown in Appendix C.

NOTE: Numbers are either rounded up or down to the nearest percentage. Consequently, where two numbers are added together, the subsequent total might appear to be "one" more or less than the constituent figures.

Figure 7
Trip Distribution Percentage for 700000 tpa Sales


[^0]Figure 8
$85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Daily Trip Distribution of Metromix Trucks for 700000 tpa Sales


NOTE: Numbers are either rounded up or down to the nearest percentage. Consequently, where two numbers are added together, the subsequent total might appear to be "one" more or less than the constituent figures.

Figure 9
$85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Peak Hour Trip Distribution of Metromix Trucks for 700000 tpa

### 3.2.2 Equivalent Annual Sales - 1 million tpa

The traffic flows recorded in June/July 2008 for equivalent annual production level of 700000 tonnes have been extrapolated to derive the traffic flows for annualised sales of 1 million tonnes.

However, it is recognised that Metromix places a limit of the number of truck movements travelling to and from Teralba Quarry through the suburb of Teralba. This limit has been set with reference to the number of trucks generated by the 700000 tpa operation described above. As described above, the number of trucks generated by the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile operation is 127 trucks.

The small number of very busy days (compared with the 85th\%ile day) of the 700000 tpa operation generated around 170 truck movements per day. Hence, Metromix has chosen this as the benchmark traffic level through Teralba - that is, the company would ensure that no more than 170 truck movements would pass through Teralba per day, even when the annual production approaches 1 million tpa. The additional truck movements when operating of that level would be directed westwards along Rhondda Road towards Wakefield Road.

Table 3.3 lists the estimated distribution of Metromix's heavy vehicle movements when despatching products at an annual production rate of 1 million tpa.

Table 3.3
Metromix Heavy Vehicle Movements - 1 million tpa

|  | Eastwards* | Westwards | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Daily Movements | 81 | 222 | 303 |
| $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Daily Movements | 127 | 325 | 452 |
| Average Peak Hour Movements | 10 | 26 | 37 |
| $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Peak Hour Movements | 15 | 35 | 50 |
| NOTE: * Heavy Vehicle Movements through Teralba |  |  |  |

Using the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile daily and peak hour traffic generation indicated in Table 3.3, the site generated trips have been distributed on the road network using the percentages as shown in Figure 10.

Figures 11 and 12 similarly displays the trip distribution of these truck movements on the surrounding road network for the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile daily and peak hour, respectively.


NOTE: Numbers are either rounded up or down to the nearest percentage. Consequently, where two numbers are added together, the subsequent total might appear to be "one" more or less than the constituent figures.

Figure 10
Trip Distribution Percentage for 1 million tpa Sales


Figure 11
$85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Daily Trip Distribution of Metromix Trucks for 1 million tpa Sales


[^1]Figure 12
$85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Peak Hour Trip Distribution of Metromix Trucks for 1 million tpa

## 4. OVERVIEW OF THE PROPOSED EXTENSIONS

Metromix proposes to extend the operational life of the Teralba Quarry by extending the two existing approved extraction areas within the existing quarry. It is proposed that current production levels would continue for the additional life of the quarry, i.e. for a period of approximately 30 years.

As shown in Section 2.1, Figure 1 displays the existing approved extraction areas and the proposed "southern" and "northern" extensions.

Annual production rates from the existing approved extraction areas currently equate to 1 million tonnes.

During June/July 2008 period, when the traffic surveys were carried out, the production rate was equivalent to an annual production rate of approximately 700000 tonnes. Heavy vehicle movements generated to and from Teralba Quarry during that period therefore represented approximately $70 \%$ of the peak production rate.

Apart from the proposed extensions to the existing approved extraction areas, the Project would involve:

- some modifications to the processing operations;
- further importation of Virgin Excavated Natural Material and Excavated Natural Material, largely as backloads in Metromix trucks;
- the installation of a conveyor to transport primary-crushed rock from north of Rhondda Road to south of Rhondda Road (when the northern extension is operational);
- ongoing distribution of quarry products; and
- progressive rehabilitation of disturbed areas once activities cease in those areas.

Metromix proposes to maintain the current levels of heavy vehicle movements to and from the Teralba Quarry. Emphasis would be placed upon limiting heavy vehicle traffic levels though the suburb of Teralba at the level equivalent to a maximum of 170 truck movements per day.

This limit to heavy vehicle movements through Teralba will be carefully monitored by Metromix staff. Any additional heavy vehicle movements generated by the Teralba Quarry for production levels up to 1 million tpa will be directed towards the west via Rhondda Road and Wakefield Road (north or south). This will require ongoing strict monitoring of the truck movements from the Despatch Office to ensure that truck drivers are instructed to travel to or from the west via Rhondda Road when these maximum flow levels through Teralba are approached.

Metromix proposes to continue to retain its operation to despatch its products 24 hours per day, 4am Monday to 8 pm Saturday. Metromix anticipates the night-time traffic levels would focus on deliveries to the Sydney metropolitan area (to avoid peak periods) and to specific infrastructure projects (requiring night-time deliveries). Table 4.1 shows the truck movements over a typical 24 hour period, which have been provided by Metromix. It is noted that product truck movements through Teralba are confined to the period 6:00am to 6:00pm, Monday to Saturday.

Table 4.1
Proposed Truck Movements over a Typical 24 Hour Period

| Proposed Traffic Movements |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Daily Routine | Truck Loads |  |
| 4:00am $-6: 00 \mathrm{am}$ | Up to 12 pre-loaded trucks leave site ${ }^{* 1 * 2}$ |  |
| 6:00am $-7: 00 \mathrm{am}$ | Up to 28 trucks loaded and despatched (56 truck movements) |  |
| 7:00am $-6: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ | Up to 20 trucks loaded and despatched per hour (40 truck movements) |  |
| 6:00pm $-10: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ | Up to 6 trucks loaded per hour ${ }^{* 1 * 2}(12$ truck movements) |  |
| 10:00pm - midnight | Trucks returning and loading of empty trucks |  |
| Midnight $-4: 00 \mathrm{am}$ | Occasional trucks (gates may be closed) and loading of empty trucks ${ }^{* 1 * 2}$ |  |
| ${ }^{* 1}-$ Only Metromix owned trucks | *2 Not through Teralba |  |

## 5. IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED EXTENSIONS

### 5.1 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC GROWTH

Whilst traffic growth in recent years has been relatively high, the Roads and Traffic Authority (RTA) has confirmed its views about general traffic growth in the area in two studies recently undertaken by Halcrow / MWT.

In the MR217 (Toronto Road) Fennell Bay to Booragul Duplication and the Hunter Regional Road Safety and Traffic Management (RSTM) projects, RTA confirmed that traffic growth between 2008 and 2032 was anticipated to be around $1.5 \%$ compound per annum. The traffic growth rate was derived from a combination of the forecast growth rates from the RTA Lower Hunter model and historical growth rates in the study area.

Hence, the traffic growth rate of $1.5 \%$ (compound) per annum has been adopted for the purpose of this assessment.

The anticipated opening year of the extended quarry is 2012. As requested by the RTA, the future year 2022, i.e. 10 years after the opening year would be assessed. Using the compound rate of $1.5 \%$ per annum, this is equivalent to the increase factor of about 1.2 , which would be applied to the 2010 surveyed intersection flows.

The peak hour intersection flows for the 2022 future base without the Metromix trucks are included in Appendix D.

### 5.2 OTHER COMMITTED DEVELOPMENTS

It is conventional to consider other committed developments but an inspection of current development applications in Lake Macquarie area revealed that there are none which should significantly impact the intersections which are being assessed for this project (see Figure 4). An extract from Council's map of current development applications is shown in Figure 13. It is noteworthy that such applications also provide an indication of the areas where products from Teralba Quarry are located given it is the closest source of quarry products to these committed developments.

### 5.3 FUTURE HEAVY VEHICLE ROUTES

Metromix does not intend to add to or modify the existing transport routes for the despatch of products from the Teralba Quarry. Hence, the future heavy vehicle routes would retain the same as existing as described in Section 3.1 of this report.

### 5.4 FUTURE TRAFFIC FLOWS

It is proposed that product sales of extended Teralba Quarry would remain similar to the current production level, between 700000 tpa and 1 million tpa. It is likely throughout the ongoing life of the quarry that the average production level will be in the order of 900000 tpa. However, as a conservative approach, the production level of 1 million tpa would be adopted for the purposes of traffic assessment.


Figure 13
Location of Lake Macquarie Council's Current Development Applications

As described earlier, it is proposed that the truck movements generated by the Teralba Quarry through Teralba would be limited to 170 truck movements per day and trucks generated by annual sales exceeding 700000 tpa would be directed to the north western and south western corridors via Rhondda Road once this limit is reached.

The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile peak hour traffic generation for Metromix with 1 million tpa sales (as shown in Figure 12 is superimposed on the 2022 future base traffic flows (as included in Appendix D).

The mid block two-way peak hour flows for existing and future scenarios are summarised in Table 5.1.

The peak hour intersection flows for 2022 are presented in Figures 14 and 15 for the morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively.

### 5.5 INTERSECTION OPERATION WITHIN THE PROPOSED EXTENSIONS

Using the intersection turning movement flows shown in Figures 14 and 15, the results of the future intersection performances are presented in Table 5.2.

Table 5.1
Existing and Future Two-way Peak Hour Flows

| Locations | Existing |  | Future 2022 ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| George Booth Dr, west of Northville Dr | 1,390 | 1,425 | 1,661 | 1,705 |
| Main Road, east of Northville Dr | 1,844 | 1,973 | 2,204 | 2,361 |
| Northville Dr, south of George Booth Dr | 800 | 932 | 955 | 1,117 |
| Northville Dr, east of Wakefield Rd | 488 | 567 | 582 | 681 |
| Appletree Road, north of Northville Dr | 336 | 384 | 402 | 459 |
| Wakefield Rd, south of Northville Dr | 412 | 513 | 491 | 616 |
| Wakefield Rd, north of Rhondda Rd | 290 | 311 | 345 | 374 |
| Wakefield Rd, south of Rhondda Rd | 329 | 342 | 397 | 422 |
| Rhondda Rd, east of Wakefield Rd | 141 | 145 | 170 | 189 |
| Rhondda Rd, east of Railway St | 136 | 152 | 168 | 179 |
| Railway St, south of Rhondda Rd | 31 | 43 | 31 | 44 |
| Railway St, north of Rhondda Rd | 159 | 155 | 188 | 181 |
| Railway St, south of William St | 195 | 207 | 231 | 243 |
| Railway St, north of Railway Overbridge | 134 | 142 | 160 | 170 |
| Railway Overbridge, east of Railway St | 319 | 331 | 379 | 391 |
| William St, south of Short St | 121 | 124 | 145 | 148 |
| Short St, east of William St | 245 | 230 | 291 | 271 |
| York St, north of Short St | 274 | 351 | 328 | 420 |
| York St, north of Anzac Pde | 472 | 490 | 562 | 582 |
| York St, south of Anzac Pde | 235 | 265 | 279 | 320 |
| Anzac Pde, east of York St | 351 | 439 | 420 | 518 |
| Anzac Pde, west of York St | 82 | 92 | 98 | 110 |
| York St, west of Pitt St | 315 | 304 | 375 | 366 |
| Pitt St, south of York St | 85 | 75 | 102 | 91 |
| Toronto Rd, west of Five Islands Rd | 332 | 391 | 394 | 469 |
| First St, east of Five Islands Rd | 624 | 601 | 746 | 719 |
| Toronto Rd, south of First St | 2,509 | 2,583 | 2,998 | 3,086 |
| Five Islands Rd, north of First St | 2,903 | 2,847 | 3,470 | 3,408 |

NOTE: \# - Future 2022 flows include the 85th percentile peak hour traffic generation for Metromix with 1 million tpa sales plus 2022 future base traffic flows, which adopted compound growth factor of $1.5 \%$ per annum from the 2010 surveyed intersection flows.

Figure 14
Future (2022) Morning Peak Hour Intersection Flows Including All Light and Heavy Vehicles with Metromix 1 million tpa Sales

NOTE: Numbers are either rounded up or down to the nearest percentage. Consequently, where two numbers are added together, the subsequent total might appear to be "one" more or less than the constituent figures.

Figure 15
Future (2022) Afternoon Peak Hour Intersection Flows Including All Light and Heavy Vehicles with Metromix 1 million tpa Sales

Table 5.2
Future (2022) Intersection Operating Conditions

| Intersections | Control <br> Type | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LoS <br> (sec) | LoS | Ave Delay <br> (sec) |
|  | Roundabout | A | 14 | B | 16 |
| Wakefield Rd-Northville Dr | Roundabout | A | 14 | A | 14 |
| Wakefield Rd-Rhondda Rd | Giveway | A | 13 | A | 12 |
| Rhondda Rd-Metromix Access | Giveway | B | 16 | A | 15 |
| Railway St-Rhondda Rd | Giveway | A | 9 | A | 9 |
| Railway St-Railway Overbridge | Giveway | A | 9 | A | 8 |
| William St-Short St | Giveway | A | 8 | A | 7 |
| York St-Short St | Giveway | A | 9 | A | 9 |
| York St-Anzac Pde | Stop | B | 18 | B | 15 |
| York St-Pitt St | Giveway | A | 9 | A | 9 |
| Toronto Rd-Five Islands Rd | Roundabout | C | 35 | B | 20 |

Table 5.2 indicates that all intersections analysed would operate satisfactorily with Level of Service C or better for both the morning and afternoon peak periods.

A detailed SIDRA results are included in Appendix B.

### 5.6 INTERSECTION OPERATION DURING CONSTRUCTION

The proposed Teralba Quarry extensions would not require construction of any new buildings or infrastructure works that would add substantial amount of traffic to exiting traffic levels. Hence, there would be minimal impact on the surrounding road network due to construction activities.

### 5.7 ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CAPACITY

The following roads will therefore continue to be used for transporting material to the wider road networks.

### 5.7.1 To the West of Teralba Quarry

- Rhondda Road to Wakefield Road;
- Wakefield Road;
- Northville Road; and
- George Booth Drive.

Rhondda Road, Wakefield Road and Northville Drive are sub arterial roads, which are funded by Lake Macquarie City Council. Sub arterial roads have an environmental capacity of around 1,200 vehicles per hour (Ref - RTA Guide to Traffic Generating Developments 2002).

George Booth Drive is a state road, which is funded by RTA, whose function is to deliver traffic from sub arterial collector roads to freeways. Such roads are generally not residential roads and do not have an environmental capacity attributed to them but can generally carry in excess of 1,500 vehicles of well over 3,000 vehicles per hour.

Table 5.3
Existing and Future Two-way Peak Hour Flows (To West of Site)

| Locations | Existing |  | Future 2022 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| George Booth Dr, west of Northville Dr | 1,390 | 1,425 | 1,661 | 1,705 |
| Main Road, east of Northville Dr | 1,844 | 1,973 | 2,204 | 2,361 |
| Northville Dr, south of George Booth Dr | 800 | 932 | 955 | 1,117 |
| Northville Dr, east of Wakefield Rd | 488 | 567 | 582 | 681 |
| Wakefield Rd, south of Northville Dr | 412 | 513 | 491 | 616 |
| Wakefield Rd, north of Rhondda Rd | 290 | 311 | 345 | 374 |
| Wakefield Rd, south of Rhondda Rd | 329 | 342 | 397 | 422 |
| Rhondda Rd, east of Wakefield Rd | 141 | 145 | 170 | 189 |

It is clear therefore that all of the above roads will continue to operate below their environmental capacity.

### 5.7.2 To the East of Teralba Quarry

- Railway Street;
- Railway Bridge;
- William Street;
- Short Street;
- York Street; and
- Toronto Road.

The roads between Railway Street and the Toronto Road/Five Islands Road roundabout on which the quarry trucks travel can generally be classified as collector or local roads, which have an Environmental Capacity of around 500 vehicles during the peak hour. These roads are funded by Lake Macquarie City Council.

It is clear therefore that all of the above roads, except for York Street, north of Anzac Parade, will continue to operate below their environmental capacity. York Street, north of Anzac Parade currently accommodates flows of around 490 vehicles per hour in the afternoon. Allowing for $1.5 \%$ compound growth over the period to 2022, this section of York Street can expect flows of above 500 vehicles per hour. The fact that the flow will in the future grow to levels of above 500 vehicles per hour is due to general traffic growth and not Teralba Quarry.

Table 5.4
Existing and Future Two-way Peak Hour Flows (To East of Site)

| Locations | Existing |  | Future 2022 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Railway St, south of Rhondda Rd | 31 | 43 | 31 | 44 |
| Railway St, north of Rhondda Rd | 159 | 155 | 188 | 181 |
| Railway St, south of William St | 195 | 207 | 231 | 243 |
| Railway St, north of William St | 134 | 142 | 160 | 170 |
| Railway Bridge, east of Railway St | 319 | 331 | 379 | 391 |
| William St, south of Short St | 121 | 124 | 145 | 148 |
| Short St, east of William St | 245 | 230 | 291 | 271 |
| York St, north of Short St | 274 | 351 | 328 | 420 |
| York St, north of Anzac Pde | 472 | 490 | 562 | 582 |
| York St, south of Anzac Pde | 235 | 265 | 279 | 320 |
| York St, west of Pitt St | 315 | 304 | 375 | 366 |
| Toronto Rd, west of Five Islands Rd | 332 | 391 | 394 | 469 |

## 6. ASSESSMENT OF EXISTING ROAD CONDITIONS

### 6.1 INTRODUCTION

The existing road network has been reviewed in a number of ways:

- A review of crash data up until the end of 2009 has been undertaken to establish whether there are any existing crash trends; and
- A general review of the condition of the roads that Metromix trucks will continue to use has been undertaken.


### 6.2 CRASH HISTORY

A study of crashes between January 2005 and December 2009 was undertaken. Crash data from 2010 / 2011 data was not available at the time of the analysis.

There is no obvious indication that the crashes were attributable to any specific deficiencies but improvements to road markings and signage would be beneficial.

Metromix understands that none of the crashes involving heavy vehicles during the 5 year period involved trucks travelling to or from Teralba Quarry.

### 6.3 EXAMINATION OF CRASH HISTORY

Prior to this assessment, it should be noted that:

- Metromix does not intend to add to or modify the existing transport routes for the despatch of products from the Teralba Quarry; and
- Metromix intend to limit the volume of trucks passing through Teralba to the 2008 levels.

Of the roads to the west of Teralba Quarry, Rhondda Road to Wakefield Road, Northville Road and George Booth Drive, the section from Rhondda Road to Wakefield Road has recently been upgraded although there are a couple of areas where patches of poor surfacing are prevalent. However, the road itself has a number of deficiencies which are typical of a road of this type:

- Broken edges;
- Lack of guardrail protection drainage structures, embankments and other roadside furniture within the clear zone;
- Poor signage and delineation; and
- Heavy patching at previously damaged pavement.

Table 6.1
Summary of Crash Data

## Northville Drive - between Wakefield Road and George Booth Drive

A total of 10 crashes occurred on Northville Drive during the 5 years from January 2005 to December 2009. One of these crashes resulted in a fatality with the driver being killed when their vehicle left the road and hit a power pole. A further five crashes resulted in injuries.
The crashes involving heavy vehicles represented $30 \%$ of all crashes on Northville Drive; i.e. three out of the 10 crashes.
There is a minor cluster of 4 crashes near the intersection of Northville Drive and Carinda Avenue including the fatality crash 150 m south of Carinda Avenue. The area is close to a school with appropriate school zone speed control signage in place. There is also a pedestrian refuge available to assist pedestrians crossing Northville Drive. However, a closer review of these accidents fails to provide any consistent factor relating to these crashes.
The fatality crash occurred at 8:25 on a Wednesday morning; a pedestrian injury crash occurred at 23:45 on a Saturday evening; a right turn / through crash occurred at 21:50 on a Friday evening and a left turn side swipe crash occurred at 14:20 on a Wednesday afternoon.
There is also a minor cluster of crashes involving heavy vehicles at the intersection of Highcross Street and Northville Drive. These crashes both involved a crash between a car turning right into Highcross Street and a truck travelling east on Northville Drive (based on a likely translation of the data recorded in the police report). Both of these crashes occurred at around 4:00 pm on a weekday. However, there are no other significant factors relating to these crashes.

## Wakefield Road - Between Palmers Road and Northville Drive

A total of 12 crashes occurred on Wakefield Road during the 5 years from January 2005 to December 2009. There were no fatality crashes during this period. However, all 12 crashes were recorded as injury crashes.
The crashes involving heavy vehicles represent $42 \%$ of all crashes on Wakefield Road, i.e. five of the 12 crashes.
There is a cluster of nine crashes through the village of Wakefield between Rosina Road and School Road. Of these nine crashes four involved a vehicle leaving the road and colliding with a roadside object. Another three crashes involved a motorcycle that either left the road or lost control. The remaining two crashes were rear-end crashes with a vehicle either stationary or turning left.
A site inspection of this section of road indicates that there is a need to improve delineation and line marking through the village of Wakefield.
A review of crashes along the length of Wakefield Road indicates that many crashes involve vehicles leaving the road and colliding with a roadside object.

## York Street - Between Short Street and Five Islands Road

A total of 12 crashes occurred on York Street during the 5 years from January 2005 to December 2009. There were no fatality crashes during this period. However, there were five injury crashes.
The crashes involving heavy vehicles represent $50 \%$ of all crashes on York Street, i.e. six of the 12 crashes.
There is a cluster of six crashes at or near the intersection of York St and Anzac Parade. Of these six crashes four involved a vehicle travelling north in Anzac Parade colliding with a vehicle travelling west in York Street. This would suggest that the vehicles travelling north in Anzac Parade are failing to acknowledge the priority given to traffic in York Street.

## Rhondda Road -Between Railway Road and Wakefield Road

A total of eight crashes occurred on Rhondda Road during the five years from January 2005 to December 2009. There were no fatality crashes during this period. However, there were four injury crashes.

The crashes involving heavy vehicles represent $13 \%$ of all crashes on Rhondda Road i.e. one of the 8 crashes.
There are no specific clusters of crashes along the length of Rhondda Road. However, all of these eight crashes involved a single vehicle. One vehicle collided with a kangaroo, while the other seven crashes involved a single vehicle leaving the carriageway and colliding with a roadside object.
Railway Street - Between Rhondda Road and Railway Overbridge
A total of two crashes occurred on Railway Street during the five years from January 2005 to December 2009. Both of these crashes involved injuries.

There were no heavy vehicle crashes in Railway Street.
One of these crashes involved a car and a cyclist, while the other was a single vehicle crash.

It is noted that, these are existing deficiencies in the road system which the proposed extension of the existing quarry will not have a significant adverse effect upon.

Of the roads to the east of Teralba Quarry, the roads passing between the site and the Toronto Road roundabout include Railway Street plus the Railway Bridge, William Street, Short Street, York Street and Toronto Road. Trucks are limited to these streets by the imposition of 5 tonne vehicle limits on other roads in Teralba centre.

A site inspection of this route has revealed that there are a number of problems with the existing road network to the east of the Teralba Quarry:

- Pedestrians travelling from the Railway Street on the north to William Street on the south experience significant problems crossing the road especially if they have prams/pushchairs and they have to cross to the north east of the bridge to cross where visibility of approaching vehicles is limited by vegetation and the bridge itself;
- The fence adjacent to the railway line on the William Street side would be inadequate to stop an errant eastbound truck from falling onto the railway line; and
- Trucks turning from William Street onto the Railway overbridge cannot do so without crossing the centre line.

However, Metromix does not intend to increase the truck traffic through Teralba above the existing levels of 170 truck movements per day so the proposed extension of the existing quarry will not have a significant adverse effect upon these roads.

## 7. PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS/MITIGATION MEASURES

### 7.1 ROAD IMPROVEMENTS

As demonstrated in the traffic analysis section of this report, none of the intersections or links will need to be upgraded to improve the traffic capacity of the routes. However, it is accepted that the quarry trucks will continue to have an impact on the life of the existing pavement.

Consequently, Metromix proposes to provide a quarterly contribution to Lake Macquarie City Council based on a rate per tonne transported from the quarry to assist Council fund road maintenance and improvements on these roads.

Many councils in New South Wales have a specific Section 94 Development Control Plan to raise revenue on local roads maintained by Council to ensure that any damage done to such roads by quarry trucks is compensated for. This is usually in the form of a contribution which is payable per tonne transferred on these regional roads.

Lake Macquarie City Council does not currently have a Section 94 plan to impose such contributions, nor is it their intention to introduce such a requirement.

Consequently, Metromix intends to hold discussions with the Council in relation to the calculation of a contribution in relation to the above site. The contribution will be based upon such issues as current condition, projected maintenance costs, the extent of affected roads (i.e. those not already partially funded by RTA), pavement design etc.

Such a contribution would cover all types of road maintenance especially those specifically referred to in the Road Block agreement which is submitted by councils to the RTA to obtain funding for regional roads as set out below.

Such a contribution would cover all types of road maintenance especially those specifically referred to in the RTA Road Block Agreement Application/Forms which are submitted by councils to the RTA to obtain funding for regional roads as set out below.

Consequently, many of the deficiencies highlighted earlier in this chapter could be addressed by such maintenance works.

It is believed therefore that the proposed contribution would address all of the impacts which would result from the trucks associated with the ongoing operation of the quarry.

Such a contribution would be offered towards improvements on regional roads but such contributions cannot be imposed upon state roads or local roads. If the road is state funded, such contributions cannot be imposed as this would be seen as "double dipping". Local road improvements are generally funded by rates.

### 7.2 PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

It is proposed that in order to mitigate the impacts on local residents a "Truck Code of Conduct' is adopted by all drivers travelling to and from Teralba Quarry. The requirements contained within such a code of conduct could include the following:-

- Defining times of truck operation, especially through Teralba;
- Set truck speed limits;
- Propose duty of care to other drivers and especially pedestrians; and
- Set up a complaints procedure.

This truck code of conduct would be signed by all drivers and, if complaints are received, could ultimately lead to disciplinary action for non complying drivers.

## 8. CONCLUSIONS

An assessment of the traffic impacts relating to the project has been made in line with the Director-General's Requirements and requirements provided by the Roads and Traffic Authority and Lake Macquarie City Council.

- The traffic generated by the extension of the quarry's existing operation has been estimated.
- An assessment of the crash history has concluded that no quarry trucks have been involved in the crashes identified on the surrounding road network. As Metromix will not be increasing production at the quarry over existing levels, there is no reason to believe that this will result in adverse safety conditions.
- Traffic counts have been undertaken at intersections and along road links at key points around the local road network.
- Traffic modelling has shown that the intersections on the road network will continue to operate successfully with the quarry traffic in current conditions and in 2022 (10 years after an approval is granted for the quarry extensions).
- In order to ensure that the roads continue to operate at adequate levels in terms of structural integrity, etc. the quarry has committed to payment of a contribution to Council based upon the tonnage leaving the site but the level of such a contribution will need to be discussed in detail with the Council.
- A code of conduct for drivers at the quarry will be put in place to ensure that unacceptable driver behaviour is minimised.

In summary, it is believed that the proposed road network around the Teralba Quarry is able to accommodate the trucks from the continued operation of quarry at existing levels. Metromix however intends to contribute funds to help address future maintenance of Council funded road to acceptable levels, relative to the damage caused by the trucks travelling to and from Teralba Quarry.
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Automatic Vehicle Counts

|  | Site | Location |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | William St | North of Short St | Teralba |
| 2 | York St | South of Short St | Teralba |
| 3 | Railway St | North of Railway Overbridge | Teralba |
| 4 | Toronto Rd | South of Lake Crescent | Teralba |
| 5 | Wakefield Rd | South of Rhondda Rd | Teralba |
| 6 | Wakefield Rd | North of Rhondda Rd | Teralba |
| 7 | Rhondda Rd | West of Metromix Access | Teralba |
| 8 | Rhondda Rd | East of Metromix Access | Teralba |
| 9 | Railway St | North of Rhondda Rd | Teralba |
| 10 | MR217 (Five Islands Rd) | North of Anzac Parade | Teralba |
| 11 | MR217 (Lake Rd) | Waratah Golf Course | Cockle Creek |
| 12 | Northville Rd | East of Carinda Ave | Northville |
| 13 | MR217 (Toronto Rd) | North of Enterprise Way | Voodrising |
| 14 | The Esplanade | Speers Point Park | Speers Point |



|  | les <br> - North | Short S |  |  |  |  |  | rthbou |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day | Mon | Tue | Wed | T7nu | Fri | Sat | Ston | W/Day | W/End | 7 Day |
| Time | 14-Jul-08 | 15-Jul-08 | 16-Jul-08 | 17-Jul-08 | 18-Jul-08 | 19-Jul-08 | 20-Jul-08 | Ave. | Ave. | Ave |
| 0:00 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 4 | 11 | 6 |
| 1:00 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 10 | 5 |
| 2:00 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| 3:00 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 4:00 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 13 | 16 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 9 |
| 5:00 | 61 | 55 | 55 | 54 | 58 | 32 | 18 | 57 | 25 | 48 |
| 6:00 | 94 | 107 | 111 | 105 | 86 | 28 | 12 | 101 | 20 | 78 |
| 7:00 | 88 | 109 | 101 | 85 | 85 | 42 | 23 | 94 | 33 | 76 |
| 8:00 | 89 | 84 | 105 | 84 | 75 | 67 | 44 | 87 | 56 | 78 |
| 9:00 | 82 | 94 | 108 | 88 | 89 | 76 | 81 | 92 | 79 | 88 |
| 10:00 | 76 | 89 | 88 | 118 | 81 | 88 | 76 | 90 | 82 | 88 |
| 11:00 | 104 | 104 | 118 | 96 | 102 | 129 | 78 | 105 | 104 | 104 |
| 12:00 | 113 | 103 | 91 | 115 | 99 | 141 | 92 | 104 | 117 | 108 |
| 13:00 | 103 | 126 | 114 | 100 | 104 | 113 | 81 | 109 | 97 | 106 |
| 14:00 | 127 | 103 | 100 | 126 | 117 | 97 | 89 | 115 | 93 | 108 |
| 15:00 | 122 | 147 | 155 | 140 | 144 | 92 | 97 | 142 | 95 | 128 |
| 16:00 | 137 | 135 | 160 | 133 | 142 | 91 | 95 | 141 | 93 | 128 |
| 17:00 | 147 | 156 | 150 | 140 | 140 | 97 | 86 | 147 | 92 | 131 |
| 18:00 | 72 | 63 | 70 | 92 | 81 | 57 | 46 | 76 | 52 | 69 |
| 19:00 | 35 | 32 | 47 | 58 | 52 | 31 | 29 | 45 | 30 | 41 |
| 20:00 | 21 | 45 | 38 | 47 | 27 | 33 | 27 | 36 | 30 | 34 |
| 21:00 | 33 | 47 | 32 | 45 | 28 | 22 | 25 | 37 | 24 | 33 |
| 22:00 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 17 | 20 | 21 | 20 |
| 23:00 | 10 | 17 | 14 | 11 | 17 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Total | 1556 | 1651 | 1689 | 1685 | 1583 | 1305 | 1062 | 1633 | 1184 | 1504 |
| Anerage Week Day |  |  |  |  |  | Summary from |  |  | to |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AM Peak | $10: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 11:00 |  | 118 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PM Peak | 4:00 PM | 5:00 |  | 160 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Week Day Average |  |  |  | 1633 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Weekend Day Average |  |  |  | 1184 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 Day Average |  |  |  | 1504 |



| All Ve York S | South or | ort St |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Day } \\ & \text { Time } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Stun | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { W/Day } \\ \text { Ave. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { W/End } \\ \text { Ave. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7 Day } \\ \text { Ave } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 14-Jul-08 | 15-Jul-08 | 16-Jul-08 | 17-Jul-08 | 18-Jul-08 | 19-Jul-08 | 20-Jul-08 |  |  |  |
| 0:00 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 18 | 3 | 16 | 7 |
| 1:00 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 9 | 5 |
| 2:00 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3:00 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| 4:00 | 11 | 17 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 2 | 13 | 7 | 11 |
| 5:00 | 52 | 54 | 48 | 55 | 50 | 20 | 12 | 52 | 16 | 42 |
| 6:00 | 103 | 113 | 114 | 112 | 98 | 31 | 9 | 108 | 20 | 83 |
| 7:00 | 118 | 117 | 125 | 110 | 109 | 59 | 39 | 116 | 49 | 97 |
| 8:00 | 116 | 109 | 140 | 119 | 131 | 101 | 57 | 123 | 79 | 110 |
| 9:00 | 126 | 140 | 161 | 153 | 130 | 143 | 103 | 142 | 123 | 137 |
| 10:00 | 129 | 113 | 151 | 137 | 130 | 169 | 110 | 132 | 140 | 134 |
| 11:00 | 136 | 139 | 150 | 164 | 163 | 215 | 128 | 150 | 172 | 156 |
| 12:00 | 145 | 128 | 132 | 156 | 133 | 201 | 111 | 139 | 156 | 144 |
| 13:00 | 135 | 168 | 151 | 156 | 174 | 182 | 126 | 157 | 154 | 156 |
| 14:00 | 175 | 149 | 158 | 185 | 180 | 158 | 132 | 169 | 145 | 162 |
| 15:00 | 162 | 207 | 217 | 205 | 179 | 157 | 111 | 194 | 134 | 177 |
| 16:00 | 154 | 174 | 192 | 183 | 203 | 124 | 105 | 181 | 115 | 162 |
| 17:00 | 163 | 185 | 156 | 194 | 155 | 123 | 120 | 171 | 122 | 157 |
| 18:00 | 80 | 86 | 94 | 106 | 89 | 69 | 58 | 91 | 64 | 83 |
| 19:00 | 40 | 70 | 55 | 70 | 55 | 44 | 37 | 58 | 41 | 53 |
| 20:00 | 35 | 50 | 42 | 41 | 35 | 31 | 38 | 41 | 35 | 39 |
| 21:00 | 28 | 38 | 25 | 46 | 46 | 34 | 24 | 37 | 29 | 34 |
| 22:00 | 19 | 20 | 25 | 23 | 32 | 36 | 13 | 24 | 25 | 24 |
| 23:00 | 9 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 17 | 12 | 12 | 15 | 13 |
| Total | 1948 | 2101 | 2168 | 2254 | 2130 | 1956 | 1378 | 2120 | 1667 | 1991 |
| Average Week Day |  |  |  |  |  | Summary <br> from |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AM Peak | 11:00 AM | 12:00 |  | 164 |
|  |  | - | - |  |  | PM Peak | 3:00 PM | 4:00 |  | 217 |
|  |  | - | $\square$ |  |  | Week Day Average |  |  |  | 2120 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Weekend Day Average |  |  |  | 1667 |
|  | $3$ | $89$ | $131415$ | 192021 |  | 7 Day Average |  |  |  | 1991 |



## All Vehicles

Railway St - North of Railway Overbridge


## All Vehicles



| All Ve Toront | cles | L Lake | cent |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Day } \\ & \text { Time } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Stm | $\begin{gathered} \text { W/Day } \\ \text { Ave. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | W/End Ave. | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 7 Day } \\ \text { Ave } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 14-Jul-08 | 15-Jul-08 | 16-Jul-08 | 17-Jul-08 | 18-Jul-08 | 19-Jul-08 | 20-Jul-08 |  |  |  |
| 0:00 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| 1:00 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 3:00 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| 4:00 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 9 |
| 5:00 | 22 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 29 | 14 | 9 | 24 | 12 | 21 |
| 6:00 | 51 | 50 | 64 | 56 | 59 | 28 | 21 | 56 | 25 | 47 |
| 7:00 | 95 | 104 | 95 | 97 | 80 | 39 | 29 | 94 | 34 | 77 |
| 8:00 | 103 | 83 | 128 | 113 | 108 | 82 | 66 | 107 | 74 | 98 |
| 9:00 | 127 | 101 | 129 | 118 | 133 | 111 | 77 | 122 | 94 | 114 |
| 10:00 | 130 | 123 | 122 | 147 | 139 | 123 | 85 | 132 | 104 | 124 |
| 11:00 | 111 | 109 | 141 | 130 | 130 | 126 | 84 | 124 | 105 | 119 |
| 12:00 | 126 | 131 | 122 | 132 | 141 | 136 | 94 | 130 | 115 | 126 |
| 13:00 | 132 | 139 | 129 | 144 | 125 | 126 | 88 | 134 | 107 | 126 |
| 14:00 | 156 | 187 | 152 | 166 | 158 | 135 | 104 | 164 | 120 | 151 |
| 15:00 | 142 | 178 | 181 | 167 | 167 | 119 | 90 | 167 | 105 | 149 |
| 16:00 | 142 | 166 | 174 | 181 | 154 | 136 | 101 | 163 | 119 | 151 |
| 17:00 | 126 | 134 | 128 | 126 | 151 | 117 | 79 | 133 | 98 | 123 |
| 18:00 | 70 | 81 | 76 | 79 | 95 | 67 | 44 | 80 | 56 | 73 |
| 19:00 | 38 | 45 | 62 | 59 | 39 | 29 | 42 | 49 | 36 | 45 |
| 20:00 | 38 | 26 | 30 | 32 | 43 | 30 | 18 | 34 | 24 | 31 |
| 21:00 | 23 | 18 | 21 | 35 | 12 | 19 | 21 | 22 | 20 | 21 |
| 22:00 | 9 | 16 | 12 | 9 | 22 | 22 | 6 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 23:00 | 3 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 10 |
| Total | 1671 | 1747 | 1826 | 1855 | 1820 | 1506 | 1078 | 1784 | 1292 | 1643 |
| Average Week Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | from |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AM Peak | 10:00 AM | 11:00 |  | 147 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PM Peak | 2:00 PM | 3:00 |  | 187 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Week Day Average |  |  |  | 1784 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Weekend Day Average |  |  |  | 1292 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 Day Average |  |  |  | 1643 |



| All Ve Wakefi | cles Rd - S | of Rho | Rd |  |  |  |  | rthbou |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Day } \\ & \text { Time } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sten | W/Day Ave. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { W/End } \\ & \text { Ave. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7 Day } \\ \text { Ave } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 14-Jut-08 | 15-Jul-08 | 16-Jul-08 | 17-Jul-08 | 18-Jul-08 | 19-Jul-08 | 20-Jul-08 |  |  |  |
| 0:00 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 7 |
| 1:00 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| 2:00 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| 3:00 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4:00 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 11 |
| 5:00 | 53 | 53 | 58 | 43 | 52 | 22 | 14 | 52 | 18 | 42 |
| 6:00 | 100 | 104 | 97 | 103 | 102 | 36 | 14 | 101 | 25 | 79 |
| 7:00 | 141 | 134 | 141 | 143 | 125 | 30 | 24 | 137 | 27 | 105 |
| 8:00 | 135 | 142 | 150 | 163 | 158 | 67 | 47 | 150 | 57 | 123 |
| 9:00 | 79 | 76 | 81 | 88 | 77 | 76 | 74 | 80 | 75 | 79 |
| 10:00 | 79 | 68 | 79 | 70 | 61 | 85 | 75 | 71 | 80 | 74 |
| 11:00 | 67 | 72 | 62 | 63 | 68 | 96 | 77 | 66 | 87 | 72 |
| 12:00 | 66 | 58 | 59 | 66 | 79 | 107 | 87 | 66 | 97 | 75 |
| 13:00 | 102 | 78 | 98 | 76 | 85 | 87 | 82 | 88 | 85 | 87 |
| 14:00 | 102 | 77 | 92 | 92 | 98 | 77 | 80 | 92 | 79 | 88 |
| 15:00 | 133 | 147 | 142 | 141 | 149 | 77 | 97 | 142 | 87 | 127 |
| 16:00 | 125 | 134 | 138 | 138 | 113 | 84 | 101 | 130 | 93 | 119 |
| 17:00 | 125 | 121 | 123 | 127 | 125 | 86 | 79 | 124 | 83 | 112 |
| 18:00 | 62 | 55 | 73 | 68 | 71 | 55 | 47 | 66 | 51 | 62 |
| 19:00 | 27 | 31 | 39 | 36 | 43 | 30 | 25 | 35 | 28 | 33 |
| 20:00 | 16 | 17 | 26 | 30 | 28 | 21 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| 21:00 | 22 | 31 | 26 | 30 | 29 | 21 | 11 | 28 | 16 | 24 |
| 22:00 | 12 | 24 | 14 | 14 | 17 | 13 | 9 | 16 | 11 | 15 |
| 23:00 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 16 | 10 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 9 |
| Total | 1479 | 1464 | 1542 | 1542 | 1532 | 1119 | 1001 | 1512 | 1060 | 1383 |
| Average Week Day |  |  |  |  |  | Summary |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ummary from |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AM Peak | 8.00 AM | 9.00 |  | 163 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PM Peak | 3.00 PM | $4: 00$ |  | 149 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Week Day Average |  |  |  | 1512 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Weekend Day Average |  |  |  | 1060 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 Day Average |  |  |  | 1383 |

All Vehicles

| Wakefi | Rd - S | Rho | Rd |  |  |  |  | uthbo |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Day } \\ & \text { Time } \end{aligned}$ | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Stm | $\begin{gathered} \text { W/Day } \\ \text { Ave. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W/End } \\ & \text { Ave. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7 Day } \\ \text { Ave } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 14-Jul-08 | 15-Jul-08 | 16-Jul-08 | 17-Jul-08 | 18-Jul-08 | 19-Jul-08 | 20-Jul-08 |  |  |  |
| 0:00 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 8 |
| 1:00 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 3 |
| 2:00 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 3:00 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 4 |
| 4:00 | 21 | 18 | 17 | 22 | 16 | 9 | 7 | 19 | 8 | 16 |
| 5:00 | 66 | 66 | 57 | 58 | 58 | 29 | 15 | 61 | 22 | 50 |
| 6:00 | 85 | 91 | 79 | 94 | 82 | 28 | 22 | 86 | 25 | 69 |
| 7:00 | 112 | 115 | 122 | 128 | 101 | 39 | 26 | 116 | 33 | 92 |
| 8:00 | 104 | 107 | 108 | 101 | 94 | 73 | 64 | 103 | 69 | 93 |
| 9:00 | 76 | 83 | 85 | 75 | 76 | 86 | 92 | 79 | 89 | 82 |
| 10:00 | 74 | 73 | 84 | 79 | 78 | 103 | 79 | 78 | 91 | 81 |
| 11:00 | 61 | 81 | 70 | 65 | 74 | 88 | 96 | 70 | 92 | 76 |
| 12:00 | 64 | 88 | 81 | 87 | 107 | 99 | 87 | 85 | 93 | 88 |
| 13:00 | 75 | 85 | 88 | 77 | 99 | 95 | 94 | 85 | 95 | 88 |
| 14:00 | 78 | 83 | 130 | 100 | 113 | 70 | 89 | 101 | 80 | 95 |
| 15:00 | 134 | 144 | 143 | 141 | 136 | 95 | 66 | 140 | 81 | 123 |
| 16:00 | 146 | 178 | 177 | 134 | 130 | 104 | 116 | 153 | 110 | 141 |
| 17:00 | 108 | 122 | 134 | 127 | 126 | 72 | 63 | 123 | 68 | 107 |
| 18:00 | 47 | 64 | 61 | 70 | 73 | 44 | 40 | 63 | 42 | 57 |
| 19:00 | 22 | 21 | 26 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 14 | 26 | 21 | 24 |
| 20:00 | 23 | 21 | 15 | 28 | 21 | 17 | 24 | 22 | 21 | 21 |
| 21:00 | 19 | 22 | 21 | 24 | 19 | 21 | 17 | 21 | 19 | 20 |
| 22:00 | 18 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 25 | 20 | 12 | 20 | 16 | 19 |
| 23:00 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 13 |
| Total | 1356 | 1511 | 1548 | 1487 | 1491 | 1154 | 1061 | 1479 | 1108 | 1373 |
| Average Week Day |  |  |  |  |  | Summary |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AM Peak | $7: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | $8: 00$ |  | 128 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PM Peak | 4:00 PM | 5:00 |  | 178 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Week Day Average |  |  |  | 1479 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Weekend Day Average |  |  |  | 1108 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 Day Average |  |  |  | 1373 |

## All Vehicles

Wakefield Rd - North of Rhondda Rd

| Wake | Rd - No | f R |  |  |  |  |  | rthbo |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D a y$Time | Mon | Tue | Wed | TThu | Fri | Sat | Ston | $\begin{gathered} \text { W/Day } \\ \text { Ave. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W/End } \\ & \text { Ave. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7Day } \\ \text { Ave } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 14-Jul-08 | 15-Jul-08 | 16-Jul-08 | 17-Jul-08 | 18-Jul-08 | 19-Jul-08 | 20-Jul-08 |  |  |  |
| 0:00 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 8 | 13 | 9 |
| 1:00 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 10 | 5 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 3 |
| 3:00 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| 4:00 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5:00 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 3 | 11 | 7 | 10 |
| 6:00 | 43 | 55 | 47 | 45 | 62 | 29 | 23 | 50 | 26 | 43 |
| 7:00 | 89 | 88 | 98 | 95 | 83 | 21 | 6 | 91 | 14 | 69 |
| 8:00 | 75 | 83 | 75 | 92 | 82 | 33 | 21 | 81 | 27 | 66 |
| 9:00 | 85 | 98 | 104 | 105 | 89 | 45 | 37 | 96 | 41 | 80 |
| 10:00 | 69 | 65 | 81 | 60 | 63 | 55 | 53 | 68 | 54 | 64 |
| 11:00 | 66 | 68 | 84 | 69 | 90 | 87 | 60 | 75 | 74 | 75 |
| 12:00 | 58 | 79 | 72 | 61 | 82 | 84 | 79 | 70 | 82 | 74 |
| 13:00 | 62 | 73 | 73 | 72 | 89 | 88 | 83 | 74 | 86 | 77 |
| 14:00 | 80 | 82 | 83 | 80 | 106 | 93 | 72 | 86 | 83 | 85 |
| 15:00 | 97 | 94 | 85 | 103 | 98 | 86 | 79 | 95 | 83 | 92 |
| 16:00 | 96 | 107 | 100 | 102 | 108 | 78 | 69 | 103 | 74 | 94 |
| 17:00 | 102 | 112 | 132 | 119 | 108 | 79 | 83 | 115 | 81 | 105 |
| 18:00 | 86 | 101 | 92 | 93 | 99 | 70 | 77 | 94 | 74 | 88 |
| 19:00 | 34 | 52 | 56 | 44 | 52 | 33 | 37 | 48 | 35 | 44 |
| 20:00 | 16 | 25 | 34 | 30 | 36 | 22 | 19 | 28 | 21 | 26 |
| 21:00 | 9 | 11 | 27 | 21 | 20 | 13 | 14 | 18 | 14 | 16 |
| 22:00 | 25 | 36 | 31 | 34 | 24 | 14 | 9 | 30 | 12 | 25 |
| 23:00 | 9 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 15 | 18 | 13 | 13 | 16 | 14 |
| Total | 1128 | 1270 | 1322 | 1271 | 1347 | 996 | 872 | 1268 | 934 | 1172 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Summary |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AM Peak | 9.00 AM | 10:00 AM |  | 105 |
|  | - |  |  |  |  | PM Peak | 5:00 PM | 6:00 |  | 132 |
|  |  |  | , |  |  | Week Day Average |  |  |  | 1268 |
|  |  | - | - | - |  | Weekend Day Average |  |  |  | 934 |
|  | $234$ | $89$ |  | $19 \quad 20 \quad 21$ |  | 7 Day Average |  |  |  | 1172 |


| All Ve Wakefi | cles Rd - N | of Rho | Rd |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day <br> Time | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W/Day } \\ & \text { Ave. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | W/End Ave. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 7 Day } \\ & \text { Ave } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 14-Jul-08 | 15-Jul-08 | 16-Jul-08 | 17-Jul-08 | 18-Jul-08 | 19-Jul-08 | 20-Jul-08 |  |  |  |
| 0:00 | 1 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 1:00 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 3 |
| 2:00 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 3 |
| 4:00 | 15 | 15 | 12 | 17 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 14 | 8 | 12 |
| 5:00 | 46 | 49 | 45 | 44 | 40 | 31 | 12 | 45 | 22 | 38 |
| 6:00 | 69 | 62 | 53 | 69 | 55 | 25 | 20 | 62 | 23 | 50 |
| 7:00 | 90 | 94 | 110 | 110 | 100 | 42 | 24 | 101 | 33 | 81 |
| 8:00 | 92 | 99 | 100 | 98 | 98 | 68 | 64 | 97 | 66 | 88 |
| 9:00 | 72 | 85 | 97 | 73 | 70 | 82 | 63 | 79 | 73 | 77 |
| 10:00 | 72 | 71 | 86 | 78 | 80 | 104 | 69 | 77 | 87 | 80 |
| 11:00 | 57 | 73 | 69 | 67 | 72 | 83 | 79 | 68 | 81 | 71 |
| 12:00 | 62 | 85 | 66 | 82 | 103 | 99 | 86 | 80 | 93 | 83 |
| 13:00 | 70 | 79 | 74 | 81 | 85 | 82 | 86 | 78 | 84 | 80 |
| 14:00 | 74 | 77 | 111 | 104 | 118 | 67 | 94 | 97 | 81 | 92 |
| 15:00 | 127 | 135 | 132 | 137 | 123 | 85 | 63 | 131 | 74 | 115 |
| 16:00 | 140 | 177 | 171 | 117 | 116 | 77 | 82 | 144 | 80 | 126 |
| 17:00 | 91 | 104 | 119 | 121 | 111 | 77 | 68 | 109 | 73 | 99 |
| 18:00 | 43 | 60 | 55 | 56 | 74 | 41 | 43 | 58 | 42 | 53 |
| 19:00 | 24 | 21 | 26 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 11 | 25 | 18 | 23 |
| 20:00 | 22 | 20 | 12 | 23 | 22 | 14 | 17 | 20 | 16 | 19 |
| 21:00 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 15 | 20 | 17 | 16 | 19 | 17 |
| 22:00 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 22 | 17 | 7 | 17 | 12 | 15 |
| 23:00 | 15 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 14 | 9 | 10 | 16 | 10 | 14 |
| Total | 1218 | 1374 | 1407 | 1373 | 1377 | 1082 | 947 | 1350 | 1015 | 1254 |
| Average Week Day |  |  |  |  |  | Summary |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AM Peak <br> PM Peak | ummary from <br> 7:00 AM <br> 4:00 PM <br> Wee <br> Weeken |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 110 \\ 177 \\ 1350 \\ 1015 \\ 1254 \end{gathered}$ |

## All Vehicles

Rhondda Rd - West of Metromix Access Eastbound


## All Vehicles



| All Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rhondda Rd - East of Metromix Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | astbound |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Day } \\ & \text { Time } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thut | Fri | Sat | Sten | W/DayAve. | W/End Ave. | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7Day } \\ \text { Ave } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 14-Jul-08 | 15-Jul-08 | 16-Jul-08 | 17-Jul-08 | 18-Jul-08 | 19-Jul-08 | 20-Jul-08 |  |  |  |
| 0:00 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| 1:00 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 3:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 4:00 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 5:00 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 49 | 20 | 17 | 16 | 19 | 17 |
| 6:00 | 38 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 57 | 20 | 5 | 35 | 13 | 29 |
| 7:00 | 41 | 37 | 39 | 28 | 45 | 27 | 14 | 38 | 21 | 33 |
| 8:00 | 35 | 60 | 54 | 56 | 28 | 36 | 16 | 47 | 26 | 41 |
| 9:00 | 23 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 38 | 22 | 45 | 33 | 34 | 33 |
| 10:00 | 18 | 24 | 32 | 31 | 28 | 33 | 23 | 27 | 28 | 27 |
| 11:00 | 19 | 25 | 34 | 31 | 27 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| 12:00 | 20 | 30 | 15 | 44 | 30 | 28 | 21 | 28 | 25 | 27 |
| 13:00 | 27 | 29 | 32 | 34 | 33 | 26 | 20 | 31 | 23 | 29 |
| 14:00 | 29 | 22 | 40 | 39 | 34 | 24 | 19 | 33 | 22 | 30 |
| 15:00 | 49 | 47 | 56 | 41 | 54 | 23 | 22 | 49 | 23 | 42 |
| 16:00 | 76 | 64 | 72 | 42 | 40 | 39 | 29 | 59 | 34 | 52 |
| 17:00 | 51 | 60 | 62 | 33 | 44 | 28 | 21 | 50 | 25 | 43 |
| 18:00 | 27 | 39 | 25 | 20 | 16 | 17 | 6 | 25 | 12 | 21 |
| 19:00 | 20 | 16 | 22 | 9 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 12 |
| 20:00 | 5 | 6 | 17 | 12 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 8 |
| 21:00 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 6 |
| 22:00 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 6 |
| 23:00 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| Total | 496 | 561 | 601 | 526 | 562 | 401 | 317 | 549 | 359 | 495 |
| Average Week Day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | from |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AM Peak | 8.00 Am | $9: 00$ |  | 60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PM Peak | 4:00 PM | 5:00 |  | 76 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Week Day Average |  |  |  | 549 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Weekend Day Average |  |  |  | 359 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 Day Average |  |  |  | 495 |


| All Ve Rhond | cles Rd - Ea | Metrom | ccess |  |  |  |  | estbou |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun | W/Day | W/End | 7 Day |
| Time | 14-Jul-08 | 15-Jul-08 | 16-Jul-08 | 17-Jul-08 | 18-Jul-08 | 19-Jul-08 | 20-Jul-08 | Ave. | Ave. | Ave |
| 0:00 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 1:00 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2:00 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3:00 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:00 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| 5:00 | 47 | 47 | 36 | 42 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 35 | 4 | 26 |
| 6:00 | 60 | 69 | 66 | 60 | 30 | 12 | 5 | 57 | 9 | 43 |
| 7:00 | 51 | 64 | 59 | 48 | 31 | 16 | 6 | 51 | 11 | 39 |
| 8:00 | 36 | 53 | 52 | 40 | 45 | 18 | 8 | 45 | 13 | 36 |
| 9:00 | 34 | 34 | 51 | 34 | 23 | 19 | 16 | 35 | 18 | 30 |
| 10:00 | 23 | 31 | 31 | 49 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 32 | 22 | 29 |
| 11:00 | 31 | 40 | 32 | 27 | 31 | 42 | 15 | 32 | 29 | 31 |
| 12:00 | 24 | 32 | 38 | 17 | 31 | 39 | 31 | 28 | 35 | 30 |
| 13:00 | 36 | 40 | 50 | 25 | 28 | 23 | 21 | 36 | 22 | 32 |
| 14:00 | 33 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 40 | 38 | 25 | 33 | 32 | 32 |
| 15:00 | 42 | 40 | 48 | 51 | 53 | 31 | 36 | 47 | 34 | 43 |
| 16:00 | 39 | 35 | 52 | 65 | 65 | 28 | 35 | 51 | 32 | 46 |
| 17:00 | 35 | 38 | 37 | 72 | 67 | 50 | 46 | 50 | 48 | 49 |
| 18:00 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 27 | 38 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 20 |
| 19:00 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 7 |
| 20:00 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 10 |
| 21:00 | 14 | 23 | 15 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 14 | 7 | 12 |
| 22:00 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 6 |
| 23:00 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Total | 545 | 617 | 644 | 647 | 577 | 416 | 319 | 606 | 368 | 538 |
| Average Week Day |  |  |  |  |  | Summary from |  |  | to |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AM Peak | 6:00 AM |  |  | 69 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PM Peak | 5:00 PM | 6:00 |  | 72 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Week Day Average |  |  |  | 606 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Weekend Day Average |  |  |  | 368 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 Day Average |  |  |  | 538 |


| All Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Railway St - North of Rhondda Rd |  |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  |
| Day <br> Time | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Stm | $\begin{gathered} \text { W/Day } \\ \text { Ave. } \end{gathered}$ | W/End Ave. | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 7Day } \\ \text { Ave } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 14-Jul-08 | 15-Jul-08 | 16-Jul-08 | 17-Jul-08 | 18-Jul-08 | 19-Jul-08 | 20-Jul-08 |  |  |  |
| 0:00 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| 1:00 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| 2:00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3:00 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 2 |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 4:00 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| 5:00 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| 6:00 | 56 | 35 | 49 | 42 | 40 | 17 | 8 | 44 | 13 | 35 |
| 7:00 | 51 | 63 | 54 | 47 | 37 | 21 | 6 | 50 | 14 | 40 |
| 8:00 | 55 | 75 | 84 | 72 | 64 | 30 | 11 | 70 | 21 | 56 |
| 9:00 | 37 | 60 | 35 | 44 | 48 | 33 | 28 | 45 | 31 | 41 |
| 10:00 | 40 | 38 | 49 | 35 | 35 | 41 | 31 | 39 | 36 | 38 |
| 11:00 | 39 | 45 | 60 | 32 | 50 | 51 | 27 | 45 | 39 | 43 |
| 12:00 | 41 | 52 | 52 | 36 | 43 | 65 | 47 | 45 | 56 | 48 |
| 13:00 | 45 | 53 | 53 | 55 | 43 | 48 | 34 | 50 | 41 | 47 |
| 14:00 | 51 | 55 | 61 | 49 | 48 | 46 | 36 | 53 | 41 | 49 |
| 15:00 | 63 | 76 | 80 | 66 | 63 | 50 | 55 | 70 | 53 | 65 |
| 16:00 | 98 | 86 | 86 | 92 | 83 | 38 | 46 | 89 | 42 | 76 |
| 17:00 | 56 | 70 | 71 | 76 | 76 | 64 | 61 | 70 | 63 | 68 |
| 18:00 | 35 | 35 | 39 | 40 | 49 | 24 | 25 | 40 | 25 | 35 |
| 19:00 | 25 | 22 | 25 | 19 | 13 | 16 | 12 | 21 | 14 | 19 |
| 20:00 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 14 | 18 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 12 |
| 21:00 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 8 |
| 22:00 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| 23:00 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 6 |
| Total | 728 | 825 | 861 | 775 | 760 | 601 | 456 | 790 | 529 | 715 |
| Average Week Day |  |  |  |  |  | Summary <br> from |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AM Peak | $8: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | $9: 00$ |  | 84 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PM Peak | 4:00 PM | 5:00 |  | 98 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Week Day Average |  |  |  | 790 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Weekend Day Average |  |  |  | 529 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 Day Average |  |  |  | 715 |




## All Vehicles



| All Ve MR217 | Lake Rd) Lates | aratah | Course |  |  |  |  | rthbou |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day <br> Time | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Stan | $\begin{gathered} \text { W/Day } \\ \text { Ave. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { W/End } \\ \text { Ave. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7 Day } \\ \text { Ave } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 14-Jul-08 | 15-Jul-08 | 16-Jul-08 | 17-Jul-08 | 18-Jul-08 | 19-Jul-08 | 20-Jul-08 |  |  |  |
| 0:00 | 21 | 33 | 27 | 58 | 47 | 63 | 99 | 37 | 81 | 50 |
| 1:00 | 18 | 14 | 18 | 19 | 22 | 40 | 48 | 18 | 44 | 26 |
| 2:00 | 21 | 18 | 20 | 26 | 19 | 25 | 29 | 21 | 27 | 23 |
| 3:00 | 36 | 36 | 37 | 34 | 38 | 31 | 26 | 36 | 29 | 34 |
| 4:00 | 105 | 103 | 98 | 93 | 89 | 55 | 38 | 98 | 47 | 83 |
| 5:00 | 333 | 305 | 326 | 331 | 320 | 149 | 82 | 323 | 116 | 264 |
| 6:00 | 653 | 704 | 712 | 711 | 681 | 226 | 109 | 692 | 168 | 542 |
| 7:00 | 886 | 854 | 904 | 991 | 973 | 324 | 202 | 922 | 263 | 733 |
| 8:00 | 966 | 1086 | 1026 | 1210 | 1235 | 695 | 403 | 1105 | 549 | 946 |
| 9:00 | 798 | 856 | 967 | 1047 | 1041 | 902 | 701 | 942 | 802 | 902 |
| 10:00 | 860 | 960 | 931 | 1009 | 1009 | 1144 | 889 | 954 | 1017 | 972 |
| 11:00 | 907 | 905 | 995 | 946 | 999 | 1167 | 1004 | 950 | 1086 | 989 |
| 12:00 | 804 | 914 | 892 | 903 | 879 | 1090 | 924 | 878 | 1007 | 915 |
| 13:00 | 780 | 887 | 903 | 864 | 916 | 1011 | 902 | 870 | 957 | 895 |
| 14:00 | 792 | 942 | 952 | 923 | 994 | 949 | 777 | 921 | 863 | 904 |
| 15:00 | 933 | 1116 | 1108 | 1082 | 1062 | 851 | 781 | 1060 | 816 | 990 |
| 16:00 | 805 | 927 | 962 | 976 | 911 | 851 | 698 | 916 | 775 | 876 |
| 17:00 | 724 | 781 | 767 | 842 | 725 | 791 | 561 | 768 | 676 | 742 |
| 18:00 | 438 | 591 | 506 | 598 | 544 | 571 | 343 | 535 | 457 | 513 |
| 19:00 | 254 | 301 | 319 | 398 | 346 | 284 | 211 | 324 | 248 | 302 |
| 20:00 | 181 | 230 | 201 | 269 | 273 | 245 | 205 | 231 | 225 | 229 |
| 21:00 | 169 | 206 | 184 | 203 | 237 | 211 | 142 | 200 | 177 | 193 |
| 22:00 | 101 | 126 | 161 | 155 | 170 | 187 | 102 | 143 | 145 | 143 |
| 23:00 | 77 | 83 | 115 | 80 | 105 | 127 | 48 | 92 | 88 | 91 |
| Total | 11662 | 12978 | 13131 | 13768 | 13635 | 11989 | 9324 | 13035 | 10657 | 12355 |
| Average Week Day |  |  |  |  |  | Summary |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AM Peak | 8.00 AM | $9: 00$ |  | 1235 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PM Peak | 3:00 PM | 4:00 |  | 1116 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Week Day Average |  |  |  | 13035 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Weekend Day Average |  |  |  | 10657 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 Day Average |  |  |  | 12355 |


| All Ve MR217 | MR217 (Lake Rd) - Waratah Golf Course |  | Course |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Day | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Stm | W/Day | W/End | 7 Day |
| Time | 14-Jul-08 | 15-Jul-08 | 16-Jul-08 | 17-Jul-08 | 18-Jul-08 | 19-Jul-08 | 20-Jul-08 | Ave. | Ave. | Ave |
| 0:00 | 43 | 45 | 81 | 103 | 91 | 139 | 129 | 73 | 134 | 90 |
| 1:00 | 13 | 25 | 23 | 64 | 29 | 71 | 69 | 31 | 70 | 42 |
| 2:00 | 14 | 18 | 24 | 50 | 28 | 37 | 44 | 27 | 41 | 31 |
| 3:00 | 25 | 19 | 26 | 24 | 28 | 25 | 27 | 24 | 26 | 25 |
| 4:00 | 41 | 49 | 46 | 47 | 45 | 41 | 34 | 46 | 38 | 43 |
| 5:00 | 150 | 154 | 134 | 142 | 151 | 107 | 65 | 146 | 86 | 129 |
| 6:00 | 390 | 446 | 481 | 435 | 435 | 177 | 150 | 437 | 164 | 359 |
| 7:00 | 481 | 529 | 544 | 563 | 485 | 245 | 150 | 520 | 198 | 428 |
| 8:00 | 693 | 740 | 736 | 787 | 681 | 440 | 300 | 727 | 370 | 625 |
| 9:00 | 689 | 709 | 652 | 765 | 695 | 640 | 488 | 702 | 564 | 663 |
| 10:00 | 708 | 786 | 784 | 849 | 796 | 841 | 738 | 785 | 790 | 786 |
| 11:00 | 785 | 816 | 838 | 882 | 977 | 1023 | 904 | 860 | 964 | 889 |
| 12:00 | 887 | 887 | 927 | 936 | 1055 | 1155 | 974 | 938 | 1065 | 974 |
| 13:00 | 925 | 919 | 954 | 888 | 1049 | 1017 | 947 | 947 | 982 | 957 |
| 14:00 | 971 | 1063 | 1091 | 887 | 1155 | 986 | 952 | 1033 | 969 | 1015 |
| 15:00 | 1224 | 1198 | 1239 | 1247 | 1328 | 1018 | 884 | 1247 | 951 | 1163 |
| 16:00 | 1344 | 1336 | 1392 | 1369 | 1359 | 968 | 865 | 1360 | 917 | 1233 |
| 17:00 | 1250 | 1330 | 1317 | 1264 | 1243 | 857 | 659 | 1281 | 758 | 1131 |
| 18:00 | 703 | 766 | 797 | 827 | 691 | 494 | 435 | 757 | 465 | 673 |
| 19:00 | 397 | 393 | 406 | 512 | 387 | 298 | 223 | 419 | 261 | 374 |
| 20:00 | 336 | 334 | 321 | 429 | 306 | 235 | 262 | 345 | 249 | 318 |
| 21:00 | 226 | 341 | 293 | 378 | 279 | 246 | 189 | 303 | 218 | 279 |
| 22:00 | 158 | 170 | 179 | 193 | 242 | 228 | 106 | 188 | 167 | 182 |
| 23:00 | 87 | 131 | 115 | 151 | 204 | 179 | 96 | 138 | 138 | 138 |
| Total | 12540 | 13204 | 13400 | 13792 | 13739 | 11467 | 9690 | 13335 | 10579 | 12547 |
| Average Week Day |  |  |  |  |  | Summary |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AM Peak | 11:00 AM | 12:00 PM |  | 977 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PM Peak | 4:00 PM | 5:00 PM |  | 1392 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Week Day Average |  | 13335 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Weekend Day Average | 10579 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 Day Average | 12547 |

All Vehicles
Northville Rd - East of Carinda Ave Northbound

| North <br> Day <br> Time | Rd - E | arin |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Stun | W/Day | W/End | 7 Day |
|  | 14-Jul-08 | 15-Jul-08 | 16-Jul-08 | 17-Jul-08 | 18-Jul-08 | 19-Jul-08 | 20-Jul-08 | Ave. | Ave. | Ave |
| 0:00 | 6 | 13 | 17 | 13 | 20 | 23 | 33 | 14 | 28 | 18 |
| 1:00 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 7 |
| 2:00 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 15 | 12 | 5 | 14 | 7 |
| 3:00 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 17 | 10 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 14 |
| 4:00 | 31 | 26 | 27 | 23 | 34 | 22 | 6 | 28 | 14 | 24 |
| 5:00 | 100 | 109 | 110 | 107 | 120 | 62 | 33 | 109 | 48 | 92 |
| 6:00 | 241 | 240 | 251 | 263 | 218 | 63 | 37 | 243 | 50 | 188 |
| 7:00 | 365 | 393 | 385 | 372 | 282 | 120 | 62 | 359 | 91 | 283 |
| 8:00 | 374 | 409 | 421 | 421 | 375 | 208 | 140 | 400 | 174 | 335 |
| 9:00 | 308 | 309 | 310 | 316 | 283 | 289 | 216 | 305 | 253 | 290 |
| 10:00 | 266 | 263 | 241 | 256 | 278 | 332 | 245 | 261 | 289 | 269 |
| 11:00 | 251 | 225 | 233 | 234 | 277 | 349 | 273 | 244 | 311 | 263 |
| 12:00 | 209 | 214 | 219 | 219 | 267 | 310 | 283 | 226 | 297 | 246 |
| 13:00 | 250 | 206 | 229 | 206 | 264 | 294 | 256 | 231 | 275 | 244 |
| 14:00 | 255 | 256 | 248 | 263 | 302 | 244 | 238 | 265 | 241 | 258 |
| 15:00 | 315 | 303 | 342 | 304 | 311 | 276 | 219 | 315 | 248 | 296 |
| 16:00 | 296 | 331 | 315 | 322 | 290 | 284 | 234 | 311 | 259 | 296 |
| 17:00 | 246 | 270 | 312 | 303 | 299 | 278 | 267 | 286 | 273 | 282 |
| 18:00 | 167 | 195 | 213 | 208 | 280 | 194 | 154 | 213 | 174 | 202 |
| 19:00 | 97 | 99 | 128 | 119 | 127 | 132 | 87 | 114 | 110 | 113 |
| 20:00 | 66 | 76 | 103 | 87 | 86 | 70 | 75 | 84 | 73 | 80 |
| 21:00 | 50 | 51 | 63 | 67 | 79 | 61 | 46 | 62 | 54 | 60 |
| 22:00 | 24 | 35 | 40 | 40 | 57 | 52 | 27 | 39 | 40 | 39 |
| 23:00 | 22 | 27 | 40 | 27 | 46 | 40 | 19 | 32 | 30 | 32 |
| Total | 3962 | 4074 | 4273 | 4202 | 4322 | 3739 | 2985 | 4167 | 3362 | 3937 |



| Summary |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | from | to |  |
| AM Peak | $8: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 9:00 AM | 421 |
| PM Peak | 3:00 PM | 4:00 PM | 342 |
|  | Week | verage | 4167 |
|  | Weekend | verage | 3362 |
|  |  | verage | 3937 |



## All Vehicles

MR217 (Toronto Rd) - North of Enterprise Way Northbound

| $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { MR217 } \\ \hline \text { Day } \end{array}$ | Toronto Rd) - North of Enterprise Way |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Stm | W/Day | W/End | 7 Day |
| Time | 14-Jul-08 | 15-Jul-08 | 16-Jul-08 | 17-Jul-08 | 18-Jul-08 | 19-Jul-08 | 20-Jul-08 | Ave. | Ave. | Ave |
| 0:00 | 32 | 52 | 49 | 58 | 58 | 76 | 78 | 50 | 77 | 58 |
| 1:00 | 13 | 25 | 19 | 24 | 31 | 51 | 46 | 22 | 49 | 30 |
| 2:00 | 25 | 17 | 24 | 28 | 22 | 48 | 41 | 23 | 45 | 29 |
| 3:00 | 43 | 33 | 38 | 34 | 44 | 40 | 30 | 38 | 35 | 37 |
| 4:00 | 98 | 110 | 90 | 91 | 78 | 52 | 35 | 93 | 44 | 79 |
| 5:00 | 377 | 368 | 365 | 372 | 331 | 155 | 70 | 363 | 113 | 291 |
| 6:00 | 819 | 877 | 892 | 889 | 800 | 271 | 138 | 855 | 205 | 669 |
| 7:00 | 1242 | 1177 | 1206 | 1169 | 1117 | 360 | 221 | 1182 | 291 | 927 |
| 8:00 | 1056 | 1250 | 1146 | 1183 | 1205 | 744 | 446 | 1168 | 595 | 1004 |
| 9:00 | 854 | 946 | 1050 | 1025 | 955 | 886 | 758 | 966 | 822 | 925 |
| 10:00 | 870 | 916 | 1030 | 1079 | 1058 | 1060 | 900 | 991 | 980 | 988 |
| 11:00 | 891 | 864 | 987 | 989 | 1001 | 1156 | 1005 | 946 | 1081 | 985 |
| 12:00 | 788 | 817 | 818 | 857 | 895 | 1041 | 928 | 835 | 985 | 878 |
| 13:00 | 732 | 815 | 784 | 865 | 903 | 896 | 886 | 820 | 891 | 840 |
| 14:00 | 931 | 911 | 877 | 934 | 966 | 818 | 842 | 924 | 830 | 897 |
| 15:00 | 884 | 954 | 984 | 1023 | 986 | 689 | 735 | 966 | 712 | 894 |
| 16:00 | 846 | 839 | 926 | 1027 | 874 | 741 | 754 | 902 | 748 | 858 |
| 17:00 | 776 | 830 | 861 | 879 | 837 | 647 | 573 | 837 | 610 | 772 |
| 18:00 | 437 | 567 | 569 | 625 | 605 | 506 | 365 | 561 | 436 | 525 |
| 19:00 | 229 | 260 | 320 | 358 | 407 | 307 | 256 | 315 | 282 | 305 |
| 20:00 | 202 | 227 | 212 | 218 | 228 | 236 | 192 | 217 | 214 | 216 |
| 21:00 | 137 | 158 | 156 | 175 | 179 | 212 | 140 | 161 | 176 | 165 |
| 22:00 | 112 | 114 | 112 | 149 | 201 | 183 | 96 | 138 | 140 | 138 |
| 23:00 | 65 | 67 | 57 | 77 | 113 | 124 | 48 | 76 | 86 | 79 |
| Total | 12459 | 13194 | 13572 | 14128 | 13894 | 11299 | 9583 | 13449 | 10441 | 12590 |



| Summary |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | from | to |  |
| AM Peak | 8.00 AM | 9:00 AM | 1250 |
| PM Peak | 4:00 PM | 5:00 PM | 1027 |
|  | Week | verage | 13449 |
|  | Weekend | verage | 10441 |
|  | 7 Day Average |  | 12590 |




# Appendix B <br> <br> SIDRA Results 

 <br> <br> SIDRA Results}
$($ No. of pages including blank pages $=24)$

Summaries for Existing Vehicle Flows - Page 1-69 to 1-79
Summaries for 2022 Vehicle Flows - Page 1-80 to 1-90

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
Site: George Booth Dr-Northville
Dr_AM
CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing AM
George Booth Dr-Northville Dr
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{array}{r} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{array}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | f Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Northville Dr (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 68 | 16.2 | 0.250 | 9.3 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.8 | 0.57 | 0.73 | 47.3 |
| 3 R | 407 | 4.7 | 0.250 | 13.7 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.8 | 0.58 | 0.80 | 44.3 |
| Approach | 475 | 6.3 | 0.250 | 13.0 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.8 | 0.58 | 0.79 | 44.7 |
| East: George Booth $\operatorname{Dr}(\mathrm{E})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 220 | 8.2 | 0.217 | 7.7 | LOS A | 1.6 | 12.1 | 0.33 | 0.56 | 48.9 |
| 5 T | 558 | 10.0 | 0.362 | 6.3 | LOS A | 3.2 | 24.4 | 0.35 | 0.48 | 49.8 |
| Approach | 778 | 9.5 | 0.362 | 6.7 | LOS A | 3.2 | 24.4 | 0.35 | 0.50 | 49.5 |
| West: George Booth Dr (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 659 | 8.8 | 0.377 | 7.3 | LOS A | 2.5 | 18.6 | 0.55 | 0.61 | 48.4 |
| 12 R | 105 | 12.4 | 0.378 | 13.3 | LOS A | 2.4 | 18.3 | 0.55 | 0.87 | 45.6 |
| Approach | 764 | 9.3 | 0.377 | 8.1 | LOS A | 2.5 | 18.6 | 0.55 | 0.64 | 48.0 |
| All Vehicles | 2017 | 8.7 | 0.377 | 8.7 | LOS A | 3.2 | 24.4 | 0.48 | 0.62 | 47.7 |

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS A. Based on average delay for all vehicle movements. LOS Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
Processed: Friday, March 25, 2011 5:01:51 PM Copyright © 2000-2010 Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd SID A -

SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0 .5 .1510 will
Project X:ICTLRRV - Teralba Quary 1 SIDRAICTLRRV-SIDRA_Existing.sip
8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING

## SIDRA INTERSECTION

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
Site: George Booth Dr-Northville
Dr_PM
CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing PM
George Booth Dr-Northville Dr
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HV} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | Queue Distance $\mathrm{m}$ $\qquad$ | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Northville Dr (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 61 | 8.2 | 0.232 | 9.7 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.3 | 0.66 | 0.74 | 46.8 |
| 3 R | 339 | 3.2 | 0.232 | 14.4 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.3 | 0.66 | 0.81 | 43.8 |
| Approach | 400 | 4.0 | 0.232 | 13.7 | LOS A | 1.7 | 12.3 | 0.66 | 0.80 | 44.2 |
| East: George Booth $\operatorname{Dr}(\mathrm{E})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 401 | 4.0 | 0.323 | 7.6 | LOS A | 2.7 | 19.2 | 0.39 | 0.57 | 48.5 |
| 5 T | 639 | 6.3 | 0.430 | 6.3 | LOS A | 4.1 | 29.9 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 49.4 |
| Approach | 1040 | 5.4 | 0.430 | 6.8 | LOS A | 4.1 | 29.9 | 0.40 | 0.53 | 49.1 |
| West: George Booth $\operatorname{Dr}(\mathrm{W})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 594 | 9.1 | 0.344 | 7.0 | LOS A | 2.3 | 17.2 | 0.51 | 0.58 | 48.6 |
| 12 R | 131 | 9.2 | 0.345 | 12.9 | LOS A | 2.2 | 16.9 | 0.51 | 0.83 | 45.7 |
| Approach | 725 | 9.1 | 0.344 | 8.0 | LOS A | 2.3 | 17.2 | 0.51 | 0.63 | 48.0 |
| All Vehicles | 2165 | 6.4 | 0.430 | 8.5 | LOS A | 4.1 | 29.9 | 0.48 | 0.61 | 47.7 |

[^2]| Processed: Friday, March 25, 2011 5:01:52 PM | Copyright © 2000-2010 Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd |
| :--- | :--- |
| SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510 | SIDRA |
| Project: X:ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarrylSIDRAICTLRRV-SIDRA_Existing.sip | INTERSNECTION |
| 8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING |  |


| MOVEMENT SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  | Site: Wakefield Rd-Northville Dr_AM |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions <br> Existing AM <br> Wakefield Rd-Northville Dr <br> Roundabout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{array}{r} \text { HV } \\ \text { \% } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg. } \\ & \text { Satn } \\ & \text { v/c } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { sec } \end{gathered}$ | Level of Service | 95\% Back o Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 4 | 25.0 | 0.211 | 7.7 | LOS A | 1.4 | 11.0 | 0.30 | 0.51 | 49.3 |
| 2 T | 73 | 6.8 | 0.206 | 6.0 | LOS A | 1.4 | 11.0 | 0.30 | 0.43 | 49.9 |
| 3 R | 175 | 13.1 | 0.206 | 12.2 | LOSA | 1.4 | 11.0 | 0.30 | 0.70 | 45.7 |
| Approach | 252 | 11.5 | 0.206 | 10.3 | LOS A | 1.4 | 11.0 | 0.30 | 0.62 | 46.8 |
| East: Northville $\operatorname{Dr}(\mathrm{E})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 99 | 17.2 | 0.158 | 7.1 | LOS A | 1.1 | 8.5 | 0.23 | 0.48 | 49.7 |
| 5 T | 6 | 0.0 | 0.158 | 5.5 | LOS A | 1.1 | 8.5 | 0.23 | 0.41 | 50.5 |
| 6 R | 93 | 10.8 | 0.158 | 11.8 | LOS A | 1.1 | 8.5 | 0.23 | 0.70 | 45.9 |
| Approach | 198 | 13.6 | 0.158 | 9.3 | LOS A | 1.1 | 8.5 | 0.23 | 0.58 | 47.8 |
| North: Appletree Rd (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L | 92 | 8.7 | 0.141 | 7.5 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.9 | 0.40 | 0.57 | 49.0 |
| 8 T | 58 | 6.9 | 0.141 | 6.5 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.9 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 49.4 |
| 9 R | 8 | 0.0 | 0.140 | 12.2 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.9 | 0.40 | 0.78 | 46.0 |
| Approach | 158 | 7.6 | 0.141 | 7.4 | LOS A | 0.9 | 6.9 | 0.40 | 0.56 | 49.0 |
| West: Charleton St (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L | 12 | 8.3 | 0.038 | 8.1 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.47 | 0.59 | 48.7 |
| 11 T | 23 | 0.0 | 0.038 | 6.8 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 49.0 |
| 12 R | 3 | 33.3 | 0.038 | 13.9 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.47 | 0.79 | 45.6 |
| Approach | 38 | 5.3 | 0.038 | 7.8 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.47 | 0.57 | 48.6 |
| All Vehicles | 646 | 10.8 | 0.206 | 9.1 | LOS A | 1.4 | 11.0 | 0.31 | 0.59 | 47.7 |

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS A. Based on average delay for all vehicle movements. LOS Method: Delay (RTA NSW)
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

| Processed: Friday, March 25, 2011 5:01:52 PM | Copyright © 2000-2010 Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd | SIDRA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510 | unuw. Sidrasolutions.com | INTERSECTION |
| Project X:ICTLRRV - Teralba Quary | DRA_Existing.sip |  |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY Site: Wakefield Rd-Northville

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing PM
Wakefield Rd-Northville Dr
Roundabout


Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS A. Based on average delay for all vehicle movements. LOS Method: Delay (RTA NSW).
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW)
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.


MOVEMENT SUMMARY
CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing AM
Wakefield Rd-Rhondda Rd
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HV } \\ & \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg. } \\ & \text { Satn } \\ & \text { v/c } \end{aligned}$ | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back of Vehicles veh | Queue <br> Distance <br> m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Speed } \\ & \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h} \end{aligned}$ |
| South: Wakefield Rd (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 110 | 9.1 | 0.060 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.0 |
| 12 R | 43 | 18.6 | 0.043 | 10.2 | LOSA | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.29 | 0.65 | 47.5 |
| Approach | 153 | 11.8 | 0.060 | 2.9 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 55.9 |
| East: Rhondda Rd (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 47 | 17.0 | 0.092 | 11.2 | LOS A | 0.4 | 3.9 | 0.35 | 0.63 | 46.6 |
| 3 R | 19 | 52.6 | 0.092 | 13.1 | LOSA | 0.4 | 3.9 | 0.35 | 0.75 | 46.5 |
| Approach | 66 | 27.3 | 0.092 | 11.7 | LOS A | 0.4 | 3.9 | 0.35 | 0.66 | 46.6 |
| North: Wakefield Rd (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 32 | 18.8 | 0.020 | 9.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 49.0 |
| 5 T | 129 | 8.5 | 0.070 | 0.0 | LOSA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.0 |
| Approach | 161 | 10.6 | 0.070 | 1.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 57.4 |
| All Vehicles | 380 | 13.9 | 0.092 | 4.0 | NA | 0.4 | 3.9 | 0.09 | 0.25 | 54.6 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays assoclated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

| Processed: Friday, March 25, 2011 5:01:52 PM | Copyright © 2000-2010 Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd | SIDRA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510 | wuw. sidrasolutions.com | INTERSECTION |
| Project XICTLRRV - Teralba QuarrySIDRAICTLRRV-SIDRA_Existing.sip |  |  |
| 8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING |  |  |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY Site: Wakefield Rd-Rhondda

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing PM
Wakefield Rd-Rhondda Rd
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HV} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue <br> Distance <br> m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Wakefield Rd (S) 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 106 | 9.4 | 0.058 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.0 |
| 12 R | 45 | 4.4 | 0.039 | 9.4 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.29 | 0.65 | 47.5 |
| Approach | 151 | 7.9 | 0.058 | 2.8 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 55.7 |
| East: Rhondda Rd (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 43 | 9.3 | 0.072 | 10.1 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.7 | 0.33 | 0.63 | 47.4 |
| 3 R | 21 | 14.3 | 0.072 | 10.5 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.7 | 0.33 | 0.71 | 47.3 |
| Approach | 64 | 10.9 | 0.072 | 10.2 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.7 | 0.33 | 0.66 | 47.3 |
| North: Wakefield Rd (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 36 | 22.2 | 0.022 | 9.2 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 49.0 |
| 5 T | 148 | 2.7 | 0.077 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.0 |
| Approach | 184 | 6.5 | 0.077 | 1.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 57.5 |
| All Vehicles | 399 | 7.8 | 0.077 | 3.5 | NA | 0.3 | 2.7 | 0.08 | 0.24 | 54.9 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.


Site: Rhondda Rd-Metromix
Access_AM
CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing AM
Rhondda Rd-Metromix Site Access
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg. } \\ & \text { Satn } \\ & \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Speed } \\ & \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h} \end{aligned}$ |
| South: MetroMix Quarry Access (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 17 | 94.1 | 0.074 | 15.0 | LOS B | 0.4 | 4.9 | 0.34 | 0.60 | 46.2 |
| 3 R | 22 | 90.9 | 0.074 | 15.2 | LOS B | 0.4 | 4.9 | 0.34 | 0.70 | 46.1 |
| Approach | 39 | 92.3 | 0.074 | 15.1 | LOS B | 0.4 | 4.9 | 0.34 | 0.65 | 46.2 |
| East: Rhondda Rd (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 25 | 88.0 | 0.022 | 12.2 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 49.0 |
| 5 T | 60 | 3.3 | 0.031 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.0 |
| Approach | 85 | 28.2 | 0.031 | 3.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 56.3 |
| West: Rhondda Rd (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 47 | 0.0 | 0.024 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.0 |
| 12 R | 14 | 85.7 | 0.037 | 14.1 | LOSA | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.28 | 0.65 | 47.3 |
| Approach | 61 | 19.7 | 0.037 | 3.2 | LOS A | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 56.5 |
| All Vehicles | 185 | 38.9 | 0.074 | 5.9 | NA | 0.4 | 4.9 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 53.9 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS B. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

Processed: Monday, March 28, 2011 10:06:59 AM
SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510
SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510
Project: X:ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarrylSIDRAICTLRRV- www. sidrasolutions.co
8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Site: Rhondda Rd-Metromix
CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing PM
Rhondda Rd-Metromix Site Access
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg. } \\ & \text { Satn } \\ & \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back o Vehicles veh | Queue Distance $\qquad$ m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: MetroMix Quarry Access (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L | 11 | 63.6 | 0.014 | 11.6 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.19 | 0.60 | 48.1 |
| 3 R | 2 | 0.0 | 0.014 | 8.8 | LOSA | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.19 | 0.65 | 48.0 |
| Approach | 13 | 53.8 | 0.014 | 11.2 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.19 | 0.61 | 48.1 |
| East: Rhondda Rd (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 22 | 90.9 | 0.020 | 12.3 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 49.0 |
| 5 T | 46 | 2.2 | 0.024 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.0 |
| Approach | 68 | 30.9 | 0.024 | 4.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 55.9 |
| West: Rhondda Rd (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 75 | 4.0 | 0.039 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.0 |
| 12 R | 8 | 87.5 | 0.021 | 13.9 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.25 | 0.64 | 47.6 |
| Approach | 83 | 12.0 | 0.039 | 1.3 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 58.5 |
| All Vehicles | 164 | 23.2 | 0.039 | 3.2 | NA | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 56.5 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

## SIDRA <br> INTERSECTION

Access_PM

## Aces

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
Site: Railway St-Rhondda Rd_AM
CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing AM
Railway St-Rhondda Rd
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh'h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg. } \\ & \text { Satn } \\ & \text { v/c } \end{aligned}$ | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L | 2 | 50.0 | 0.015 | 8.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 43.3 |
| 11 T | 18 | 66.7 | 0.015 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| Approach | 20 | 65.0 | 0.015 | 0.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 49.2 |
| North: Railway St ( N ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 T | 9 | 22.2 | 0.048 | 0.1 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 48.2 |
| 6 R | 70 | 12.9 | 0.048 | 7.3 | LOSA | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.11 | 0.64 | 42.7 |
| Approach | 79 | 13.9 | 0.048 | 6.5 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.11 | 0.56 | 43.2 |
| West: Rhondda Rd (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L | 62 | 6.5 | 0.042 | 6.7 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.09 | 0.58 | 43.0 |
| 9 R | 2 | 50.0 | 0.042 | 8.8 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.09 | 0.68 | 42.7 |
| Approach | 64 | 7.8 | 0.042 | 6.8 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.09 | 0.58 | 43.0 |
| All Vehicles | 163 | 17.8 | 0.048 | 5.9 | NA | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.09 | 0.51 | 43.8 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

| Processed: Friday, March 25, 2011 5:01:54 PM | Copyright © © 2000-2010 Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd | SIDRA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510 | www.sidrasolutions.com | INTER |
| Project: X:ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarrySIDRAICTLRRV-SIDRA_Existing.sip | INSCTION |  |

SIDRA INTERETV X:ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarryISIDRAICTLRRV-SIDRA Exidrasting.sip
8000324 , HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING
INTERSECTION
MOVEMENT SUMMARY
Site: Railway St-Rhondda Rd_PM
CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing PM
Railway St-Rhondda Rd
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Railway St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L | 19 | 68.4 | 0.029 | 8.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.77 | 43.3 |
| 11 T | 20 | 50.0 | 0.029 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| Approach | 39 | 59.0 | 0.029 | 4.2 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 46.5 |
| North: Railway St (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 T | 3 | 66.7 | 0.037 | 0.2 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 47.5 |
| 6 R | 56 | 10.7 | 0.037 | 7.3 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.15 | 0.61 | 42.5 |
| Approach | 59 | 13.6 | 0.037 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.15 | 0.58 | 42.7 |
| West: Rhondda Rd (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L | 76 | 5.3 | 0.049 | 6.7 | LOS A | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.12 | 0.57 | 42.9 |
| 9 R | 1 | 0.0 | 0.050 | 6.9 | LOS A | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.12 | 0.66 | 42.6 |
| Approach | 77 | 5.2 | 0.049 | 6.7 | LOS A | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.12 | 0.57 | 42.9 |
| All Vehicles | 175 | 20.0 | 0.049 | 6.2 | NA | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.10 | 0.53 | 43.6 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays assoclated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

| Processed: Friday, March 25, 2011 5:01:54 PM | Copyright © 2000-2010 Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd | SIDRA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SIDRA INTERSECTION 5...5.1510 | www.sidrasolutions.com | INTERSECTION |
| Project: X:ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarryISIDRAICTLRRV-SIDRA_Existing.sip |  |  |

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing AM
Railway St-William St
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg. } \\ & \text { Satn } \\ & \text { v/c } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { sec } \end{gathered}$ | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | Queue <br> Distance <br> m | Prop. Queued | Effective <br> Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Railway St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 3 | 0.0 | 0.125 | 6.3 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.30 | 0.45 | 42.8 |
| 12 R | 103 | 16.5 | 0.127 | 8.5 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.30 | 0.66 | 42.0 |
| Approach | 106 | 16.0 | 0.127 | 8.5 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.30 | 0.65 | 42.0 |
| East: William St (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 87 | 14.9 | 0.073 | 6.9 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.60 | 43.3 |
| 3 R | 38 | 7.9 | 0.074 | 7.1 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 43.0 |
| Approach | 125 | 12.8 | 0.073 | 6.9 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 43.2 |
| North: Railway St ( N ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 91 | 4.4 | 0.052 | 7.1 | LOS A | 0.4 | 2.6 | 0.26 | 0.45 | 42.4 |
| 5 T | 2 | 0.0 | 0.053 | 5.6 | LOS A | 0.4 | 2.6 | 0.26 | 0.48 | 43.2 |
| Approach | 93 | 4.3 | 0.052 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.4 | 2.6 | 0.26 | 0.46 | 42.4 |
| All Vehicles | 324 | 11.4 | 0.127 | 7.5 | NA | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.17 | 0.58 | 42.6 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW)
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement

| Processed: Friday, March 25, 2011 5:01:54 PM | Copyright © 2000-2010 Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd | SIDRA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510 | www.sidrasolutions.com | INTERSERTMION |
| Project: X:ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarrySIDRAICTLRRV-SIDRA_Existing.sip |  |  |
| 8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING |  |  |

8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING
Site: Railway St-William St_PM
CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing PM
Railway St-William St
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | Queue <br> Distance <br> m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Railway St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 5 | 0.0 | 0.143 | 6.2 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.9 | 0.29 | 0.47 | 42.9 |
| 12 R | 121 | 11.6 | 0.142 | 8.2 | LOSA | 0.6 | 4.9 | 0.29 | 0.65 | 42.1 |
| Approach | 126 | 11.1 | 0.142 | 8.1 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.9 | 0.29 | 0.65 | 42.1 |
| East: William St (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 77 | 10.4 | 0.079 | 6.7 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 43.3 |
| 3 R | 64 | 0.0 | 0.079 | 6.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 43.0 |
| Approach | 141 | 5.7 | 0.079 | 6.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 43.1 |
| North: Railway St (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 69 | 0.0 | 0.041 | 6.9 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.26 | 0.45 | 42.4 |
| 5 T | 4 | 0.0 | 0.040 | 5.7 | LOSA | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.26 | 0.48 | 43.1 |
| Approach | 73 | 0.0 | 0.041 | 6.9 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.26 | 0.45 | 42.4 |
| All Vehicles | 340 | 6.5 | 0.142 | 7.3 | NA | 0.6 | 4.9 | 0.16 | 0.60 | 42.6 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

| Processed: Friday, March $25,20115: 01: 54$ PM | Copyright © 2000-2010 Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd | SIDRA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510 | www.sidrasolutions.com | - |
| Project X:ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarrySIDRAICTLRRV-SIDRA_Existing.sip | INTERSECTION |  |
| 8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING |  |  |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
Site: William St-Short St_AM
CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing AM
Railway St-Short St
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | Queue <br> Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: William St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 47 | 4.3 | 0.048 | 5.8 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.18 | 0.49 | 43.6 |
| 12 R | 8 | 0.0 | 0.048 | 7.3 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.18 | 0.73 | 42.6 |
| Approach | 55 | 3.6 | 0.048 | 6.0 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.18 | 0.52 | 43.5 |
| East: Short St (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 13 | 7.7 | 0.052 | 6.7 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 43.3 |
| 3 R | 73 | 17.8 | 0.052 | 7.4 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 43.0 |
| Approach | 86 | 16.3 | 0.052 | 7.3 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 43.0 |
| North: William St ( N ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 151 | 13.2 | 0.134 | 7.3 | LOS A | 0.8 | 6.5 | 0.22 | 0.49 | 42.6 |
| 5 T | 53 | 7.5 | 0.134 | 5.8 | LOS A | 0.8 | 6.5 | 0.22 | 0.48 | 43.4 |
| Approach | 204 | 11.8 | 0.134 | 6.9 | LOS A | 0.8 | 6.5 | 0.22 | 0.49 | 42.8 |
| All Vehicles | 345 | 11.6 | 0.134 | 6.8 | NA | 0.8 | 6.5 | 0.16 | 0.53 | 42.9 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

Processed: Friday, March 25, 2011 5:01:55 PM
SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510
www.sidrasolutions.com
Project X:ICTLRRV - Teralba Quary1SIDRAICTLRRV-SIDRA_Existing.sip
8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING
MOVEMENT SUMMARY
SIDRA INTERSECTION Site: William St-Short St_PM
CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing PM
Railway St-Short St
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: William St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 60 | 3.3 | 0.054 | 5.6 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.17 | 0.49 | 43.7 |
| 12 R | 5 | 0.0 | 0.054 | 7.1 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.17 | 0.74 | 42.6 |
| Approach | 65 | 3.1 | 0.054 | 5.7 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.17 | 0.51 | 43.6 |
| East: Short St (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 4 | 0.0 | 0.047 | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 43.3 |
| 3 R | 79 | 7.6 | 0.047 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 43.0 |
| Approach | 83 | 7.2 | 0.047 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 43.0 |
| North: William St ( N ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 142 | 9.2 | 0.126 | 7.1 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.9 | 0.20 | 0.50 | 42.6 |
| 5 T | 55 | 5.5 | 0.126 | 5.6 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.9 | 0.20 | 0.48 | 43.5 |
| Approach | 197 | 8.1 | 0.126 | 6.7 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.9 | 0.20 | 0.50 | 42.9 |
| All Vehicles | 345 | 7.0 | 0.126 | 6.6 | NA | 0.8 | 5.9 | 0.15 | 0.54 | 43.0 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

[^3]CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing AM
York St-Short St
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg. } \\ & \text { Satn } \\ & \text { v/c } \end{aligned}$ | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back of <br> Vehicles veh | f Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: York St (S) min min |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 77 | 16.9 | 0.108 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.78 | 43.3 |
| 5 T | 113 | 8.8 | 0.108 | 0.0 | LOSA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| Approach | 190 | 12.1 | 0.108 | 2.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 47.0 |
| North: York St ( N ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 141 | 4.3 | 0.082 | 0.7 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.3 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 46.1 |
| 12 R | 11 | 0.0 | 0.081 | 7.4 | LOSA | 0.6 | 4.3 | 0.32 | 0.85 | 43.0 |
| Approach | 152 | 3.9 | 0.082 | 1.2 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.3 | 0.32 | 0.06 | 45.8 |
| West: Short St (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 9 | 22.2 | 0.170 | 8.7 | LOS A | 0.8 | 6.3 | 0.39 | 0.58 | 41.7 |
| 3 R | 152 | 9.2 | 0.169 | 8.7 | LOSA | 0.8 | 6.3 | 0.39 | 0.70 | 41.6 |
| Approach | 161 | 9.9 | 0.169 | 8.7 | LOS A | 0.8 | 6.3 | 0.39 | 0.69 | 41.6 |
| All Vehicles | 503 | 8.9 | 0.169 | 4.2 | NA | 0.8 | 6.3 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 44.8 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.


CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing PM
York St-Short St
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. <br> Satn <br> v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective <br> Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: York St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 71 | 8.5 | 0.114 | 6.7 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 43.3 |
| 5 T | 136 | 7.4 | 0.114 | 0.0 | LOSA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| Approach | 207 | 7.7 | 0.114 | 2.3 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 47.5 |
| North: York St (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 190 | 7.9 | 0.111 | 0.7 | LOS A | 0.8 | 6.0 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 45.9 |
| 12 R | 12 | 0.0 | 0.110 | 7.5 | LOS A | 0.8 | 6.0 | 0.34 | 0.86 | 43.0 |
| Approach | 202 | 7.4 | 0.111 | 1.1 | LOS A | 0.8 | 6.0 | 0.34 | 0.05 | 45.7 |
| West: Short St (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 13 | 7.7 | 0.160 | 8.6 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.9 | 0.42 | 0.58 | 41.5 |
| 3 R | 132 | 9.1 | 0.161 | 9.0 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.9 | 0.42 | 0.73 | 41.3 |
| Approach | 145 | 9.0 | 0.161 | 9.0 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.9 | 0.42 | 0.71 | 41.4 |
| All Vehicles | 554 | 7.9 | 0.161 | 3.6 | NA | 0.8 | 6.0 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 45.1 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays assoclated with major road movements
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW)
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing AM
York St-Anzac Pde
Stop (Two-Way)


LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays asso-
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS B. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

| Processed: Monday, March 28, 2011 10:22:24 AM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510 Project X:ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarrySIDRAICTLR 8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATIN | Copyright © 2000-2010 Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd www.sidrasolutions.com DRA_Existing.sip | SIDRA INTERSECTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MOVEMENT SUMMARY |  | Site: York St-Anzac Pde_PM |

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing PM
York St-Anzac Pde
Stop (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID | Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: York St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L | 4 | 0.0 | 0.048 | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 43.3 |
| 2 | T | 85 | 9.4 | 0.048 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| 3 | R | 28 | 0.0 | 0.039 | 7.4 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.36 | 0.60 | 41.9 |
| Approac |  | 117 | 6.8 | 0.048 | 2.0 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 47.6 |
| East: Anzac Pde (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L | 47 | 6.4 | 0.078 | 10.4 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.29 | 0.86 | 40.8 |
| 5 | T | 45 | 4.4 | 0.249 | 12.7 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.7 | 0.52 | 0.90 | 39.1 |
| 6 | R | 115 | 2.6 | 0.248 | 12.8 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.7 | 0.52 | 0.95 | 39.1 |
| Approac |  | 207 | 3.9 | 0.248 | 12.2 | LOS A | 1.3 | 9.7 | 0.47 | 0.92 | 39.5 |
| North: York St ( N ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L | 182 | 3.8 | 0.150 | 6.5 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.69 | 43.3 |
| 8 | T | 94 | 4.3 | 0.150 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| 9 | R | 5 | 0.0 | 0.007 | 6.8 | LOSA | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.19 | 0.56 | 42.5 |
| Approac |  | 281 | 3.9 | 0.150 | 4.4 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 45.3 |
| West: Anzac Pde (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L | 9 | 0.0 | 0.014 | 9.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.18 | 0.88 | 40.9 |
| 11 | T | 22 | 13.6 | 0.049 | 13.4 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.50 | 0.89 | 39.1 |
| 12 | R | 7 | 0.0 | 0.049 | 12.7 | LOSA | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.50 | 0.88 | 39.0 |
| Approach |  | 38 | 7.9 | 0.049 | 12.4 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.42 | 0.89 | 39.5 |
| All Vehicles |  | 643 | 4.7 | 0.248 | 6.9 | NA | 1.3 | 9.7 | 0.19 | 0.58 | 43.2 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.


Project X:ICTLRRV - Teralba Quarry 8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing AM
York St-Pitt St
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg. } \\ & \text { Satn } \\ & \text { v/c } \end{aligned}$ | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Pitt St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 18 | 5.6 | 0.048 | 7.9 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.34 | 0.56 | 42.0 |
| 3 R | 29 | 17.2 | 0.048 | 8.8 | LOSA | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.34 | 0.69 | 41.8 |
| Approach | 47 | 12.8 | 0.048 | 8.4 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.7 | 0.34 | 0.64 | 41.9 |
| East: York St (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 26 | 7.7 | 0.100 | 6.7 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.87 | 43.3 |
| 5 T | 150 | 17.3 | 0.100 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| Approach | 176 | 15.9 | 0.100 | 1.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 48.9 |
| West: York St (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 135 | 14.1 | 0.083 | 0.6 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.4 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 46.2 |
| 12 R | 12 | 0.0 | 0.083 | 7.4 | LOSA | 0.6 | 4.4 | 0.31 | 0.85 | 43.0 |
| Approach | 147 | 12.9 | 0.084 | 1.2 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.4 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 45.9 |
| All Vehicles | 370 | 14.3 | 0.100 | 2.0 | NA | 0.6 | 4.4 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 46.7 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

| Processed: Friday, March 25, 2011 5:01:57 PM | Copyright © 2000-2010 Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd | SIDRA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510 | www.sidrasolutions.com | - |
| Project: X:ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarryISIDRAICTLRRV-SIDRA_Existing.sip | INTERSECTION |  |
| 8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING |  |  |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY Site: York St-Pitt St_PM

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing PM
York St-Pitt St
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)


LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing AM
Existing AM-Five Islands Rd
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg. } \\ & \text { Satn } \\ & \text { v/c } \end{aligned}$ | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back of <br> Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| South: Toronto Rd (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 70 | 17.1 | 0.714 | 10.1 | LOS A | 8.8 | 63.7 | 0.79 | 0.91 | 47.8 |
| 2 T | 1446 | 3.3 | 0.717 | 8.8 | LOS A | 8.8 | 63.7 | 0.80 | 0.87 | 47.5 |
| 3 R | 19 | 21.1 | 0.704 | 16.5 | LOS B | 8.5 | 61.7 | 0.80 | 1.05 | 44.2 |
| Approach | 1535 | 4.1 | 0.717 | 9.0 | LOS B | 8.8 | 63.7 | 0.80 | 0.87 | 47.4 |
| East: First St (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 11 | 9.1 | 0.145 | 9.9 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.4 | 0.63 | 0.83 | 48.1 |
| 5 T | 73 | 8.2 | 0.145 | 8.7 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.4 | 0.63 | 0.77 | 48.5 |
| 6 R | 335 | 4.2 | 0.348 | 14.0 | LOS A | 2.2 | 15.6 | 0.67 | 0.86 | 43.9 |
| Approach | 419 | 5.0 | 0.348 | 12.9 | LOS A | 2.2 | 15.6 | 0.67 | 0.84 | 44.7 |
| North: Five Islands Rd (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L | 150 | 6.0 | 0.404 | 6.2 | LOS A | 3.4 | 24.6 | 0.37 | 0.54 | 49.9 |
| 8 T | 891 | 5.3 | 0.404 | 5.1 | LOS A | 3.4 | 24.6 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 50.4 |
| 9 R | 44 | 27.3 | 0.404 | 12.6 | LOS A | 3.3 | 24.2 | 0.39 | 0.83 | 46.6 |
| Approach | 1085 | 6.3 | 0.404 | 5.6 | LOS A | 3.4 | 24.6 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 50.2 |
| West: Toronto Rd (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L | 37 | 45.9 | 0.194 | 14.7 | LOS B | 1.2 | 10.9 | 0.85 | 0.93 | 44.5 |
| 11 T | 36 | 16.7 | 0.194 | 12.5 | LOS A | 1.2 | 10.9 | 0.85 | 0.91 | 44.7 |
| 12 R | 72 | 11.1 | 0.211 | 19.9 | LOS B | 1.3 | 9.9 | 0.84 | 0.95 | 40.2 |
| Approach | 145 | 21.4 | 0.211 | 16.7 | LOS B | 1.3 | 10.9 | 0.85 | 0.93 | 42.2 |
| All Vehicles | 3184 | 5.7 | 0.717 | 8.7 | LOS A | 8.8 | 63.7 | 0.64 | 0.74 | 47.6 |

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS A. Based on average delay for all vehicle movements. LOS Method: Delay (RTA NSW).
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS B. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.


CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
Existing PM
Toronto Rd-Five Islands Rd
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Delay } \\ \text { sec } \end{gathered}$ | Level of Service | 95\% Back of Vehicles veh | f Queue <br> Distance <br> m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Toronto Rd (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 75 | 9.3 | 0.487 | 7.2 | LOS A | 4.1 | 29.6 | 0.59 | 0.61 | 48.8 |
| 2 T | 987 | 2.6 | 0.487 | 6.0 | LOS A | 4.1 | 29.6 | 0.60 | 0.54 | 48.8 |
| 3 R | 40 | 35.0 | 0.488 | 13.8 | LOS A | 3.9 | 28.7 | 0.61 | 0.91 | 46.4 |
| Approach | 1102 | 4.3 | 0.487 | 6.3 | LOS A | 4.1 | 29.6 | 0.60 | 0.56 | 48.7 |
| East: First St (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 23 | 0.0 | 0.128 | 11.0 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.2 | 0.74 | 0.88 | 46.6 |
| 5 T | 36 | 11.1 | 0.128 | 10.1 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.2 | 0.74 | 0.84 | 47.0 |
| 6 R | 277 | 2.5 | 0.378 | 15.7 | LOS B | 2.7 | 19.6 | 0.82 | 0.97 | 43.0 |
| Approach | 336 | 3.3 | 0.379 | 14.8 | LOS B | 2.7 | 19.6 | 0.81 | 0.95 | 43.6 |
| North: Five Islands Rd (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L | 177 | 4.0 | 0.602 | 6.9 | LOS A | 5.7 | 40.9 | 0.58 | 0.59 | 48.8 |
| 8 T | 1316 | 3.2 | 0.603 | 5.9 | LOS A | 5.7 | 40.9 | 0.59 | 0.53 | 48.9 |
| 9 R | 14 | 50.0 | 0.609 | 14.1 | LOS A | 5.6 | 40.9 | 0.60 | 0.91 | 46.6 |
| Approach | 1507 | 3.7 | 0.603 | 6.1 | LOS A | 5.7 | 40.9 | 0.59 | 0.54 | 48.8 |
| West: Toronto Rd (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L | 76 | 19.7 | 0.247 | 11.6 | LOS A | 1.4 | 11.2 | 0.75 | 0.87 | 46.5 |
| 11 T | 48 | 12.5 | 0.247 | 10.1 | LOS A | 1.4 | 11.2 | 0.75 | 0.84 | 46.9 |
| 12 R | 142 | 9.9 | 0.193 | 15.1 | LOSB | 1.2 | 9.3 | 0.74 | 0.91 | 43.6 |
| Approach | 266 | 13.2 | 0.247 | 13.2 | LOS B | 1.4 | 11.2 | 0.74 | 0.89 | 44.9 |
| All Vehicles | 3211 | 4.6 | 0.603 | 7.7 | LOS A | 5.7 | 40.9 | 0.63 | 0.62 | 47.8 |

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS A. Based on average delay for all vehicle movements. LOS Method: Delay (RTA NSW)
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS B. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW)
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
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CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_AM
George Booth Dr-Northville Dr
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg. } \\ & \text { Satn } \\ & \text { v/c } \end{aligned}$ | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back of Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Northville Dr (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 80 | 15.0 | 0.325 | 9.9 | LOS A | 2.2 | 16.6 | 0.66 | 0.79 | 46.8 |
| 3 R | 485 | 4.3 | 0.325 | 14.4 | LOS A | 2.2 | 16.6 | 0.66 | 0.86 | 43.9 |
| Approach | 565 | 5.8 | 0.325 | 13.8 | LOS A | 2.2 | 16.6 | 0.66 | 0.85 | 44.2 |
| East: George Booth $\operatorname{Dr}(\mathrm{E})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 264 | 8.3 | 0.266 | 7.9 | LOS A | 2.1 | 15.7 | 0.39 | 0.57 | 48.6 |
| 5 T | 667 | 10.0 | 0.443 | 6.5 | LOS A | 4.3 | 33.0 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 49.3 |
| Approach | 931 | 9.6 | 0.444 | 6.9 | LOS A | 4.3 | 33.0 | 0.42 | 0.52 | 49.1 |
| West: George Booth Dr (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 788 | 8.8 | 0.478 | 7.9 | LOS A | 3.5 | 26.5 | 0.63 | 0.68 | 47.8 |
| 12 R | 126 | 12.7 | 0.477 | 14.1 | LOS A | 3.5 | 26.4 | 0.64 | 0.94 | 45.0 |
| Approach | 914 | 9.3 | 0.478 | 8.8 | LOS A | 3.5 | 26.5 | 0.63 | 0.72 | 47.4 |
| All Vehicles | 2410 | 8.6 | 0.478 | 9.2 | LOS A | 4.3 | 33.0 | 0.56 | 0.67 | 47.2 |

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS A. Based on average delay for all vehicle movements. LOS Method: Delay (RTA NSW).
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
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Project X:ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarryISIDRAICTLRRV-SIDRA_Future 2022.sip
INTERSECTION
8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING
MOVEMENT SUMMARY
Site: George Booth Dr-Northville Dr_PM
CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_PM
George Booth Dr-Northville Dr
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Northville Dr (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 74 | 9.5 | 0.325 | 11.0 | LOS A | 2.6 | 19.4 | 0.78 | 0.83 | 46.0 |
| 3 R | 407 | 3.7 | 0.325 | 15.7 | LOS B | 2.6 | 19.4 | 0.78 | 0.88 | 42.8 |
| Approach | 481 | 4.6 | 0.325 | 15.0 | LOS B | 2.6 | 19.4 | 0.78 | 0.87 | 43.2 |
| East: George Booth $\operatorname{Dr}(\mathrm{E})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 479 | 4.0 | 0.397 | 7.9 | LOS A | 3.5 | 25.6 | 0.46 | 0.59 | 48.1 |
| 5 T | 764 | 6.3 | 0.526 | 6.5 | LOS A | 5.6 | 41.2 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 48.8 |
| Approach | 1243 | 5.4 | 0.526 | 7.0 | LOS A | 5.6 | 41.2 | 0.49 | 0.55 | 48.5 |
| West: George Booth $\operatorname{Dr}(\mathrm{W})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 711 | 9.1 | 0.435 | 7.3 | LOS A | 3.1 | 23.5 | 0.60 | 0.61 | 48.0 |
| 12 R | 156 | 9.0 | 0.436 | 13.2 | LOS A | 3.0 | 22.9 | 0.60 | 0.87 | 45.5 |
| Approach | 867 | 9.1 | 0.435 | 8.4 | LOS A | 3.1 | 23.5 | 0.60 | 0.66 | 47.5 |
| All Vehicles | 2591 | 6.5 | 0.526 | 9.0 | LOS A | 5.6 | 41.2 | 0.58 | 0.65 | 47.1 |

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS A. Based on average delay for all vehicle movements. LOS Method: Delay (RTA NSW).
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS B. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW)
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_AM Wakefield Rd-Northville Dr
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID | Tum | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \text { \% } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deg. } \\ & \text { Satn } \\ & \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back of <br> Vehicles <br> veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Wakefield Rd (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L | 5 | 20.0 | 0.250 | 7.6 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.7 | 0.34 | 0.52 | 49.0 |
| 2 | T | 87 | 6.9 | 0.247 | 6.1 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.7 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 49.5 |
| 3 | R | 207 | 12.1 | 0.247 | 12.3 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.7 | 0.34 | 0.70 | 45.6 |
| Approa |  | 299 | 10.7 | 0.247 | 10.4 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.7 | 0.34 | 0.63 | 46.7 |
| East: Northville $\operatorname{Dr}(\mathrm{E})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L | 120 | 18.3 | 0.194 | 7.3 | LOS A | 1.4 | 11.0 | 0.27 | 0.49 | 49.5 |
| 5 | T | 7 | 0.0 | 0.194 | 5.6 | LOS A | 1.4 | 11.0 | 0.27 | 0.42 | 50.1 |
| 6 | R | 111 | 10.8 | 0.194 | 12.0 | LOS A | 1.4 | 11.0 | 0.27 | 0.69 | 45.8 |
| Approa |  | 238 | 14.3 | 0.194 | 9.4 | LOS A | 1.4 | 11.0 | 0.27 | 0.58 | 47.7 |
| North: Appletree Rd (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L | 110 | 9.1 | 0.175 | 7.8 | LOS A | 1.2 | 9.0 | 0.44 | 0.60 | 48.7 |
| 8 | T | 70 | 7.1 | 0.175 | 6.7 | LOS A | 1.2 | 9.0 | 0.44 | 0.53 | 49.1 |
| 9 | R | 10 | 0.0 | 0.175 | 12.5 | LOS A | 1.2 | 9.0 | 0.44 | 0.78 | 45.9 |
| Approa |  | 190 | 7.9 | 0.175 | 7.7 | LOS A | 1.2 | 9.0 | 0.44 | 0.58 | 48.7 |
| West: Charleton St (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L | 14 | 7.1 | 0.046 | 8.5 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.52 | 0.61 | 48.4 |
| 11 | T | 27 | 0.0 | 0.046 | 7.2 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 48.6 |
| 12 | R | 3 | 33.3 | 0.046 | 14.3 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.52 | 0.81 | 45.4 |
| Approach |  | 44 | 4.5 | 0.046 | 8.1 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.52 | 0.59 | 48.3 |
| All Veh |  | 771 | 10.8 | 0.247 | 9.3 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.7 | 0.36 | 0.60 | 47.5 |

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS A. Based on average delay for all vehicle movements. LOS Method: Delay (RTA NSW).
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW)
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.


CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_PM
Wakefield Rd-Northville Dr
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID | Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \text { \% } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Deg. } \\ \text { Satn } \\ \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back of <br> Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Wakefield Rd (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L | 11 | 0.0 | 0.244 | 6.9 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.3 | 0.36 | 0.53 | 48.9 |
| 2 | T | 96 | 6.3 | 0.244 | 6.2 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.3 | 0.36 | 0.46 | 49.4 |
| 3 | R | 192 | 8.3 | 0.245 | 12.2 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.3 | 0.36 | 0.71 | 45.6 |
| Approac |  | 299 | 7.4 | 0.245 | 10.1 | LOS A | 1.8 | 13.3 | 0.36 | 0.62 | 46.8 |
| East: Northville $\operatorname{Dr}(\mathrm{E})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L | 205 | 10.2 | 0.273 | 7.2 | LOS A | 2.1 | 15.9 | 0.35 | 0.53 | 49.0 |
| 5 | T | 30 | 13.3 | 0.273 | 6.3 | LOS A | 2.1 | 15.9 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 49.5 |
| 6 | R | 101 | 7.9 | 0.273 | 12.1 | LOS A | 2.1 | 15.9 | 0.35 | 0.72 | 45.7 |
| Approac |  | 336 | 9.8 | 0.273 | 8.6 | LOS A | 2.1 | 15.9 | 0.35 | 0.58 | 48.0 |
| North: Appletree Rd (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L | 133 | 4.5 | 0.222 | 7.5 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.5 | 0.43 | 0.59 | 48.8 |
| 8 | T | 109 | 3.7 | 0.222 | 6.4 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.5 | 0.43 | 0.53 | 49.2 |
| 9 | R | 14 | 0.0 | 0.222 | 12.3 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.5 | 0.43 | 0.79 | 46.0 |
| Approac |  | 256 | 3.9 | 0.223 | 7.3 | LOS A | 1.6 | 11.5 | 0.43 | 0.57 | 48.8 |
| West: Charleton St (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L | 6 | 0.0 | 0.033 | 8.1 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.50 | 0.59 | 48.5 |
| 11 | T | 20 | 10.0 | 0.033 | 7.4 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.50 | 0.54 | 48.7 |
| 12 | R | 5 | 20.0 | 0.033 | 13.7 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.50 | 0.78 | 45.4 |
| Approach |  | 31 | 9.7 | 0.033 | 8.6 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.50 | 0.59 | 48.1 |
| All Vehicles |  | 922 | 7.4 | 0.273 | 8.7 | LOS A | 2.1 | 15.9 | 0.38 | 0.59 | 47.8 |

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS A. Based on average delay for all vehicle movements. LOS Method: Delay (RTA NSW).
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.


CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_AM
Wakefield Rd-Rhondda Rd
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Tum | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | Queue <br> Distance <br> m | Prop. Queued | Effective <br> Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Wakefield Rd (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 132 | 9.1 | 0.072 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.0 |
| 12 R | 53 | 20.8 | 0.054 | 10.6 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 47.3 |
| Approach | 185 | 12.4 | 0.072 | 3.0 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 55.8 |
| East: Rhondda Rd (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 58 | 19.0 | 0.111 | 11.5 | LOS A | 0.5 | 4.6 | 0.38 | 0.65 | 46.3 |
| 3 R | 20 | 45.0 | 0.110 | 13.0 | LOS A | 0.5 | 4.6 | 0.38 | 0.78 | 46.3 |
| Approach | 78 | 25.6 | 0.111 | 11.9 | LOS A | 0.5 | 4.6 | 0.38 | 0.68 | 46.3 |
| North: Wakefield Rd (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 40 | 22.5 | 0.025 | 9.2 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 49.0 |
| 5 T | 154 | 8.4 | 0.083 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.0 |
| Approach | 194 | 11.3 | 0.083 | 1.9 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 57.3 |
| All Vehicles | 457 | 14.2 | 0.111 | 4.1 | NA | 0.5 | 4.6 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 54.5 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back of Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Wakefield Rd (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 127 | 9.4 | 0.069 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.0 |
| 12 R | 61 | 16.4 | 0.060 | 10.4 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0.34 | 0.67 | 47.3 |
| Approach | 188 | 11.7 | 0.069 | 3.4 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 55.2 |
| East: Rhondda Rd (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 57 | 17.5 | 0.117 | 11.4 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.7 | 0.39 | 0.65 | 46.4 |
| 3 R | 29 | 24.1 | 0.117 | 11.9 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.7 | 0.39 | 0.77 | 46.3 |
| Approach | 86 | 19.8 | 0.117 | 11.5 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.7 | 0.39 | 0.69 | 46.4 |
| North: Wakefield Rd (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 42 | 21.4 | 0.026 | 9.2 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 49.0 |
| 5 T | 177 | 2.8 | 0.092 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.0 |
| Approach | 219 | 6.4 | 0.092 | 1.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 57.5 |
| All Vehicles | 493 | 10.8 | 0.117 | 4.1 | NA | 0.6 | 4.7 | 0.11 | 0.26 | 54.4 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW)
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

| Processed: Monday, 6 June 2011 1:06:05 PM | Copyright © 2000-2010 Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd | SIDRA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0 .5 .1510 | www.sidrasolutions.com | INTERSER |
| Project. XICTLRRV - Teralba QuarryiSIDRAICTLRRV-SIDRA_Future 2022.sip |  |  |
| 8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING |  |  |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
Site: Rhondda Rd-Metromix Access_AM
CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_AM Rhondda Rd-Metromix Site Access
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: MetroMix Quarry Access (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 19 | 94.7 | 0.083 | 15.7 | LOS B | 0.4 | 5.4 | 0.37 | 0.61 | 45.6 |
| 3 R | 22 | 90.9 | 0.083 | 15.9 | LOS B | 0.4 | 5.4 | 0.37 | 0.72 | 45.5 |
| Approach | 41 | 92.7 | 0.083 | 15.8 | LOS B | 0.4 | 5.4 | 0.37 | 0.67 | 45.6 |
| East: Rhondda Rd (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 31 | 87.1 | 0.027 | 12.1 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 49.0 |
| 5 T | 71 | 2.8 | 0.037 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.0 |
| Approach | 102 | 28.4 | 0.037 | 3.7 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 56.2 |
| West: Rhondda Rd (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 56 | 0.0 | 0.029 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 60.0 |
| 12 R | 20 | 90.0 | 0.056 | 14.7 | LOS B | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.32 | 0.66 | 46.9 |
| Approach | 76 | 23.7 | 0.056 | 3.9 | LOS B | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 55.9 |
| All Vehicles | 219 | 38.8 | 0.083 | 6.0 | NA | 0.4 | 5.4 | 0.10 | 0.28 | 53.7 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS B. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

Processed: Monday, 28 March 2011 11:26:32 AM Copyright (c) 2000-2010 Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd
SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0 .5 . 1510
Project: X:ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarryISIDRAICTLR
MOVEMENT SUMMARY
Site: Railway St-Rhondda Rd_AM
CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_AM Railway St-Rhondda Rd
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | Queue <br> Distance <br> m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Railway St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L | 2 | 50.0 | 0.011 | 8.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 43.3 |
| 11 T | 14 | 50.0 | 0.011 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| Approach | 16 | 50.0 | 0.011 | 1.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 49.0 |
| North: Railway St (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 T | 10 | 20.0 | 0.063 | 0.1 | LOS A | 0.4 | 3.0 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 48.5 |
| 6 R | 89 | 18.0 | 0.063 | 7.5 | LOS A | 0.4 | 3.0 | 0.09 | 0.64 | 42.7 |
| Approach | 99 | 18.2 | 0.063 | 6.8 | LOS A | 0.4 | 3.0 | 0.09 | 0.57 | 43.2 |
| West: Rhondda Rd (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L | 74 | 6.8 | 0.049 | 6.7 | LOS A | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.07 | 0.58 | 43.0 |
| 9 R | 2 | 50.0 | 0.050 | 8.7 | LOS A | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.07 | 0.69 | 42.8 |
| Approach | 76 | 7.9 | 0.049 | 6.8 | LOS A | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.07 | 0.58 | 43.0 |
| All Vehicles | 191 | 16.8 | 0.063 | 6.3 | NA | 0.4 | 3.0 | 0.08 | 0.54 | 43.6 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_PM
Railway St-Rhondda Rd
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Railway St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L | 20 | 65.0 | 0.028 | 8.5 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.76 | 43.3 |
| 11 T | 19 | 36.8 | 0.028 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| Approach | 39 | 51.3 | 0.028 | 4.4 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 46.3 |
| North: Railway St (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 T | 3 | 66.7 | 0.043 | 0.2 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 47.5 |
| 6 R | 67 | 10.4 | 0.044 | 7.3 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.15 | 0.61 | 42.5 |
| Approach | 70 | 12.9 | 0.044 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.15 | 0.58 | 42.7 |
| West: Rhondda Rd (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L | 91 | 5.5 | 0.059 | 6.7 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.11 | 0.57 | 42.9 |
| 9 R | 1 | 0.0 | 0.059 | 6.9 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.11 | 0.66 | 42.7 |
| Approach | 92 | 5.4 | 0.059 | 6.7 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.11 | 0.57 | 42.9 |
| All Vehicles | 201 | 16.9 | 0.059 | 6.4 | NA | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.10 | 0.54 | 43.4 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW)
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

| Processed: Monday, 28 March 2011 11:26:32 AM | Copyright © $2000-2010$ Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd | SIDRA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510 | www.sidrasolutions.com | INTERSECTION |
| Project X:ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarryISIDRAICTLRRV-SIDRA_Future 2022.sip |  |  |
| 8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING |  |  |
| MOVEMENT SUMMMARY | Site: Railway St-William St_AM |  |

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_AM
Railway St-William St
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{array}{r} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{array}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles <br> veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Railway St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 4 | 0.0 | 0.143 | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.9 | 0.33 | 0.47 | 42.7 |
| 12 R | 116 | 11.2 | 0.142 | 8.5 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.9 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 41.8 |
| Approach | 120 | 10.8 | 0.142 | 8.4 | LOS A | 0.6 | 4.9 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 41.8 |
| East: William St (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 109 | 19.3 | 0.093 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.60 | 43.3 |
| 3 R | 46 | 8.7 | 0.093 | 7.1 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 43.0 |
| Approach | 155 | 16.1 | 0.093 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 43.2 |
| North: Railway St (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 109 | 4.6 | 0.062 | 7.2 | LOS A | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.30 | 0.43 | 42.2 |
| 5 T | 2 | 0.0 | 0.063 | 5.8 | LOS A | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 42.9 |
| Approach | 111 | 4.5 | 0.062 | 7.2 | LOS A | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.30 | 0.43 | 42.2 |
| All Vehicles | 386 | 11.1 | 0.142 | 7.5 | NA | 0.6 | 4.9 | 0.19 | 0.58 | 42.5 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays assoclated with major road movements
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

| Processed: Monday, 6 June 2011 1:01:32 PM | Copyright © 2000-2010 Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd | SIDRA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510 | www.sidrasolutions.com | INTERSECTION |
| Project: X:ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarryiSIDRAICTLRRV-SIDRA_Future 2022.sip |  |  |
| 8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING |  |  |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_PM
Railway St-William St
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Tum | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. <br> Satn <br> v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | $95 \%$ Back of <br> Vehicles $\qquad$ veh | Queue <br> Distance <br> m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Railway St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 6 | 0.0 | 0.167 | 6.3 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.7 | 0.32 | 0.48 | 42.7 |
| 12 R | 140 | 8.6 | 0.165 | 8.3 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.7 | 0.32 | 0.67 | 41.9 |
| Approach | 146 | 8.2 | 0.165 | 8.2 | LOS A | 0.8 | 5.7 | 0.32 | 0.66 | 41.9 |
| East: William St (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 92 | 10.9 | 0.095 | 6.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 43.3 |
| 3 R | 77 | 0.0 | 0.095 | 6.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 43.0 |
| Approach | 169 | 5.9 | 0.095 | 6.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 43.1 |
| North: Railway St (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 82 | 0.0 | 0.049 | 7.1 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 42.3 |
| 5 T | 5 | 0.0 | 0.049 | 5.8 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0.29 | 0.49 | 43.0 |
| Approach | 87 | 0.0 | 0.049 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 42.3 |
| All Vehicles | 402 | 5.5 | 0.165 | 7.3 | NA | 0.8 | 5.7 | 0.18 | 0.60 | 42.5 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW)
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

| Processed: Monday, 6 June 2011 1:06:49 PM | Copyright © 2000-2010 Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd |
| :--- | :--- |
| SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510 | www.sidrasolutions.com |
| Project: X:ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarryISIDRAICTLRRV-SIDRA_Future 2022.sip |  |

8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING
MOVEMENT SUMMARY
MOVEMENT SUMMAR
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_AM
Railway St-Short St
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue <br> Distance <br> m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: William St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 56 | 3.6 | 0.060 | 5.9 | LOS A | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.22 | 0.50 | 43.5 |
| 12 R | 10 | 0.0 | 0.060 | 7.4 | LOS A | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.22 | 0.74 | 42.5 |
| Approach | 66 | 3.0 | 0.060 | 6.1 | LOS A | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.22 | 0.53 | 43.3 |
| East: Short St (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 15 | 6.7 | 0.067 | 6.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 43.3 |
| 3 R | 93 | 22.6 | 0.067 | 7.6 | LOSA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 43.0 |
| Approach | 108 | 20.4 | 0.067 | 7.5 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 43.0 |
| North: William St ( N ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 174 | 9.8 | 0.156 | 7.3 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.9 | 0.26 | 0.46 | 42.4 |
| 5 T | 64 | 7.8 | 0.156 | 5.9 | LOSA | 1.0 | 7.9 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 43.2 |
| Approach | 238 | 9.2 | 0.156 | 6.9 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.9 | 0.26 | 0.47 | 42.6 |
| All Vehicles | 412 | 11.2 | 0.156 | 7.0 | NA | 1.0 | 7.9 | 0.18 | 0.53 | 42.8 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays assoclated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW)
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

| Processed: Monday, 6 June 2011 1:02:15 PM | Copyright © 2000-2010 Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd | SIDRA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510 | www.sidrasolutions.com | INTERSERTION |
| Project: X:ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarryISIDRAICTLRRV-SIDRA_Future 2022.sip |  |  |
| 8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING |  |  |

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
Site: William St-Short St_PM
CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix PM
Railway St-Short St
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | f Queue <br> Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: William St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 71 | 2.8 | 0.066 | 5.7 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0.19 | 0.50 | 43.6 |
| 12 R | 6 | 0.0 | 0.066 | 7.2 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0.19 | 0.75 | 42.6 |
| Approach | 77 | 2.6 | 0.066 | 5.8 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.1 | 0.19 | 0.52 | 43.5 |
| East: Short St (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 5 | 0.0 | 0.056 | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 43.3 |
| 3 R | 94 | 7.4 | 0.056 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 43.0 |
| Approach | 99 | 7.1 | 0.056 | 7.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 43.0 |
| North: William St ( N ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 165 | 6.7 | 0.148 | 7.1 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.1 | 0.23 | 0.49 | 42.5 |
| 5 T | 66 | 6.1 | 0.148 | 5.7 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.1 | 0.23 | 0.48 | 43.4 |
| Approach | 231 | 6.5 | 0.148 | 6.7 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.1 | 0.23 | 0.49 | 42.8 |
| All Vehicles | 407 | 5.9 | 0.148 | 6.6 | NA | 1.0 | 7.1 | 0.16 | 0.53 | 43.0 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays assoclated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW)
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

Processed: Monday, 6 June 2011 1:07:11 PM
SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510
IDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510
Project: X-ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarryISIDRAICTIRRV www.sidrasolutions.com
8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOATING

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd

## SIDRA

## INTERSECTION

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_AM
York St-Short St
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Demand } \\ & \text { Flow } \\ & \text { veh/h } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HV} \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles <br> veh | Queue Distance $\qquad$ | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: York St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 98 | 21.4 | 0.134 | 7.1 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.77 | 43.3 |
| 5 T | 135 | 8.9 | 0.134 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| Approach | 233 | 14.2 | 0.134 | 3.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 46.9 |
| North: York St (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 168 | 4.2 | 0.098 | 0.9 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.3 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 45.5 |
| 12 R | 13 | 0.0 | 0.098 | 7.6 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.3 | 0.37 | 0.85 | 43.0 |
| Approach | 181 | 3.9 | 0.098 | 1.4 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.3 | 0.37 | 0.06 | 45.3 |
| West: Short St (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 10 | 20.0 | 0.200 | 8.9 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.3 | 0.44 | 0.60 | 41.5 |
| 3 R | 175 | 5.7 | 0.200 | 8.8 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.3 | 0.44 | 0.73 | 41.4 |
| Approach | 185 | 6.5 | 0.200 | 8.8 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.3 | 0.44 | 0.72 | 41.4 |
| All Vehicles | 599 | 8.7 | 0.200 | 4.3 | NA | 1.0 | 7.3 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 44.6 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays assoclated with major road movements
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW)
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_PM
York St-Short St
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID | Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles <br> veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: York St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L | 85 | 8.2 | 0.136 | 6.7 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 43.3 |
| 5 | T | 163 | 7.4 | 0.136 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| Approac |  | 248 | 7.7 | 0.136 | 2.3 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 47.5 |
| North: York St (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | T | 227 | 7.9 | 0.132 | 0.9 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.6 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 45.4 |
| 12 | R | 14 | 0.0 | 0.132 | 7.7 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.6 | 0.38 | 0.86 | 43.0 |
| Approac |  | 241 | 7.5 | 0.132 | 1.3 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.6 | 0.38 | 0.05 | 45.3 |
| West: Short St (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L | 15 | 6.7 | 0.195 | 9.0 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.0 | 0.47 | 0.60 | 41.1 |
| 3 | R | 153 | 6.5 | 0.196 | 9.3 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.0 | 0.47 | 0.77 | 41.0 |
| Approach |  | 168 | 6.5 | 0.196 | 9.3 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.0 | 0.47 | 0.75 | 41.0 |
| All Vehicles |  | 657 | 7.3 | 0.196 | 3.7 | NA | 1.0 | 7.6 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 44.9 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

| Processed: Monday, 6 June 2011 1:07:51 PM SIDRA INTERSECTION 5.0.5.1510 <br> Project: X:ICTLRRV - Teralba QuarryISIDRAIC 8000324, HALCROW PACIFIC PTY LTD, FLOA | Copyright © 2000-2010 Akcelik \& Associates Pty Ltd www.sidrasolutions.com <br> DRA_Future 2022.sip | INTERSECTION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MOVEMENT SUMMARY | Site: York St-Anzac Pde_AM |  |  |

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_AM
York St-Anzac Pde
Stop (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Demand } \\ & \text { Flow } \\ & \text { veh/h } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles <br> veh | Queue <br> Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: York St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L | 16 | 0.0 | 0.080 | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.87 | 43.3 |
| 2 | T | 121 | 23.1 | 0.080 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| 3 | R | 19 | 0.0 | 0.027 | 7.6 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.39 | 0.60 | 41.8 |
| Approac |  | 156 | 17.9 | 0.080 | 1.6 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 48.1 |
| East: Anzac Pde (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L | 17 | 0.0 | 0.027 | 10.1 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.31 | 0.85 | 40.7 |
| 5 | T | 35 | 5.7 | 0.263 | 14.3 | LOS A | 1.4 | 10.5 | 0.58 | 0.95 | 38.1 |
| 6 | R | 109 | 6.4 | 0.263 | 14.5 | LOS B | 1.4 | 10.5 | 0.58 | 1.00 | 38.1 |
| Approac |  | 161 | 5.6 | 0.262 | 14.0 | LOS B | 1.4 | 10.5 | 0.55 | 0.97 | 38.4 |
| North: York St (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L | 213 | 2.8 | 0.173 | 6.5 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.69 | 43.3 |
| 8 | T | 103 | 10.7 | 0.173 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| 9 | R | 8 | 12.5 | 0.012 | 7.5 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.27 | 0.56 | 42.2 |
| Approac |  | 324 | 5.6 | 0.173 | 4.5 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.46 | 45.2 |
| West: Anzac Pde (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L | 8 | 25.0 | 0.017 | 11.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.28 | 0.85 | 40.7 |
| 11 | T | 26 | 30.8 | 0.074 | 17.8 | LOS B | 0.4 | 3.1 | 0.59 | 0.96 | 36.9 |
| 12 | R | 4 | 0.0 | 0.074 | 16.0 | LOS B | 0.4 | 3.1 | 0.59 | 0.89 | 36.9 |
| Approach |  | 38 | 26.3 | 0.074 | 16.3 | LOS B | 0.4 | 3.1 | 0.52 | 0.93 | 37.6 |
| All Vehicles |  | 679 | 9.6 | 0.262 | 6.7 | NA | 1.4 | 10.5 | 0.17 | 0.54 | 43.5 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays assoclated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS B. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW)
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.


MOVEMENT SUMMARY
Site: York St-Anzac Pde_PM
CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_PM
York St-Anzac Pde
Stop (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID | Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue <br> Distance $\qquad$ | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: York St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L | 5 | 0.0 | 0.058 | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 43.3 |
| 2 | T | 102 | 9.8 | 0.058 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| 3 | R | 33 | 0.0 | 0.048 | 7.6 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.39 | 0.61 | 41.8 |
| Approac |  | 140 | 7.1 | 0.058 | 2.0 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 47.5 |
| East: Anzac Pde (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L | 57 | 7.0 | 0.097 | 10.6 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.32 | 0.87 | 40.7 |
| 5 | T | 53 | 3.8 | 0.325 | 14.2 | LOS A | 2.0 | 14.6 | 0.58 | 0.97 | 38.1 |
| 6 | R | 138 | 2.9 | 0.325 | 14.4 | LOS A | 2.0 | 14.6 | 0.58 | 1.02 | 38.0 |
| Approac |  | 248 | 4.0 | 0.326 | 13.5 | LOS A | 2.0 | 14.6 | 0.52 | 0.98 | 38.6 |
| North: York St (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L | 210 | 0.5 | 0.175 | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.69 | 43.3 |
| 8 | T | 115 | 6.1 | 0.175 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| 9 | R | 6 | 0.0 | 0.008 | 6.9 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.21 | 0.56 | 42.4 |
| Approac |  | 331 | 2.4 | 0.175 | 4.2 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.00 | 0.45 | 45.4 |
| West: Anzac Pde (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L | 11 | 0.0 | 0.017 | 9.7 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.21 | 0.87 | 40.9 |
| 11 | T | 27 | 14.8 | 0.066 | 14.6 | LOS B | 0.3 | 2.5 | 0.55 | 0.92 | 38.4 |
| 12 | R | 8 | 0.0 | 0.067 | 13.8 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.5 | 0.55 | 0.91 | 38.3 |
| Approac |  | 46 | 8.7 | 0.066 | 13.3 | LOS B | 0.3 | 2.5 | 0.46 | 0.91 | 38.9 |
| All Vehicles |  | 765 | 4.2 | 0.326 | 7.4 | NA | 2.0 | 14.6 | 0.22 | 0.60 | 42.9 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS B. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.
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MOVEMENT SUMMARY

## SIDRA

 SIDRAINTERSECTION Site: York St-Pitt St_AM

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_AM
York St-Pitt St
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Pitt St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 22 | 9.1 | 0.063 | 8.4 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.3 | 0.38 | 0.59 | 41.7 |
| 3 R | 35 | 17.1 | 0.063 | 9.1 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.3 | 0.38 | 0.71 | 41.5 |
| Approach | 57 | 14.0 | 0.063 | 8.8 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.3 | 0.38 | 0.66 | 41.6 |
| East: York St (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 31 | 6.5 | 0.124 | 6.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.87 | 43.3 |
| 5 T | 184 | 19.6 | 0.124 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| Approach | 215 | 17.7 | 0.124 | 1.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 48.9 |
| West: York St (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 T | 154 | 9.7 | 0.094 | 0.8 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.1 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 45.7 |
| 12 R | 14 | 0.0 | 0.094 | 7.6 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.1 | 0.35 | 0.85 | 42.9 |
| Approach | 168 | 8.9 | 0.094 | 1.4 | LOS A | 0.7 | 5.1 | 0.35 | 0.07 | 45.4 |
| All Vehicles | 440 | 13.9 | 0.124 | 2.1 | NA | 0.7 | 5.1 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 46.5 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

MOVEMENT SUMMARY
Site: York St-Pitt St_PM
CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_PM
York St-Pitt St
Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID | Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back Vehicles veh | Queue Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Pitt St (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L | 19 | 5.3 | 0.058 | 7.9 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.33 | 0.56 | 42.0 |
| 3 | R | 39 | 10.3 | 0.058 | 8.5 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.33 | 0.69 | 41.8 |
| Approac |  | 58 | 8.6 | 0.058 | 8.3 | LOS A | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.33 | 0.65 | 41.9 |
| East: York St (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L | 20 | 5.0 | 0.092 | 6.6 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 43.3 |
| 5 | T | 153 | 5.2 | 0.092 | 0.0 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.0 |
| Approac |  | 173 | 5.2 | 0.092 | 0.8 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 49.1 |
| West: York St (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | T | 181 | 8.3 | 0.108 | 0.7 | LOS A | 0.8 | 6.3 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 46.0 |
| 12 | R | 14 | 14.3 | 0.108 | 8.0 | LOS A | 0.8 | 6.3 | 0.32 | 0.89 | 43.0 |
| Approach |  | 195 | 8.7 | 0.108 | 1.2 | LOS A | 0.8 | 6.3 | 0.32 | 0.06 | 45.8 |
| All Vehicles |  | 426 | 7.3 | 0.108 | 2.0 | NA | 0.8 | 6.3 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 46.5 |

LOS (Aver. Int. Delay): NA. The average intersection delay is not a good LOS measure for two-way sign control due to zero delays associated with major road movements.
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows (2010/2011) and 1 million pa production for Metromix_AM Toronto Rd-Five Islands Rd
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID Tum | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back of <br> Vehicles veh | Queue <br> Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Toronto Rd (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 L | 85 | 18.8 | 0.924 | 19.3 | LOS B | 21.3 | 154.6 | 1.00 | 1.36 | 40.5 |
| 2 T | 1729 | 3.2 | 0.924 | 18.6 | LOS B | 21.3 | 154.6 | 1.00 | 1.36 | 39.9 |
| 3 R | 23 | 21.7 | 0.920 | 27.0 | LOSB | 20.3 | 146.8 | 1.00 | 1.38 | 37.3 |
| Approach | 1837 | 4.2 | 0.924 | 18.7 | LOS B | 21.3 | 154.6 | 1.00 | 1.36 | 39.8 |
| East: First St (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L | 13 | 7.7 | 0.188 | 10.5 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.4 | 0.69 | 0.86 | 47.6 |
| 5 T | 87 | 8.0 | 0.188 | 9.3 | LOS A | 1.0 | 7.4 | 0.69 | 0.81 | 48.0 |
| 6 R | 401 | 4.2 | 0.463 | 15.4 | LOSB | 3.5 | 25.1 | 0.77 | 0.97 | 43.3 |
| Approach | 501 | 5.0 | 0.463 | 14.2 | LOS B | 3.5 | 25.1 | 0.76 | 0.94 | 44.1 |
| North: Five Islands Rd ( N ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L | 180 | 6.1 | 0.496 | 6.4 | LOS A | 4.6 | 33.7 | 0.45 | 0.55 | 49.4 |
| 8 T | 1065 | 5.3 | 0.496 | 5.3 | LOS A | 4.6 | 33.7 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 49.8 |
| 9 R | 55 | 30.9 | 0.495 | 12.9 | LOS A | 4.4 | 33.2 | 0.47 | 0.83 | 46.6 |
| Approach | 1300 | 6.5 | 0.496 | 5.8 | LOS A | 4.6 | 33.7 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 49.6 |
| West: Toronto Rd (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 L | 40 | 40.0 | 0.526 | 35.4 | LOS C | 3.4 | 29.6 | 0.94 | 1.06 | 31.3 |
| 11 T | 43 | 16.3 | 0.524 | 33.3 | LOS C | 3.4 | 29.6 | 0.94 | 1.06 | 31.4 |
| 12 R | 84 | 8.3 | 0.249 | 21.4 | LOS B | 2.0 | 14.8 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 39.1 |
| Approach | 167 | 18.0 | 0.526 | 27.8 | LOS C | 3.4 | 29.6 | 0.96 | 1.03 | 35.0 |
| All Vehicles | 3805 | 5.7 | 0.924 | 14.1 | LOS A | 21.3 | 154.6 | 0.78 | 0.99 | 43.0 |

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS A. Based on average delay for all vehicle movements. LOS Method: Delay (RTA NSW).
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS C. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.


| MOVEMENT SUMMARY | Site: Toronto Rd-Five Islands <br> Rd_PM |
| ---: | ---: |

CTLRRV - Teralba Quarry Extensions
2022 with $1.5 \%$ pa compound growth on surveyed flows $(2010 / 2011)$ and 1 million pa production for Metromix_PM Toronto Rd-Five Islands Rd
Roundabout

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID | Tum | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back of <br> Vehicles veh | Queue <br> Distance m | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed km/h |
| South: Toronto Rd (S) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L | 89 | 9.0 | 0.618 | 8.3 | LOS A | 6.5 | 46.9 | 0.72 | 0.73 | 48.1 |
| 2 | T | 1180 | 2.6 | 0.616 | 7.2 | LOS A | 6.5 | 46.9 | 0.73 | 0.69 | 47.8 |
| 3 | R | 48 | 35.4 | 0.615 | 15.3 | LOS B | 6.3 | 46.2 | 0.74 | 0.99 | 45.3 |
| Approa |  | 1317 | 4.3 | 0.616 | 7.6 | LOS B | 6.5 | 46.9 | 0.73 | 0.70 | 47.8 |
| East: First St (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L | 27 | 0.0 | 0.185 | 12.3 | LOS A | 1.1 | 8.3 | 0.83 | 0.92 | 45.4 |
| 5 | T | 43 | 11.6 | 0.185 | 11.5 | LOS A | 1.1 | 8.3 | 0.83 | 0.89 | 45.7 |
| 6 | R | 331 | 2.4 | 0.579 | 20.2 | LOS B | 5.2 | 37.2 | 0.94 | 1.08 | 39.8 |
| Approa |  | 401 | 3.2 | 0.578 | 18.7 | LOS B | 5.2 | 37.2 | 0.92 | 1.05 | 40.6 |
| North: Five Islands Rd ( N ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L | 211 | 3.8 | 0.746 | 8.4 | LOS A | 9.9 | 71.4 | 0.75 | 0.76 | 47.9 |
| 8 | T | 1573 | 3.2 | 0.746 | 7.6 | LOS A | 9.9 | 71.6 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 47.7 |
| 9 | R | 16 | 50.0 | 0.762 | 16.1 | LOS B | 9.9 | 71.6 | 0.77 | 0.98 | 45.2 |
| Approa |  | 1800 | 3.7 | 0.746 | 7.8 | LOS B | 9.9 | 71.6 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 47.7 |
| West: Toronto Rd (W) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | L | 95 | 23.2 | 0.399 | 15.5 | LOS B | 2.6 | 20.9 | 0.83 | 0.96 | 43.0 |
| 11 | T | 57 | 12.3 | 0.399 | 13.9 | LOS A | 2.6 | 20.9 | 0.83 | 0.94 | 43.3 |
| 12 | R | 168 | 8.9 | 0.275 | 16.3 | LOS B | 1.9 | 14.5 | 0.84 | 0.95 | 42.7 |
| Approach |  | 320 | 13.8 | 0.400 | 15.7 | LOS B | 2.6 | 20.9 | 0.84 | 0.95 | 42.9 |
| All Vehicles |  | 3838 | 4.7 | 0.746 | 9.5 | LOS A | 9.9 | 71.6 | 0.77 | 0.78 | 46.4 |

Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS A. Based on average delay for all vehicle movements. LOS Method: Delay (RTA NSW).
Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS B. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement
Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.


# Appendix C Percentage of Metromix Trucks Over All Heavy Vehicles 
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## Appendix D

## 2022 Future Base Flows without Metromix Trucks

$($ No. of pages including blank pages $=4)$


[^0]:    NOTE: Numbers are either rounded up or down to the nearest percentage. Consequently, where two numbers are added together, the subsequent total might appear to be "one" more or less than the constituent figures.

[^1]:    NOTE: Numbers are either rounded up or down to the nearest percentage. Consequently, where two numbers are added together, the subsequent total might appear to be "one" more or less than the constituent figures.

[^2]:    Level of Service (Aver. Int. Delay): LOS A. Based on average delay for all vehicle movements. LOS Method: Delay (RTA NSW)
    Level of Service (Worst Movement): LOS A. LOS Method for individual vehicle movements: Delay (RTA NSW).
    Approach LOS values are based on the worst delay for any vehicle movement.
    Roundabout Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.
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