ENVIRONMENTA Review	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES Review of Monitoring Results						
	GENERAL						
CLIENT:	CLIENT: METROMIX PTY LTD						
PROJECT NO./LOCATION:	PROJECT NO./LOCATION: 215 - MARRANGAROO						
CONTACT PERSON:	Mr Daniel Lythgo						
	SAWFLES						
Type: Deposited Dust		No of Samples:	3				
Sample Period 31/08/201	7 to 4/10/2017	Date despatched :	4/10/2017				
Submission Sheet received by Corl	kery & Co: No						
Date Received by ALS 4/10/20	17	Batch No:	24006281				
Results Received by RWC 11/10/20	)17						
REVIEW OF RESULTS Comments							
Results Entered/Accepted	Yes						
Comparison with Previous Results	Yes						
Statistical Analysis	Yes						
DISTRIE	BUTION OF RESULTS						
Result Sheets to Client: Yes	Result S	heets to Residents:	No				
Summary Sheets to Client: Yes	Summar	y Sheets to Residents	No				
	COMMENTS						
Deposited dust monitoring results for the period from 29 June 2017 to 2 August 2017 indicate that deposited dust levels at point locations MD-2, MD-3 and MD-4 are within approved EPA annual average guideline levels.							
,	ACTION						
48	No action is necessary						
SIGNED: Andrea Kubin		DATE: REV 2: 1	5/11/1996				

### METROMIX MARANGAROO QUARRY DUST DEPOSIT GAUGE ANALYSES - PROJECT #215











# **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS**

Work Order	: 24006281	Page	: 1 of 3
Client	R W CORKERY & CO PTY LTD	Laboratory	: Coal Division Lithgow
Contact	MR ROB CORKERY	Contact	: Almudena Bryce
Address	: P O BOX 239 BROOKLYN NSW. AUSTRALIA 2083	Address	: Unit 2, 16 Donald Street LITHGOW NSW Australia 2790
E-mail	rob@rwcorkerv.com	E-mail	: Almudena.Bryce@alsglobal.com
Telephone	: 0263 625411	Telephone	: 61-2-6350-7400
Facsimile	0263613622	Facsimile	: 61-2-6352-3583
Project	Marrangaroo	QC Level	:
Order number	:	Date Samples Received	: 4/10/2017
C-O-C number		Issue Date	: 11/10/2017
Sampler		No. of samples received	: 4
Site	:	No. of samples analysed	: 4
Quote number	:		

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

NATA	NATA Accredited Laboratory 11436 Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025	Signatories This document has been electronically compliance with procedures specified in	signed by the authorized signatories indicated below n 21 CFR Part 11.	<i>w</i> . Electronic signing has been carried out in
WORLD RECOGNISED		Signatories Almudena Bryce	Position Business Manager, Lithgow	Accreditation Category Lithgow – Chemical Testing

ADDRESS Unit2, 16 Donald Street LITHGOW NSW Australia 2790 | PHONE +61 2 6350 7400 | FAX +61 2 6352 3583 ACIRL Pty Ltd ABN 41 000 513 888 Part of the ALS Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



www.alsglobal.com



### **General Comments**

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Key: CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society. LOR = Limit of reporting ^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting

- Analysis as per AS3580.10.1-2003. Samples passed through a 1mm sieve prior to analysis.
- NATA accreditation does not apply for results reported in g/m<sup>2</sup>.mth as sampling data was provided by the client.



# Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: DUST (Matrix: AIR)	Client sample ID		MD2	MD3	MD4	MD5		
	Clie	nt sampli	ing date/time	31-AUG-201710:00	31-AUG-201710:15	31-AUG-201710:20	31-AUG-201709:50	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	24006244-01	24006244-02	24006244-03	24006244-04	
EA120: Ash Content								
Ash Content		0.1	g/m².month	0.2	0.8	1	0.4	
Ash Content (mg)		1	mg	4.4	15.5	18.8	8.4	
Combustible Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.3	
Combustible Matter (mg)		1	mg	7.1	18.1	27.5	13.7	
Total Insoluble Matter		0.1	g/m².month	0.4	0.9	1.4	0.7	
Total Insoluble Matter (mg)		1	ma	11.5	33.6	46.3	22.1	



(Contraction of the second sec	QUAL	ITY CONTROL REPORT	بر
Work Order	: 24006281	Page	: 1 of 4
Client Contact Address	: R W Corkery & CO PTY LTD : MR ROB CORKERY : PO BOX 239 BROOKLYN NSW, AUSTRALIA 2083	Laboratory Contact Address	: Coal Division Lithgow : Almudena Bryce : Unit 2, 16 Donald Street LITHGOW NSW Australia 2790
E-mail Telephone Facsimile	: <u>rob@rwcorkery.com</u> : 0263 625411 : 0263 613622	E-mail Telephone Facsimile	Almudena.Bryce@alsglobal.com : 61-2-6350-7400 : +61-2-6352-3583
Project Site	: Marrangaroo	QC Level	: NEPM 2013 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement
C-O-C number Sampler Order number		Date Samples Received Issue Date	: 4/10/2017 : 11/10/2017
Quote number	:	No. of samples received No. of samples analysed	: 4 : 4

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

This Quality Control Report contains the following information:

- Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report; Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) and Acceptance Limits
- Method Blank (MB) and Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report ; Recovery and Acceptance Limits
- Matrix Spike (MS) Report; Recovery and Acceptance Limits



ADDRESS Unit2, 16 Donald Street LITHGOW NSW Australia 2790 | PHONE +61 2 6350 7400 | FAX +61 2 6352 3583

ACIRL Pty Ltd ABN 41 000 513 888 Part of the ALS Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



www.alsglobal.com

**BIGHT SOLUTIONS RIGHT PARTNER** 



#### **General Comments**

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

Key : Anonymous = Refers to samples which are not specifically part of this work order but formed part of the QC process lot CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society. LOR = Limit of reporting RPD = Relative Percentage Difference # = Indicates failed QC



### Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

The quality control term Laboratory Duplicate refers to a randomly selected intralaboratory split. Laboratory duplicates provide information regarding method precision and sample heterogeneity. The permitted ranges for the Relative Percent Deviation (RPD) of Laboratory Duplicates are specified in ALS Method QWI-EN/38 and are dependent on the magnitude of results in comparison to the level of reporting: Result < 10 times LOR:-No Limit; Result between 10 and 20 times LOR:-0% - 50%; Result > 20 times LOR:-0% - 20%.

• No Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Results are required to be reported.

Page	ŝ	4 of 4
Work Order	÷	24006244
Client	÷	RW CORKERY & CO PTY LTD
Project	÷	Marrangaroo



### Method Blank (MB) and Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report

The quality control term Method / Laboratory Blank refers to an analyte free matrix to which all reagents are added in the same volumes or proportions as used in standard sample preparation. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor potential laboratory contamination. The quality control term Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) refers to a certified reference material, or a known interference free matrix spiked with target analytes. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor method precision and accuracy independent of sample matrix. Dynamic Recovery Limits are based on statistical evaluation of processed LCS.

#### • No Method Blank (MB) or Laboratory Control Spike (SCS) Results are required to be reported.

----

### Matrix Spike (MS) Report

The quality control term Matrix Spike (MS) refers to an intralaboratory split sample spiked with a representative set of target analytes. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor potential matrix effects on analyte recoveries. Static Recovery Limits as per laboratory Data Quality Objectives (DQOs). Ideal recovery ranges stated may be waived in the event of sample matrix interference.

• No Matrix Spike (MS) Results are required to be reported.

### Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Report

The quality control term Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) refers to intralaboratory split samples spiked with a representative set of target analytes. The purpose of these QC parameters are to monitor potential matrix effects on analyte recoveries. Static Recovery Limits as per laboratory Data Quality Objectives (DQOs). Ideal recovery ranges stated may be waived in the event of sample matrix interference.

• No Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) Results are required to be reported.



(C)	INTERPRET	<u>TIVE QUALITY CONTROL F</u>	REPORT
Work Order	: 24006281	Page	: 1 of 5
Client	: R W Corkery & CO PTY LTD	Laboratory	: Coal Division Lithgow
Contact	: MR ROB CORKERY	Contact	: Almudena Bryce
Address	: PO BOX 239 BROOKLYN NSW, AUSTRALIA 2083	Address	: Unit 2, 16 Donald Street LITHGOW NSW Australia 2790
E-mail	: rob@rwcorkery.com	E-mail	: Almudena.Bryce@alsglobal.com
Telephone	: 0263 625411	Telephone	: 61-2-6350-7400
Facsimile	: 0263 613622	Facsimile	: +61-2-6352-3583
Project	: Marrangaroo	QC Level	: NEPM 2013 Schedule B(3) and ALS QCS3 requirement
Site			
C-O-C number	:	Date Samples Received	: 4/10/2017
Sampler	:	Issue Date	: 11/10/2017
Order number	:		
		No. of samples received	: 4
Quote number	:	No. of samples analysed	: 4

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

This Interpretive Quality Control Report contains the following information:

- Analysis Holding Time Compliance
- Quality Control Parameter Frequency Compliance
- Brief Method Summaries
- Summary of Outliers

ADDRESS Unit2, 16 Donald Street LITHGOW NSW Australia 2790 | PHONE +61 2 6350 7400 | FAX +61 2 6352 3583 ACIRL Pty Ltd ABN 41 000 513 888 Part of the ALS Group A Campbell Brothers Limited Company



www.alsglobal.com

**RIGHT SOLUTIONS** RIGHT PARTNER



## Analysis Holding Time Compliance

This report summarizes extraction / preparation and analysis times and compares each with recommended holding times (USEPA SW 846, APHA, AS and NEPM) based on the sample container provided. Dates reported represent first date of extraction or analysis and preclude subsequent dilutions and reruns. A listing of breaches (if any) is provided herein.

Holding time for leachate methods (e.g. TCLP) vary according to the analytes reported. Assessment compares the leach date with the shortest analyte holding time for the equivalent soil method. These are: organics 14 days, mercury 28 days & other metals 180 days. A recorded breach does not guarantee a breach for all non-volatile parameters.

Holding times for <u>VOC in soils</u> vary according to analytes of interest. Vinyl Chloride and Styrene holding time is 7 days; others 14 days. A recorded breach does not guarantee a breach for all VOC analytes and should be verified in case the reported breach is a false positive or Vinyl Chloride and Styrene are not key analytes of interest/concern.

Matrix: AIR				Evaluation:	Holding time	breach ; ✓ = Withi	n holding time.
Method	Sample Date	Ex	traction / Preparation			Analysis	
Container / Client Sample ID(s)		Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation
EA120: Ash Content							
Dust Gauge - Copper Sulfate (EA120) MD2 , MD3, MD4, MD5	04-OCT-2017		03-NOV-2017		05-OCT-2017	5-OCT-2017	~
EA125: Combustible Matter							
Dust Gauge - Copper Sulfate (EA125) MD2 , MD3, MD4, MD5	04-OCT-2017		03-NOV-2017		05-OCT-2017	5-OCT-2017	~
EA141: Total Insoluble Matter							
Dust Gauge - Copper Sulfate (EA141) MD2 , MD3, MD4, MD5	04-OCT-2017		03-NOV-2017		05-OCT-2017	5-OCT-2017	~



# **Quality Control Parameter Frequency Compliance**

The following report summarises the frequency of laboratory QC samples analysed within the analytical lot(s) in which the submitted sample(s) was(where) processed. Actual rate should be greater than or equal to the expected rate. A listing of breaches is provided in the Summary of Outliers.

Matrix: Evaluation: × = Quality Control frequency not within specification ; ✓ = Quality Control frequency within speci							
Quality Control Sample Type		Count		Rate (%)		•	Quality Control Specification
Analytical Methods	Method	QC	Regular	Actual	Expected	Evaluation	

Page	4 of 5
Work Order	24006244
Client	RW Corkery & CO PTY LTD
Project	Marrangaroo



# **Brief Method Summaries**

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the US EPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request. The following report provides brief descriptions of the analytical procedures employed for results reported in the Certificate of Analysis. Sources from which ALS methods have been developed are provided within the Method Descriptions.

Analytical Methods	Method	Matrix	Method Descriptions
Ash Content (AC)	EA120	AIR	AS 3580.10.1 - 2003 A gravimetric procedure reporting Ash content in deposited dust.
Combustible Matter (CM)	EA125	AIR	AS 3580.10.1 - 2003 A gravimetric procedure reporting Combustible Matter in deposited dust.
Total Insoluble Matter (TIM)	EA141	AIR	AS 3580.10.1 - 2003 A gravimetric procedure reporting Total Insoluble solids in deposited dust.



### **Summary of Outliers**

### **Outliers : Quality Control Samples**

The following report highlights outliers flagged in the Quality Control (QC) Report. Surrogate recovery limits are static and based on USEPA SW 846 or ALS-QWI/EN/38 (in the absence of specific USEPA limits). This report displays QC Outliers (breaches) only.

#### Duplicates, Method Blanks, Laboratory Control Samples and Matrix Spikes

- For all matrices, no Method Blank value outliers occur.
- For all matrices, no Duplicate outliers occur.
- For all matrices, no Laboratory Control outliers occur.
- For all matrices, no Matrix Spike outliers occur.

#### **Regular Sample Surrogates**

• For all regular sample matrices, no surrogate recovery outliers occur.

#### **Outliers : Analysis Holding Time Compliance**

This report displays Holding Time breaches only. Only the respective Extraction / Preparation and/or Analysis component is/are displayed.

• No Analysis Holding Time Outliers exist.

### **Outliers : Frequency of Quality Control Samples**

The following report highlights breaches in the Frequency of Quality Control Samples.

• No Quality Control Sample Frequency Outliers exist.